Using Some Organic Manures and Em As a Partial Replacement of Mineral N Fertilizers in Superior Vineyards

Faissal F. Ahmed ¹, Ahmed H.M. Abdelaal², Salah E; Deen, M.A. El- Masry ² and Sherif M.M. Hassan ²

¹ Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
²Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Al-Azhar Univ. Assiut, Egypt Email. Faissalfadel@yahoo.com

Abstract: During 2013 and 2014 seasons, Superior grapevines fertilized with N as 100 % inorganic N fertilizer or through 25 to 75% inorganic N fertilizer + 25 to 75% three organic manures namely poultry manure (2.5 % N); plant compost (2 % N) and farmyard manure (0.25% N) + 15 to 45 ml EM/ vine/ year. The merit was replacing inorganic N partially by using these organic manures and EM for improving yield lowering pollution and enhancing the efficiency of exportation to foreign markets. Growth characters, berry setting %, yield and cluster characters were remarkably improved with using N as 50% inorganic N + 50% organic manures + 30 ml EM/ vine/ year. Leaf pigments, various nutrients as well as physical and chemical characteristics of the berries were gradually improved owing to decreasing the percentages of the inorganic N from 100 to 25.0 and increasing the percentages of organic manure from 0.0 to 75% and levels of EM from 0.0 to 45 ml/ vine / year. Shot berries % was gradually reduced with decreasing percentages of inorganic N and increasing organic and biofertilization levels. The best results with regard to yield and quality of the berries were obtained due to treating the vines with N as 50% inorganic N + 50 % poultry manure + 30 ml EM/ vine / year Both nitrite and nitrate in the juice were substantially reduced in such promised treatment.

[Faissal F. Ahmed, Ahmed H.M. Abdelaal, Salah E; Deen, M.A. El- Masry and Sherif M.M. Hassan. Using Some Organic Manures and Em As a Partial Replacement of Mineral N Fertilizers in Superior Vineyards. *World Rural Observ* 2015;7(3):76-84]. ISSN: 1944-6543 (Print); ISSN: 1944-6551 (Online). http://www.sciencepub.net/rural. 13

Keywords: Inorganic N, organic manures, EM, pollution, yield, Superior grapevines.

1. Introduction

Recently, many trials were made for the use of many natural substances instead of chemicals for enhancing the efficiency of fruits exportation to foreign markets. Using organic manures and EM are considered the important replacement of these chemicals. Organic manures are responsible for enhancing soil fertility, water retention, organic matter, root development, availability of nutrients, fixation of N and secretion of vitamins and IAA (**Cooke, 1966**). EM contains more than 60 selected strains of effective and beneficial microorganisms. It is responsible for enhancing soil fertility and the release of most nutrients in the soil (**Myint, 1999**).

Previous studies showed that using EM and organic manures was very effective as a partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizers in improving yield and fruit quality of different grapevines cvs and at the same time reducing pollution (Abd El- Ghafar-Gehan, 2002; Ahmed *et al.*, 2003; Abd El- Hady, 2003; Shawky *et al.*, 2004; El- Shenawy and Stino, 2005; Mahran, 2005; Ibrahim- Asmaa, 2006; El-Salhy *et al.*, 2006, El- Khafagy, 2006 ; Ahmed-Ebtsam, 2007; Masoud, 2008 ; Ahmed *et al.*, 2008 ; Madian, 2010; Refaai, 2011; Ahmed *et al.*, 2011; El- Khafagy, 2013 and El-Wany, 2015). The objective of this study was examining the effect of using organic manures and EM as a partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizers on fruiting of Superior grapevines.

2. Material and Methods

This study was carried out during 2013 and 2014 seasons on thirty uniform in vigour 10 - years old Superior grapevines grown in a private vineyard located at Matay district, Minia Governorate where the texture of the soil is clay, well drained and water table not less than two meters deep. All the selected vines are planted at 1.5 x 3 m apart. The chosen vines (30 vines) were pruned during the first week of January in both seasons using cane pruning method. The vines were trellised by Gable system. Vine load was 72 eyes for all the selected vines on the basis of 6 fruiting canes x 10 eyes plus 6 renewal spurs X two eyes. Surface irrigation system was followed using Nile water containing 160 ppm EC.

Mechanical, physical and chemical analysis of the tested soil were carried out at the start of the experiment according to the procedures of **Black** *et al.* (1965) and Chapman and Pratt (1987) the data are shown in Table (1).

