**Nature and Science** 

Websites: http://www.sciencepub.net/nature http://www.sciencepub.net

Emails: naturesciencej@gmail.com editor@sciencepub.net



## Comparing the Effectiveness of herbal and fluoridated dentifrices on Dental caries- A Systematic Review

#### DR. SHIVASHANKAR.K\*, DR. MEIGNANA ARUMUGHAM.I\*\*

\*Post Graduate, Department of Public health dentistry, Saveetha Dental college and hospitals, Chennai, India. Reader, Department of Public health dentistry, Saveetha Dental college and hospitals, Chennai, India. <u>shiva.free@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND: Dental caries is a global oral health problem which has a distinctive variation. Cariogenic microorganisms like Streptococcus mutans and lactobacillus acidophilus are the primary causative microorganism for the development of dental caries. These cariogenic microorganisms encourage the accumulation and adherence of plaque biofilm by metabolizing sucrose into sticky glycan. The microorganisms in dental plaque degrade the dietary carbohydrates producing lactic acid leading to localized demineralization and the eventual formation of dental caries. Chemical plaque control like dentifrices used as an adjuvant to Mechanical plaque control is the most effective method of removing biofilm, thereby preventing dental caries. Various chemically derived antimicrobial agents are incorporated to dentifrices which includes Triclosan, zinc chloride etc. Other agents like fluoride, calcium phosphates are added to improve the anticariogenic properties of dentifrices. In recent times, there has been renewed interest in naturally occurring products. There are some dozen brands that claim or position themselves as natural, chemical-free, made of herbs. AIM: The aim of this systematic review was to analyse the existing literature to compare the effectiveness of herbal and conventional dentifrices on dental caries. MATERIALS AND METHODS: SEARCHSTRATEGY. The Data Bases of PubMed and Google scholar were searched up to September 2015 for the related topic. SELECTION CRITERIA. Randomized controlled trials, comparative clinical trials and In-vitro studies in which the effectiveness of herbal and conventional dentifrices on dental caries. **RESULTS:** The systematic search revealed a total of 19 publications from PubMed and Google Scholar which were scrutinized based on pre-set inclusion and exclusion criteria. Nine publications fulfilled all the inclusion criteria and 10 publications were excluded from the review. Out of nine studies, three studies were clinical studies and three were In-vitro studies. All the studies used Microbiological analysis for determination of cariogenic microorganisms in plaque and saliva whereas; one study used pH of plaque and saliva. Among the included studies, three studies had high risk of bias with level 2 evidence. **CONCLUSION:** With the evidences available it can be concluded that herbal toothpastes can be used as an alternative to fluoridated toothpaste and both has the similar kind effect on cariogenic microflora.

[DR. SHIVASHANKAR.K, DR. MEIGNANA ARUMUGHAM.I. Comparing the Effectiveness of herbal and fluoridated dentifrices on Dental caries- A Systematic Review. *Nat Sci* 2023,21(8):53-68]. ISSN 1545-0740 (print); ISSN 2375-7167 (online). http://www.sciencepub.net/nature 07. doi:10.7537/marsnsj210823.07.

Keywords: Comparing; Effectiveness; herbal and fluoridated dentifrices; Dental; Systematic Review

## **INTRODUCTION**

Dental caries is a global oral health problem which has a distinctive variation (Baelum et al, 2007). Dental caries is the most common oral disease that affects significant number of Indian population. The prevalence of dental caries in India is reported as 31.5% to 89% (Shourie KL et al 1941; Damle SC, 1994; Antia FE, 1962; Tewari A et al, 1977; Dash JK et al, 2002; Dhar V et al, 2007; Saravanan S et al, 2008). Dental caries is widely recognised as a multifactorial infectious disease. The main aetiology of dental caries are; a) cariogenic bacteria, b) fermentable carbohydrates, c) a susceptible tooth and host and d) time (Rebecca Harris et al, 2004). Cariogenic microorganisms like Streptococcus mutans and lactobacillus acidophilus are the primary causative microorganism for the development of dental caries. These cariogenic microorganisms encourage the accumulation and adherence of plaque biofilm by metabolizing sucrose into sticky glycan. The microorganisms in dental plaque degrade the dietary carbohydrates producing lactic acid leading to localized demineralization and the eventual formation of dental caries (Namita P et al, 2012; Taylor PW et al, 2005 in Anita et al, 2014).

Poor oral hygiene is one of the reasons for accumulation of plaque in the oral cavity thereby harbouring microbes. The methods used for plaque control are chemical and mechanical method. Besides mechanical cleaning of teeth, the use of chemical agents with antiplaque or antimicrobial activity into dental products has been proposed as a potential prophylactic method of reducing plaque-mediated disease by limiting the cariogenic bacteria in the oral cavity (Priya S et al, 2012)

Various chemically derived antimicrobial agents are incorporated to dentifrices which includes Triclosan, zinc chloride etc. Other agents like fluoride, calcium phosphates are added to improve the anticariogenic properties of dentifrices. Fluoride was first added to toothpastes in the 1890's. Consumers who wish to avoid the artificial ingredients commonly found in regular toothpastes use the herbal toothpastes. Many herbal toothpastes do not contain fluoride or sodium lauryl sulfate. The ingredients found in natural toothpastes vary widely but often include baking soda, aloe, eucalyptus oil, myrrh, plant extract (strawberry extract), and essential oils .

In recent times, there has been renewed interest in naturally occurring products. There are some dozen brands that claim or position themselves as natural, chemical-free, made of herbs. They claim to have the same or even more anticariogenic and antiplaque effect without adding any chemicals. Hence, the aim of the present systematic review was to compare the effectiveness of herbal and conventional toothpastes on Dental caries.

## AIM:

The aim of this systematic review was to analyse the existing literature to compare the effectiveness of herbal and conventional dentifrices on dental caries.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS: STRUCTURED QUESTION:

- Is there any difference between effectiveness of herbal and fluoridated toothpastes on oral micro flora.
- PICO Analysis
   Population Dental caries
   Intervention herbal toothpastes
   Comparison fluoridated/ commercial toothpastes

**Outcome**- whether there is a significant reduction in cariogenic microflora and subsequently inhibiting dental caries development.

## **INCLUSION CRITERIA**

Criteria for considering studies for the Review

- Randomized controlled trials and clinical trials comparing the effectiveness of herbal and fluoridated toothpastes on dental caries.
- In vitro studies.
- Literature in other languages which can be translated by the reviewer were included **EXCLUSION CRITERIA**
- Studies evaluating the effectiveness of herbal and fluoridated dentifrices on Gingivitis, Periodontitis, Oral Malodour and Pericoronitis patients.
- Animal study.
- Reviews.
- Literatures in other languages which cannot be translated by the reviewer were excluded. **SOURCES USED:** The Data Bases of PubMed and Google scholar were searched up to July 2015 for the related topic.

## **On-going Trials Registers:**

- We used free-text terms to search the following on-going trial registers:
- US National Institutes of Health Trials Register (http:// clinicaltrials.gov)
- The WHO Clinical Trials Registry Platform (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/default.aspx)
- Clinical Trial Registry of India (http://ctri.nic.in/Clinicaltrials/advsearch.php)
- Only articles in English and human species were applied during the electronic search to include all the possible clinical trials that are relevant for the search phase of the systematic review. Reference list of the identified randomized trials were also checked for possible additional studies.

# • SEARCH METHODOLOGY:

## Figure 1-Screenshot of PubMed search

Pubmed- dental caries OR caries dental OR decay dental OR dental cavities OR dental decay OR enamel caries OR dentin caries AND herbal toothpaste OR herbal extract OR Ayurveda toothpaste OR herbal dentifrices OR natural toothpaste AND fluoride toothpaste OR commercial toothpaste OR fluoridated dentifrices OR fluoride containing toothpaste AND lactobacillus streptococcus mutans OR OR anticariogenic effect OR caries inhibitory effect OR cariogenic microflora OR salivary ph OR ph of saliva OR plaque ph OR ph of plaque OR oral microflora OR plaque micro organisms.