Table (1): Analy	ysis of	the	tested soil:	
---------	---	----------	---------	-----	--------------	--

Constituents	Values
Particle size distribution:	
Sand %	10.0
Silt %	21.5
Clay %	68.5
Texture	Clay
pH(1:2.5 extract)	8.05
EC (1:2.5 extract) (dsm^{-1}) 1 cm / 25°C.	1.03
O.M. %	1.88
CaCO ₃ %	2.55
Total N %	0.10
Available P (Olsen, ppm)	2.22
Available K (ammonium acetate, ppm)	400

Table (2): Analysis of the solid manure compost.

Parameters	Values
Cubic meter weight (kg.)	600.0
Moisture %	29.0
Organic matter %	30.7
Organic carbon %	28.56
pH (1: 2.5 extract)	27.25
EC (ds/m) (1: 2.5 extarct)	10.25
C/N ratio	13.95
Total N %	2.5
Total P %	1.12
Total K %	1.21
Total Ca %	1.25
Total Mg %	1.30
Total Fe (ppm)	18.5
Total Mn (ppm)	37.55
Total Zn (ppm)	43.22
Total Cu (ppm)	17.40

 Table (3): Analysis of farmyard manure organic fertilizer

Parameters	Values
O.M. %	8.9
Total N %	0.25
P % (Olsen method)	0.5
K % (ammonium acetate)	1.6
pH (1: 2.5 extract)	3.3
Zn (ppm)	36.0
Fe (ppm)	15.5

 Table (4): Analysis of chicken manure organic fertilizer

2 2 2	8
Parameters	Values
O.M. %	58.26
Organic carbon	27.90
pH (1: 2.5 extract)	10.25
E.C. (ds/m) (1: 2.5 extract)	5.9
Total N %	2.0
Total P %	1.12
Total K %	1.21
Total Fe (ppm)	18.5
Total Zn (ppm)	43.22

Except those dealing with the present treatments (all sources of N), all the selected vines (30 vines) received the usual horticultural practices which are commonly used in the vineyard.

This study included the following ten treatments from inorganic N, organic manures and EM:

1. Application of the suitable N (60 g N/ vine) via 100 % inorganic N (179.0 g ammonium nitrate / vine / year) alone.

2. Application of the suitable N via 75 % inorganic N (134.3 g ammonium nitrate / vine / year) + 25% plant compost (2 % N) (0.75 kg / vine / year) + 15 ml EM / vine / year.

3. Application of the suitable N via 75 % + 25 % farmyard manure (0.25 %) (6 kg / vine/ year) + 15 ml EM/ vine / year.

4. Application of the suitable N via 75 % inorganic N + 25% poultry manure (2.5) (600 g / vine / year).

5. Application of the suitable N via 50 % inorganic N (89.5 ammonium nitrate / vine/ year) + 50 % plant compost (1.5 kg/ vine/ year) + 30 ml EM / vine / year.

6. Application of the suitable N via 50 % inorganic N + 50 % farmyard manure (12 kg/ vine/ year)+ 30 ml EM / vine/ year.

7. Application of the suitable N via 50 % inorganic N + 50 % poultry manure (2.5 % N) (1.2 kg/ vine/ year) + 30 ml EM/ vine/ year.

8. Application of the suitable N via 25 % inorganic N (44.8 g ammonium nitrate / vine/ year) + 75% plant compost (2.25 kg / vine/ year) + 45 ml EM / vine/ year.

9. Application of the suitable N via 25 % inorganic N + 75% farmyard manures (18 kg / vine/ year) + 45 ml EM / vine/ year.

10- Application of the suitable N via 25% inorganic N + 75% poultry manure (1.8 kg / vine/ year)+ 45 ml EM / vine/ year.

Each treatment was replicated three times, one vine per each. Ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N) as a source of inorganic N was divided into three equal batches applied as 45% at growth start (1st week of March, 35% just after berry setting (mid of April) and 20% after harvesting (1st week of August). EM (1 ml contains 0.6 x 10^7 cells) were added once before growth start (1st week of Mar.) The three organic manures were added just after winter pruning (mid. of Jan.) in drenches around all sides of the vines 50 cm depth.

Randomized complete block design (RCBD) was followed where the experiment consisted of ten treatments, each treatment replicated three times, one vine per each. During both seasons, the following parameters were recorded:

1- Vegetative growth characters namely main shoot length (cm.), leaf area $(cm)^2$ (Ahmed and Morsy, 1999), wood ripening coefficient, pruning weight per vine (kg.) and cane thickness (mm).

2- Leaf chemical composition namely chlorophylls a & b, total chlorophylls and total carotenoids (mg/ 100 g F.W (Von- Wettstein, 1957 and Fadle and Seri El Deen, 1978), nutrients namely N, P, K, Mg (as %) (Black *et al.*, 1956 Wilde *et al.*, 1985, Bato *et al.*, 1988 and Chapman and Pratt, 1987).