| Search   | Add to builder   | Query  | Items found  |
|--|--|--|--|
| <u>#32</u>   | Add  | Search ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((  | 91   |
| #31  | Add  | Search (((((((((streptococcus mutans) OR lactobacilus) OR<br>anticariogenic effecct) OR caries inhibitory effecct) OR cariogenic<br>microflora) OR salivary ph) OR ph of saliva) OR plaque ph) OR ph of<br>plaque) OR oral microflora) OR plaque micro organisms   | <u>112954</u>  |
| #30  | Add  | Search plaque micro organisms  | 267  |
| #29  | Add  | Search oral microflora   | 2105   |
| #28  | bbA  | Search ph of plaque  | 51261  |
| #27  | Add  | Coarch plaque ph   | 51261  |
| #21  | Aud  | Search plaque pri  | 51201  |
| #20  | Agg  | Search ph of saliva  | 34315  |
| <u>#25</u>   | Add  | Search salivary ph   | 36255  |
| #24  | Add  | Search cariogenic microflora   | <u>88</u>  |
| #23  | Add  | Search caries inhibitory effecct   | 494  |
| #22  | Add  | Search anticariogenic effecct  | 263  |
| #21  | Add  | Search lactobacilus  | 20   |
|  |  |  |  |
| #20  | Add  |  |  |
| #19  |  | Search streptococcus mutans  | 9591   |
|  | Add  | Search streptococcus mutans<br>Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR commercial toothpaste) OR<br>flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste   | 2457   |
| #18  | Add  | Search streptococcus mutans<br>Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR commercial toothpaste) OR<br>flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste<br>Search fluoride containing toothpaste  | 2457<br>707  |
| #18<br>#17   | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add   | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR commercial toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoridated dentifrices  | 2457<br>207<br>207   |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16  | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add                                    | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR commercial toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search flouridated dentifrices Search commercial toothpaste   | 2281<br>2457<br>707<br>0<br>162  |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14  | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add                             | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR commercial toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoridated dentifrices Search commercial toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search (((herbal toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Avurveda  | 9581<br>2457<br>707<br>0<br>162<br>2392<br>8395  |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14  | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add                      | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR commercial toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoridated dentifrices Search commercial toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search (((herbal toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste   | 2457<br>2457<br>207<br>9<br>162<br>2392<br>8395  |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#13   | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add               | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR commercial toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search (((herbal toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search natural toothpaste  | 2457<br>2457<br>0<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125  |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#13<br>#12  | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add        | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR commercial toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoridated dentifrices Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search ((((herbal toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search natural toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices  | 9581<br>2457<br>207<br>0<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125<br>57   |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#13<br>#12<br>#11   | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR fluoride containing toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search (((herbal toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search natural toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices Search Ayurveda toothpaste Search louride toothpaste Search herbal extract  | 9581<br>2457<br>207<br>0<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125<br>57<br>9<br>0<br>239  |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#13<br>#12<br>#11<br>#10<br>#9  | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR fluoride containing toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search natural toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices Search Ayurveda toothpaste Search herbal toothpaste Search herbal toothpaste Search herbal toothpaste  | 9581<br>2457<br>207<br>0<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125<br>57<br>57<br>8218<br>54   |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#13<br>#12<br>#11<br>#10<br>#29<br>#8   | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR fluoride containing toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search natural toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices Search herbal dentifrices Search herbal extract Search herbal extract Search herbal toothpaste Search furveda toothpaste Search herbal toothpaste Search furveda toothpaste Search herbal toothpaste Search Merbal toothpaste Search Merbal toothpaste Search ((((dental caries) OR caries dental) OR decay dental) OR dental cavities) OR dental decay) OR enamel caries) OR dentin caries  | 2457<br>2457<br>0<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125<br>57<br>0<br>8218<br>54<br>53647  |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#13<br>#12<br>#11<br>#10<br>#9<br>#8  | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add | Search streptococcus mutans Search ((fluoride toothpaste) OR fluoride containing toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search natural toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices Search herbal extract Search herbal extract Search herbal extract Search herbal toothpaste Search herbal toothpaste Search herbal extract Search herbal extract Search herbal otothpaste Search furget otothpaste Search herbal otothpaste Search herbal otothpaste Search furget otothpaste Search furget otothpaste Search herbal otothpaste Search furget otothpaste Search herbal otothpast | 9581<br>2457<br>707<br>9<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125<br>57<br>9<br>8218<br>54<br>54<br>54<br>53647<br>4377   |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#13<br>#12<br>#11<br>#10<br>#29<br>#8<br>#8<br>#2<br>#2   | Add                            | Search streptococcus mutans Search ((fluoride toothpaste) OR fluoride containing toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride dentifrices Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search natural toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices Search Ayurveda toothpaste Search herbal extract Search herbal toothpaste Search herbal toothpaste Search herbal extract Search herbal toothpaste Search herbal otothpaste Search fulfildental caries) OR caries dental) OR decay dental) OR dental cavities) OR dental decay) OR enamel caries) OR dentin caries Search dentin caries   | 9581<br>2457<br>707<br>9<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125<br>57<br>9<br>8218<br>54<br>54<br>52647<br>54<br>53647<br>54<br>54<br>52647                             |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#12<br>#11<br>#10<br>#20<br>#33<br>#33<br>#35   | Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add<br>Add | Search streptococcus mutans Search ((fluoride toothpaste) OR fluoride containing toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride dentifrices Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search natural toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices Search Ayurveda toothpaste Search herbal extract Search herbal toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices Search Ayurveda toothpaste Search herbal toothpaste Search herbal toothpaste Search fluoride cortes dental) OR decay dental) OR dental cavities) OR dental decay) OR enamel caries) OR dentin caries Search dentin caries Search dental cavities  | 9581<br>2457<br>707<br>9<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125<br>57<br>8395<br>125<br>57<br>8218<br>54<br>53647<br>53647<br>53647<br>53647<br>53647<br>53647<br>53647 |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#12<br>#11<br>#10<br>#29<br>#8<br>#8<br>#8<br>#8<br>#6<br>#5  | Add                            | Search streptococcus mutans Search (((fluoride toothpaste) OR fluoride containing toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride dentifrices Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search natural toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices Search herbal extract Search herbal toothpaste Search fluoride cortes dental) OR decay dental) OR dental cavities) OR dental decay) OR enamel caries) OR dentin caries Search dentin caries Search dental cavities Search dental decay   | 9581<br>2457<br>707<br>0<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125<br>57<br>8218<br>54<br>53647<br>53647<br>4377<br>7068<br>52703<br>4992                                  |
| #18<br>#17<br>#16<br>#15<br>#14<br>#12<br>#11<br>#12<br>#11<br>#10<br>#29<br>#30<br>#51<br>#51<br>#51<br>#51<br>#51<br>#51<br>#51<br>#51<br>#51<br>#51 | Add                            | Search streptococcus mutans Search ((fluoride toothpaste) OR fluoride containing toothpaste) OR flouridated dentifrices) OR fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride containing toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste) OR herbal extract) OR Ayurveda toothpaste) OR herbal dentifrices) OR natural toothpaste Search fluoride toothpaste Search natural toothpaste Search herbal dentifrices Search herbal dentifrices Search herbal toothpaste Search dental caries) OR caries dental) OR decay dental) OR dental cavities Search dental cavities Search dental decay Search decay dental Search deca | 9581<br>2457<br>707<br>9<br>162<br>2392<br>8395<br>125<br>57<br>8218<br>54<br>54<br>53647<br>4377<br>7068<br>52703<br>49924<br>49924                           |