3- Berry setting %, yield, number of clusters/ vine and cluster characters (weight (g) & length and width in cm).

4- Percentage of shot berries as well as physical and chemical characteristics of the berries namely berry weight (g.), longitudinal and equatorial (cm.), berry shape, T.S.S. %, total acidity % (as g tartaric acid / 100 ml juice), reducing sugars % (Lane and Eynon, 1965 and A.O.A.C., 2000), nitrate and nitrite (ppm) (Sen and Donaldson, 1978).

Statistical analysis was done using New L.S.D. at 5% for made all comparisons among different treatment means (**Mead** *et al.*, **1993**).

3. Results and Discussion

1- Growth characters:

Data in Table (5) clearly show that supplying the vines with N as 50 to 75% inorganic N plus 25 to 50% organic manures (poultry manure, plant compost and farmyard manure) + 15 to 30 ml EM/ vine/ year significantly stimulated the five growth characters namely main shoot length, leaf area, wood ripening coefficient, pruning wood weight per vine and cane thickness comparing with using inorganic N alone at 100% or 25.0 % with organic and biofertilization with EM. The best organic manures in enhancing these growth characters were poultry manure, plant compost and farmyard manure, in descending order. There was a significant reduction on these growth characters with using N as 25% inorganic N. The maximum values were recorded on the vines that supplied with N as 50% inorganic N + 50% poultry manure + 30 ml EM/ vine/ year. The vines received N as 25% inorganic N + 75% farmyard manure + 45 ml EM/ vine / year gave the lowest values. These results were true during both seasons.

2- Leaf chemical composition:

It is clear from the data in Tables (6 & 7) that fertilizing the vines with N as 25 to 75% inorganic + 25 to 75% organic manures+ 15 to 45 ml EM/ vine significantly enhanced chlorophylls a & b, total chlorophylls, total carotenoids, N, P, K and Mg in the leaves rather than application of N via 100% inorganic N. The promotion on these plant pigments and nutrients was significantly associated with reducing the percentages of inorganic N from 100 to 25% and at the same time increasing the percentages of organic manures form 0.0 to 75% and levels of EM from 0.0 to 45 ml/ vine / year. The best organic manures in enhancing vine nutritional status in descending order were poultry manure, plant compost and farmyard manure. The maximum values were recorded on the vines that received N as 25% inorganic N + 75% poultry manure + 45 ml EM/ vine/ year. The vines that are inorganic fertilization alone gave the lowest values. These results were true during both seasons.

3- Berry setting %, yield and cluster characters:

Tables (8 & 9) show that supplying the vines with N as 50 to 75% inorganic N + 25 to 50% organic manures + 15 to 30 ml/ EM/ vine / year significantly improved the percentage of berry setting, yield, number of clusters/ vine and cluster characters (namely weight, length and width) over the application of N as25% or 100% inorganic N. Using organic manures namely poultry manure, plant compost and farmyard manure, in descending order significantly was responsible for improving berry setting % vield and cluster character. The maximum yield during both seasons (10.7 & 16.5 kg / vine) was recorded on the vines that fertilized with N as 50% inorganic + 50 % poultry manure + 30 ml EM / vine/ year. The vines that fertilized with N completely via inorganic N form produced 8.3 and 10.1 kg during both season, respectively. The percentage of increase on the yield due to using the previous promised treatment (50% inorganic N + 50% poultry manure + 30 ml EM/ vine/ year) over the check treatment (received N as 100% in organic N) reached 28.9 and 63.4 % during 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively. These results were true during both seasons.

4- Percentage of shot berries:

Data in Table (10) clearly show that using N as 25 to 75% inorganic N + 25 to 75% organic manures+ 15 to 45 ml EM/ vine/ year significantly reduced shot berries % over the application of N as 100% inorganic. The reduction significantly was in proportional to the reduction on the percentages of inorganic N from 100 to 25% and at the same time increasing the percentages of organic manures from 0.0 to 75% and levels of EM from 0.0 to 45 ml EM/ vine/ year. The best organic manures in this respect was poultry manure. The worst results were recorded on the vines that received N as 100% inorganic N. The lowest values were recorded on the vines that supplied with N as 25% inorganic N + 75% poultry manure + 45 ml EM/ vine / year. These results were true during seasons.

5- Fruit quality:

Data in Tables (10 & 11) obviously reveal that using N as 25 to 75% inorganic N + 25 to 75% organic manures + 15 to 45 ml EM/ vine/ year significantly was very effective in improving quality of the berries in terms of increasing berry weight and dimensions (longitudinal and equatorial), T.S.S. % and reducing sugars and decreasing total acidity %, nitrite and nitrate in the juice comparing with using N as 100 % inorganic. There was a gradual and significant promotion on quality of the berries with reducing the percentages of inorganic N from 100 to 25% as well as increasing the percentages of organic manures from 0.0 to 75 % and levels of EM from 0.0 to 45 ml / vine/ year. The best organic manures in this respect were poultry manure, plant compost and farmyard manure, in descending order. The best results with regard to quality of the berries were obtained with using N as 25% inorganic N + 75% poultry manure + 45 ml EM/ vine / year. Unfavourable effects on fruit quality were attributed to using N completely via inorganic form. These results were true during both seasons.

Table (5): Effect of using some organic manures enriched with EM as a partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizer on some vegetative growth characters of Superior grapevines during 2013 and 2014 seasons.

N Management treatments	Main length (shoot cm.)	Leaf (cm.)	area	Wood coeffici	ripening ent	Pruning weight (kg.)	wood / vine	Cane th (mm)	nickness
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
1-N as 100% Inorg. N	225.7	228.7	205.7	208.0	0.75	0.73	1.79	1.80	7.89	8.09
2-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.C. + 15 ml EM/ vine	251.7	254.3	210.0	212.7	0.81	0.79	2.00	2.01	8.50	8.71
3-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25 % F.Y.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	239.3	241.7	207.3	210.0	0.78	0.76	1.90	1.92	8.25	8.46
4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	266.7	269.0	212.7	214.7	0.85	0.83	2.12	2.13	8.80	9.00
5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % P.C. + 30 ml EM/ vine	291.9	294.4	218.7	221.5	0.91	0.89	2.41	2.42	9.89	10.11
6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	279.7	282.8	215.0	218.0	0.88	0.86	2.25	2.27	9.11	9.41
7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	305.7	308.3	222.3	225.6	0.94	0.92	2.54	2.55	10.50	10.80
8-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % P.C. + 45 ml EM/ vine	190.0	192.6	201.3	204.3	0.68	0.66	1.57	1.59	7.60	7.99
9-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	175.0	177.6	199.3	202.7	0.64	0.62	1.47	1.47	7.54	7.90
10-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	211	213.3	203.6	206.4	0.72	0.70	1.68	1.69	7.66	8.11
New L.S.D. at 5%	0.11	0.14	1.1	0.9	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.11	0.04	0.05

- P.C.= Plant compost (2 % N)

-P.M = Poultry manure 2 % N

							m 1	
	1.	yll a (mg/	•	yll b (mg/		nlorophylls		carotenoids
N Management treatments	100 g F.W	7.)	100 g F.W	V.)	(mg/ 100 g	(F.W.)	(mg/ 100 g	g F.W.)
C	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
1-N as 100% Inorg. N	4.11	4.30	1.91	1.94	6.02	6.24	1.61	1.64
2-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25%	4.20	4.50	2 00	0.10	6.40	6.70	1.01	2 00
P.C. + 15 ml EM/ vine	4.39	4.58	2.09	2.12	6.48	6.70	1.91	2.00
3-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25								
U	4.22	4.44	1.99	2.02	6.21	6.46	1.81	1.90
% F.Y.M. + 15 ml EM / vine								
4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25%	4.71	4.92	2.19	2.22	6.90	7.14	2.11	2.20
P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	7./1	ч .92	2.17	2.22	0.70	/.17	2.11	2.20
5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50				• •				
% P.C. + 30 ml EM/ vine	5.29	5.80	2.44	2.48	7.73	8.28	2.29	2.38
6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50								
	5.00	5.56	2.33	2.37	7.33	7.89	2.20	2.29
% F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine								
7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50	5.61	5.72	2.55	2.59	8.16	8.31	2.41	2.50
% F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	5.01	5.72	2.35	2.39	0.10	0.51	2.41	2.30
8-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75	<		• • •	• • •	0.01	0.00		
% P.C. $+$ 45 ml EM/ vine	6.00	6.15	2.81	2.85	8.81	9.00	2.66	2.75
9-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75								
	5.84	6.00	2.67	2.70	8.51	8.70	2.54	2.63
% F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine								
10-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75	6.29	6.33	2.95	3.00	9.24	9.33	2.77	2.88
% F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	0.29	0.55	2.95	5.00	2.24	9.55	2.11	2.00
New L.S.D. at 5%	0.11	0.12	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07
				1	I		1	1

Table (6): Effect of using some organic manures enriched with EM as a partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizer on some leaf pigments of Superior grapevines during 2013 and 2014 seasons.