Figure 2-Screenshot of Google Scholar search



Flow search chart



#### Data Collection and Analysis Screening and Selection

Electronic search was carried out using the keywords in the Search engines- PubMed and Google Scholar which yielded a total of 19 articles. Hand search was done in caries research, which yielded zero articles. Based on pre-set inclusion and exclusion criteria, the titles of the studies identified from the search were assessed independently by two review authors (Dr. K. Shivashankar, Dr. I. Meignana Arumugham) Conflicts concerning inclusion of the studies were resolved by discussion. Nineteen titles were identified from the search after excluding duplications. Ten articles were excluded after reading titles. Abstracts of selected articles were reviewed independently. One article was excluded after reading abstract. Full text articles were retrieved for eight relevant studies. After reviewing the articles independently, two articles were excluded after full text reading. Finally seven articles were selected based on eligibility criteria.

The reference list of the full text articles were reviewed for identifying additional studies. Titles of articles relevant to the review were selected by discussion. Abstracts of the two selected articles were reviewed. Difference of opinion concerning inclusion of a study was resolved by discussion and one article was eliminated after reviewing abstracts. Quality Assessment criteria to evaluate the studies were decided by two review authors in accordance with CONSORT guidelines. The risk of bias for each study was independently assessed by the review authors and conflicts concerning risk of bias were sorted by discussion.

## **Data Extraction**

Data extraction for general characteristics of studies and variables of outcome was done.

For each trial the following data were recorded:

- Author and Journal
- Study Design
- Sample Size
- Participants and Group
- Methodology
- Parameters
- Statistical Analysis
- Results

## TABLE 1: VARIABLES OF INTEREST:

| S.No | VARIABLES OF INTEREST                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.   | DMFT and DMFS score.                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.   | Streptococcus and lactobacillus colony counts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.   | Salivary pH and plaque pH                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.   | Minimum zone of inhibition ( in-vitro          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      | studies)                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **QUALITY ASSESSMENT**

(Higgins and Green. Cochrane reviewer's hand book 2009)

The quality assessment of included trials was undertaken independently as a part of data extraction process. Four main quality criteria were examined.

- 1. Method of Randomization, recorded as
  - a) YES- Adequate as described in the text
  - b) NO- Inadequate as described in the text
  - c) Unclear in the text
- 2. Allocation Concealment, recorded as a) YES- Adequate as described in the text
  - b) NO- Inadequate as described in the text
  - c) Unclear in the text
- 3. Outcome assessors Blinded to intervention, recorded as
  - a) YES- Adequate as described in the text
  - b) NO- Inadequate as described in the text

c) Unclear in the text

4. **Completeness of Follow up** (was there a clear explanation for withdrawals and dropouts in each treatment group) assessed as

- a )YES- Dropouts were explained
- b) NO- Dropouts were not explained
- c) None- No Dropouts or withdrawals.

# Other methodological criteria examined included:

- 1. Presence or Absence of sample size calculation.
- 2. Comparability of Groups at the start.
- 3. Clear Inclusion or Exclusion criteria.

4. Presence or Absence of estimate of measurement error.

## **RISK OF BIAS IN INCLUDED STUDIES**

The study was assessed to have a "High risk" of bias if it did not record a "Yes" in three or more of the four main categories, "Moderate Risk "if two out of four categories did not record a "Yes", and "Low Risk" if all the four categories recorded if randomization assessor, Blinding and Completeness of follow up were considered Adequate. In case of non-randomized and clinical trials without control group, it is recorded as Not applicable.