- P.C.= Plant compost (2 % N)

-P.M = Poultry manure 2 % N

Table (7): Effect of using some organic manures enriched with EM as a partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizer on the percentages of N, P, K and Mg in the leaves of Superior grapevines during 2013 and 2014 seasons.

N Management treatments $\frac{I}{2}$		Leaf N %		Leaf P %		Leaf K %		/Ig %
		2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
1-N as 100% Inorg. N	1.60	1.63	0.16	0.17	1.11	1.13	0.52	0.55
2-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.C. + 15 ml EM/ vine	1.79	1.82	0.21	0.21	1.22	1.21	0.61	0.62
3-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25 % F.Y.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	1.70	1.73	0.19	0.19	1.16	1.18	0.57	0.58
4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	1.89	1.93	0.24	0.24	1.30	1.29	0.65	0.66
5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % P.C. + 30 ml EM/ vine	2.10	2.13	0.30	0.31	1.42	1.40	0.73	0.74
6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	1.99	2.02	0.27	0.27	1.36	1.32	0.69	0.70
7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	2.18	2.21	0.32	0.33	1.50	1.46	0.77	0.78
8-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % P.C. + 45 ml EM/ vine	2.40	2.44	0.37	0.37	1.62	1.59	0.84	0.86
9-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	2.29	2.32	0.34	0.34	1.56	1.51	0.81	0.83
10-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	2.47	2.50	0.40	0.44	1.68	1.64	0.88	0.90
New L.S.D. at 5%	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03

- P.C.= Plant compost (2 % N)

-P.M = Poultry manure 2 % N

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	grapevines during 2013 and 2014 seasons.			ĩ		87	•
2-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.C. + 15 ml EM/ vine 8.4 9.0 24.0 31.0 9.2 11.9 3-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25 % F.Y.M. + 15 ml EM / vine 8.0 8.5 23.0 30.0 8.6 11.2 4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine 8.9 9.5 24.0 33.0 9.5 13.1 5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine 10.1 10.6 24.0 35.0 10.3 15.1 6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine 9.6 10.2 24.0 34.0 9.9 14.0 7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine 10.6 11.2 24.0 37.0 10.7 16.5	N Management treatments	%		vine	1	(kg.)	vine 2014
3-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25 % F.Y.M. + 15 ml EM / vine 8.0 8.5 23.0 30.0 8.6 11.2 4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine 8.9 9.5 24.0 33.0 9.5 13.1 5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % P.C. + 30 ml EM / vine 10.1 10.6 24.0 35.0 10.3 15.1 6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM / vine 9.6 10.2 24.0 34.0 9.9 14.0 7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM / vine 10.6 11.2 24.0 37.0 10.7 16.5	1-N as 100% Inorg. N	7.5	8.1	23.0	28.0	8.3	10.1
vine 8.0 8.5 23.0 30.0 8.6 11.2 4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine 8.9 9.5 24.0 33.0 9.5 13.1 5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % P.C. + 30 ml EM / vine 10.1 10.6 24.0 35.0 10.3 15.1 6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM / vine 9.6 10.2 24.0 34.0 9.9 14.0 7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM / vine 10.6 11.2 24.0 37.0 10.7 16.5	2-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.C. + 15 ml EM/ vine	8.4	9.0	24.0	31.0	9.2	11.9
5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % P.C. + 30 ml EM/ vine10.110.624.035.010.315.16-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine9.610.224.034.09.914.07-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine10.611.224.037.010.716.5		8.0	8.5	23.0	30.0	8.6	11.2
6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine9.610.224.034.09.914.07-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine10.611.224.037.010.716.5	4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	8.9	9.5	24.0	33.0	9.5	13.1
7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine 10.6 11.2 24.0 37.0 10.7 16.5	5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % P.C. + 30 ml EM/ vine	10.1	10.6	24.0	35.0	10.3	15.1
	6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	9.6	10.2	24.0	34.0	9.9	14.0
	7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	10.6	11.2	24.0	37.0	10.7	16.5
8-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % P.C. + 45 ml EM/ vine 6.5 7.1 23.0 24.0 7.7 8.1	8-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % P.C. + 45 ml EM/ vine	6.5	7.1	23.0	24.0	7.7	8.1
9-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine 6.0 6.6 23.0 22.0 7.5 7.2	9-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	6.0	6.6	23.0	22.0	7.5	7.2
10-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ 7.0 7.5 23.0 26.0 8.0 9.1		7.0	7.5	23.0	26.0	8.0	9.1
New L.S.D. at 5% 0.4 0.4 NS 2.0 0.2 0.4	New L.S.D. at 5%	0.4	0.4	NS	2.0	0.2	0.4

Table (8): Effect of using some organic manures enriched with EM as a partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizer on the percentage of berry setting, number of clusters / vine and yield / vine (kg.) of Superior grapevines during 2013 and 2014 seasons.

- P.C.= Plant compost (2 % N)

-P.M = Poultry manure 2 % N

Table (9): Effect of using some organic manures enriched with EM as a partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizer on the weight and dimensions (length & width) of cluster of Superior grapevines during 2013 and 2014 seasons.

N Management treatments	Average cluste weight (g.)		Average cl (cm.)	uster length	Average cluster width (cm.)		
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	
1-N as 100% Inorg. N	360.0	361.5	20.5	20.9	12.3	12.1	
2-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.C. + 15 ml EM/ vine	383.0	385.0	21.8	22.2	12.7	12.6	
3-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25 % F.Y.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	372.0	373.7	21.1	21.5	12.5	12.4	
4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	396.0	347.5	22.5	23.0	12.9	12.8	
5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % P.C. + 30 ml EM/ vine	430.0	431.5	24.0	24.4	14.0	13.9	
6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	411.0	412.9	23.1	23.5	13.5	13.4	
7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	444.6	446.0	24.6	25.0	14.3	14.2	
8-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % P.C. + 45 ml EM/ vine	336.0	338.0	19.5	19.9	11.8	11.7	
9-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	325.0	327.0	19.0	19.0	11.5	11.4	
10-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	347.0	349.0	20.0	20.9	12.0	11.9	
New L.S.D. at 5%	11.0	10.0	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	

- P.C.= Plant compost (2 % N)

-P.M = Poultry manure 2 % N)

N Management	Shot b	erries	Av. weight (Berry g.)	Av. longitudi	Berry nal (cm)	Av. equatoria	Berry al (cm)	Berry index va	shape lue
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
1-N as 100% Inorg. N	8.11	8.00	5.00	5.04	2.18	2.20	1.99	2.01	1.10	1.09
2-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.C. + 15 ml EM/ vine	7.61	7.50	5.71	5.75	2.28	2.30	2.05	2.07	1.11	1.11
3-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25 % F.Y.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	7.90	7.80	5.30	5.36	2.23	2.25	2.02	2.05	1.10	1.10
4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	7.00	6.90	5.91	5.95	2.33	2.35	2.09	2.11	1.11	1.11
5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % P.C. + 30 ml EM/ vine	6.00	5.91	6.30	6.35	2.45	2.47	2.16	2.18	1.13	1.13
6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	6.50	6.40	6.11	6.15	2.40	2.41	2.13	2.15	1.13	1.12
7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	5.60	5.50	6.46	6.50	2.50	2.55	2.18	2.20	1.15	1.16
8-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % P.C. + 45 ml EM/ vine	4.00	3.90	6.89	6.93	2.60	2.63	2.28	2.30	1.14	1.14
9-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	5.00	4.90	6.59	6.63	2.55	2.57	2.25	2.28	1.13	1.13
10-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	3.41	3.31	7.25	7.29	2.64	2.65	2.33	2.36	1.13	1.12
New L.S.D. at 5%	0.25	0.26	0.14	0.15	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02

Table (10): Effect of using some organic manures enriched with EM as a partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizer on the percentage of shot berries as well as averages berry weight, longitudinal and equatorial and berry shape of Superior grapevines during 2013 and 2014 seasons.

- P.C.= Plant compost (2 % N)

-P.M = Poultry manure 2 % N

Table (11): Effect of using some organic manures enriched with EM as a partial replacement of inorganic N	N
fertilizer on some chemical characteristics of the berries of Superior grapevines during 2013 and 2014 seasons.	

N Management	T.S.S. %		Total acidity %		Reducing sugars %		Juice nitrate (ppm)		Juice nitrite (ppm)	
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
1-N as 100% Inorg. N	18.0	17.9	0.719	0.715	16.2	16.5	3.6	3.4	2.4	2.5
2-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.C. + 15 ml EM/ vine	18.6	18.7	0.670	0.663	16.8	17.1	3.0	2.8	1.9	2.0
3-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25 % F.Y.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	18.3	18.4	0.695	0.688	16.5	16.8	3.3	3.1	2.1	2.2
4-N as 75 % Inorg. N + 25% P.M. + 15 ml EM / vine	19.0	19.1	0.646	0.639	17.1	17.4	2.7	2.4	1.7	1.8
5-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % P.C. + 30 ml EM/ vine	19.6	19.7	0.595	0.588	17.6	18.0	2.0	1.8	1.2	1.3
6-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	19.3	19.4	0.620	0.613	17.3	17.6	2.4	2.1	1.5	1.6
7-N as 50 % Inorg. N + 50 % F.Y.M. + 30 ml EM/ vine	20.0	20.1	0.573	0.566	18.0	18.3	1.7	1.5	1.0	1.1
8-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % P.C. + 45 ml EM/ vine	20.6	20.7	0.527	0.520	18.6	19.0	1.1	1.0	0.5	0.6
9-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	20.3	20.4	0.550	0.543	18.3	18.6	1.4	1.3	0.8	0.9
10-N as 25 % Inorg. N + 75 % F.Y.M. + 45 ml EM/ vine	21.0	21.1	0.503	0.494	19.0	19.3	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.3
New L.S.D. at 5%	0.3	0.3	0.021	0.022	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2