# **RESULTS:**

## TABLE:1 GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDIES

| Article           | Study groups   | Method of          | Outcome                                | Limitations/  |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|--|---------------|
|                   |                | evaluation         |  | Future        |
|                   |                |                    |  | Scope         |
| Toothpaste        | Test group: 7  | Nutrient agar      | Differences in teeth and salivary      |               |
| formulation       | different      | (Fluka             | bacterial count before using he        | The study     |
| efficacy in       | toothpaste     | Biochemika,        | toothpaste and after using tooth for 3 | population is |
| reducing oral     | which          | Spain).            | consecutive times with a 12 hour       | not           |
| flora J Okpalugo, | included 6     | Plates were        | variation is evaluated.                | randomized    |
| K Ibrahim, US     | fluoridated    | incubated          | Tooth bacterial count after using all  | and washout   |
| Inyang (Nigeria,  | and 1 herbal   | upside down        | the dentifrices                        | period also   |
| 2009)             | toothpaste.    | in an              | T001 160,000 108,800 51,200            | was not       |
|                   | Control        | Incubator at       | T002 200,000 120,000 60,000            | mentioned.    |
|                   | group:         | 37°C for 24        | T003 118,000 73,160 44,840             |               |
|                   | positive       | h and oral         | T004 400,000 236,000 164,000           |               |
|                   | controls (agar | bacterial          | T005 230,000 165,600 64,400            |               |
|                   | plates         | Flora              | T006 260,000 132,600 127,400           |               |
|                   | containing     | counted after      | T007 120,000 92,400 27,600             |               |
|                   | some mouth     | 24 h               | Salivary bacterial count after using   |               |
|                   | flora:         |                    | the dentifrices                        |               |
|                   | StapHylococcu  |                    | T001 300,000 405,000 0 0 05,000        |               |
|                   | S              |                    | T002 360,000 435,000 0 0 75,000        |               |
|                   | aureus and     |                    | T003 500,000 480,000 20,000            |               |
|                   | Streptococcus  |                    | T004 700,000 800,000 0 0 00,000        |               |
|                   | pneumoniae)    |                    | T005 800,200 900,500 0 0 00,300        |               |
|                   | cultured using |                    | T006 540,800 508,325 32,475 6 0        |               |
|                   | the pour plate |                    | T007 400,000 520,000 0 0 120,000       |               |
|                   | technique and  |                    |  |               |
|                   | negative       |                    |  |               |
|                   | controls (agar |                    |  |               |
|                   | plates         |                    |  |               |
|                   | containing     |                    |  |               |
|                   | only           |                    |  |               |
|                   | nutrient agar, |                    |  |               |
|                   | sabouraud      |                    |  |               |
|                   | dextrose agar, |                    |  |               |
|                   | plate          |                    |  |               |
|                   | count agar,    |                    |  |               |
|                   | sterile water  |                    |  |               |
|                   | and normal     |                    |  |               |
|                   | saline)        | X <sup>1</sup> 1 1 |  | D ( 1         |
| Comparison of     | lest group :   | Microbial          | Baseline: Group I had a mean           | Bacterial     |
|                   | Himalaya       | analysis for       | Streptococcus mutans count of 53/20    | resistance    |
| commercially      | nerbal dental  | mutans             | counts/ml. Group II had 48520          | ueveloping    |
| available         | cream (n=50)   | streptococci       | Counts/mi.                             | to these      |
| the colline re-   |                | in sanva.          | Alter 15 days: Group I had a           | products      |
| the sanvary       | group :        |                    | mean bacterial count of 28640          | meed to be    |
| streptococcus     | (n=50)         |                    | besterial count of 27780 counts/m1     | monitored     |
| mutans count in   | (n=50)         |                    | After 20 deves Group I had a           | carefully.    |
| urban preschool   |                |                    | man bacterial count of 10640           |               |
| on in vivo study  |                |                    | counts/ml and Group II a               |               |
| an in vivo study. | 1              | 1                  | counts/mi and oroup if a               | 1             |