- P.C.= Plant compost (2 % N)

-P.M = Poultry manure 2 % N

4. Discussion:

The positive merits of using organic manures and the biofertilizer EM on growth, vine nutritional status, yield and quality of the berries might be attributed to the following reasons:

1-Organic and biofertilization effectively enhance water retention, saving irrigation water, soil aggregation, soil aeration cation exchange, availability of different nutrients, antioxidants, natural hormones such as IAA, GA₃ and cytokinins, soil fertility, vitamins B, N fixation, soil organic matter, root development and enzymes such as nitrogenase (**Cook**, **1966**, **Dahama**, **1999** and **David**, **2002**).

2- They are responsible for reducing soil pH, soil salinity, soil pathoegens and release of most nutrients (Dalbo, 1992 and Davis and Ghabbour, 1998).

These results are in concordance with those obtained by Abd El- Ghafar – Gehan (2002); Ahmed et al., (2003); Abd El- Hady (2003) ; Shawky et al., (2004); El- Shenawy and Stino (2005); Mahran (2005); Ibrahim – Asmaa (2006); El- Salhy et al., (2006); El- Khafagy (2006); Ahmed – Ebtsam (2007); Masoud, (2008); Ahmed et al., (2008); Madian (2010); Refaai (2011); Ahmed et al., (2011) and El- Khafagy, (2013).

Conclusion:

Carrying out N fertilization via 50% inorganic N + 50% poultry manure + 30 ml EM/ vine proved to be very effective in improving productivity of Superior grapevines and at the same time reducing pollution of juice with both nitrate and nitrite

References

- 1. Abd El- Ghafar- Gehan, E. (2002): Effect of some organic nitrogen fertilizers on growth and productivity of Red Roomy grapevines *(Vitis vinifera L.)*. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Abd El- Hady, A. M. (2003): Response of Flame Seedless vines to application of some biofertilizers. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. 23 (4): 667-680.
- 3. Ahmed- Ebtsam, A. M. (2007): Response of some seedless grape cultivars to different cultural treatments under Assiut conditions. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- 4. Ahmed, F.F. and Morsy, M.H. (1999): A new methods for measuring leaf area in different fruit species. Minia, J. of Agric. Res., Develop. 19 pp. 97-105.
- Ahmed, F.F.; El- Sayed, H.A and Shoeib, M.M. (2003): Effect of bio and organic source of N as a partial substitute for chemical fertilizer on bud behaviour, growth and fruiting of Flame seedless

grapevines. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. 23 (3): 529-546.

- Ahmed, F. F.; Ragab, M. A. and Refaai, M. M. (2008): Alleviating the adverse effects of salinity on Flame seedless grapevine transplants growing in different soil textures by using mycorrhizae and farmyard manure. The 1st Inter. Conf. on Environmental Studies and Research (Natural Resources & Sustainable Development) 7-9 April, 2008, Environmental studies and Research Institute (ESRI) Minufiya Univ., Sadat Branch.
- Ahmed, F.F.; Ibrahiem- Asmaa, A.; Mansor, A.E.M.; Shaaban, E.A. and El- Shammaa, M.S. (2011): Response of Thompson seedless grapevines to application of some amino acids enriched with nutrients as well as organic and Biofertilization. Res. J. of Agric. and Biol. Sci., 7 (2): 282-286.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (2000): Official Methods of Analysis (A.O.A.C), 12th Ed., Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington D.Q, U.S.A. pp. 490-510.
- Balo, E.; Prilesszky, G.; Happ, I.; Kaholami, M. and Vega. L. (1988): Soil improvement and the use of leaf analysis for forecasting nutrient requirements of grapes. Potash Review (Subject 9, 2nd suite. No. 61: 1-5).
- Black, G. A.; Evans, D. D.; Ersminger, L. E.; White, J. L. and dark, F. E. (1965): Methods of Soil Analysis. Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc. Bull. Medison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. pp. 891-1400.
- 11. Chapman, H.D. and Pratt, P.E. (1987): Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. Univ. California, Div. Agric. Sci. 1, 150.
- 12. Cook, J. A. (1966): Grape Nutrition. In Temperate to tropical Fruit Nutrition, Hort. Publications, New Brunswick pp. 777-812.
- Dahama, A. K. (1999): Organic farming for sustainable Agriculture. Agro Botanic, Daryagum, New Delhi, India, p. 258.
- Dalbo, M. A. (1992): Nutrition and fertilization of grapevines. Agrapecuaria Catarineneses 5 (4): 32 - 35, Brazil.
- David, G. (2002): Tree fruit production with organic farming methods. Centre for Sutaining Agriculture and Natural Resources. Washington State University. Wenatchee, USA. (www.yahoo.com). pp 10 - 12.
- 16. Davis, G. and Ghabbour, E. A. (1998): Humic substances, structure properties and uses. Royal Soc. of Chemistry, Cambridge pp. 10-15.
- 17. El-Khafagy, H. A. (2006): Effect of some organic and biofertilizer treatments on growth and productivity of Thompson seedless

grapevines in reclimated areas. M. Sc, Thesis. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ.

- El- Khafagy, H. A. (2013): Physiological studies on productivity and quality of some grape varieties under bio- organic fertilization. PhD Thesis Environmental Studies and Res. Instit. Sadat. Univ. Egypt.
- El- Salhy, A. M.; Marzouk, H. M. M. and El-Akkad, M. M. (2006): Biofertilization and elemental sulphur effects on growth and fruiting of Kings Ruby and Red Roomy grapevines. Egypt J. of Hort. 33: 1, 29 - 43.
- El- Shenawy, I. E. and Stino, R. G. (2005): Evaluation of conventional organic and biofertilizers on "Crimson seedless grapevines" in comparison with chemical fertilizers "Vegetative growth and nutritional status". Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.; 20 (1): 212 - 225 pp.
- El- Wany, A.R.M. (2015): Response of Thompson seedless grapevines to application of EM and fulvic acid as a partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizer. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- 22. Fadl, M. S and Ser El- Deen, S. A. (1978): Effect of N Benzyl adenine on photosynthesis pigments total sugars on olive seedling growth under saline condition. Res. Bull. No. 843, Fac. Agric. Ain shams Univ.
- 23. Ibrahim- Asmaa, A. H. (2006): Influence of some biofertilizers and antioxidants on Red Roomy grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.).Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Lane, J. H. and Eynon, L. (1965): Determination of reducing sugars by means of Fehling's solution with methylene blue as indicator A.O.AC. Washington D.C.U.S.A. pp. 100-110.
- 25. Madian, A. M. (2010): Adjusting the best source and proportion of mineral, organic and bio nitrogen fertilizers on Red Roomy grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.

- 26. Mahran, M. K. (2005): Response of White Banaty grapevines to fertilization with organic and biofertilizers as well as spraying with ascobin. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- 27. Masoud, S. E. Y. (2008): Attempts for alleviating the adverse effects of soil salinity on growth and fruiting of Superior grapevines. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Mead, R.; Currow, R. N. and Harted, A. M. (1993): Statistical Methods in Agricultural Biology. 2nd Ed. Chapman & Hall, London.pp.50 70.
- Myint, C.C. (1999): EM nature forming technology. Res. & Extension Activities in Myanmar 6th Inter Conf. On Kyusei Nature Farming. Pretoria South Africa, 28-30 Oct., 1999.
- Refaai, M. M. (2011): Productive capacity of Thompson seedless grapevines in relation to some inorganic, organic and biofertilization as well as citric acid treatments. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Sen, N.P. and Donaldson, B. (1978): Improved coloimetric method for determining nitrate and nitrite. Food J. Assoc. of Anal. Chem. 16(6): 1389-1395.
- Shawky, I.; El- Shazly, S.; El- Gazzar, A.; Selim, S. and Mansour, N. (2004): Effect of mineral and biological nitrogen fertilization on Thompson seedless grape transplants. I-Effect on vegetative growth. Annals of Agricultural Science. Moshtohnr. 42-3- 1329- 1345.
- Von- Wettstein, D. V. C. (1957): Clatale und der Sumbmikro Skopisne Formwechsel de Plastids. Experimental Cell Research, 12 -427.
- 34. Wilde, S. A.; Corey, R. B.; Layer, J. G. and Voigt, G. K. (1985): Soils and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture. Oxford and IBH publishing Co., New Delhi, India.

8/12/2015