| Sudha Datil       |                  |            | mean bestanial count of 10420                 |              |
|-------------------|------------------|------------|---|--------------|
| Sudha Patil,      |                  |            | mean bacterial count of 19420                 |              |
| Kartnik           |                  |            | counts/ml.                                    |              |
| Venkataraghavan   |                  |            | After 90 days: Group I had a mean             |              |
| , A. Anantharaj,  |                  |            | bacterial count of 10200 counts/ml            |              |
| Shankargouda      |                  |            | and Group II a mean bacterial count           |              |
| Patil (Bangalore, |                  |            | of 10490 counts/ml.                           |              |
| 2010)             |                  |            | After 150 days: Group I had a                 |              |
|                   |                  |            | mean bacterial count of 5750                  |              |
|                   |                  |            | counts/ml and Group II had                    |              |
|                   |                  |            | a mean bacterial count of 5000                |              |
|                   |                  |            | counts/ml.                                    |              |
| The Effect of     | The fluoride     | Bacterial  | Plaque pH: mean pH value at                   | Long term    |
| Miswak and        | group $(n = 20)$ | count,     | baseline (before treatment) was               | studies are  |
| Fluoride          | The miswak       | Plaque and | $(4.3\pm0.4)$ in fluoride group and           | needed to    |
| Toothpastes on    | group (n =       | saliva pH  | $(4.6\pm0.6)$ in miswak group. The mean       | quantify the |
| Dental Plaque,    | 20).             |            | pH value after treatment was                  | efficacy of  |
| A Comparative     |                  |            | $(4.4\pm0.4)$ and $(4.8\pm0.5)$ respectively. | miswak       |
| Clinical and      |                  |            | Saliva pH: The mean pH value at               | toothpaste   |
| Microbiological   |                  |            | baseline (before treatment) was               | among        |
| Study             |                  |            | $(7\pm0.7)$ in fluoride group and             | children.    |
| WafaK.M.Talha,    |                  |            | $(7.1\pm0.4)$ in miswak group. The mean       | Further      |
| ManalElsaid, Ola  |                  |            | pH value after treatment was                  | researches   |
| M. Omar and       |                  |            | $(7.2\pm0.6)$ and $(7.2\pm0.5)$ respectively. | with larger  |
| Somaiya A.        |                  |            | Streptococcus mutans count: The               | sample sizes |
| Eissa.            |                  |            | mean log10 SM count at baseline               | are          |
| (Egypt, 2013)     |                  |            | (before treatment) was $(6\pm 1.3)$ in        | needed to    |
|                   |                  |            | fluoride group and $(6.4\pm1)$ in miswak      | evaluate the |
|                   |                  |            | group. The mean log10 SM count                | benefits of  |
|                   |                  |            | after treatment was $(5.6\pm1.3)$ and         | Miswak       |
|                   |                  |            | $(6.1\pm1.2)$ respectively.                   | toothpaste   |
|                   |                  |            | Lactobacillus count: The mean                 | use for      |
|                   |                  |            | log10 LB count at baseline (before            | children     |
|                   |                  |            | treatment) was $(2.4\pm0.4)$ in fluoride      |              |
|                   |                  |            | group and $(2.5\pm0.5)$ in miswak             |              |
|                   |                  |            | group. The mean log10 LB count                |              |
|                   |                  |            | after treatment was $(2.2\pm0.5)$ and         |              |
|                   |                  |            | $(2.3\pm0.4)$ respectively.                   |              |

# TABLE: 2 DATA EXTRACTION TABLE

| Sl | Article         | Author and        | Study    | Sample      | Participants          | Methodology    | Parameters    | Statistical      | Results                  |
|----|-----------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| no |                 | Journal           | Design   | Size        | and Group             |                |               | Analysis         |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | Samples: 8            |                | Mouth         |                  |                          |
| 1. | Toothpaste      | J Okpalugo, K     | Clinical | Sample      | participants          | Subjects were  | swaps and     | Chi Test was     | 71% of the toothpaste    |
|    | formulation     | Ibrahim, US       | trial    | size        | <b>Test group</b> : 7 | asked to use a | saliva before | used to test for | brands were found to     |
|    | efficacy in     | Inyang            |          | calculation | different             | toothpaste     | and after     | independence.    | significantly (p=0.068)  |
|    | reducing oral   | Tropical Journal  |          | not         | toothpaste            | brand          | brushing was  | The statistical  | increase saliva bacteria |
|    | flora (Nigeria, | of Pharmaceutical |          | mentioned   | which included        | 12hourly on    | taken, plated | significance     | counts. No brand of      |
|    | 2009)           | Research,         |          |             | 6 fluoridated         | three          | by the pour   | level was        | toothpaste removed       |
|    |                 | February 2009; 8  |          |             | and 1 herbal          | consecutive    | plate         | established at P | teeth bacteria by up to  |
|    |                 | (1): 71-77        |          |             | toothpaste.           | occasions as   | technique,    | < .05.           | 50%. On average, the     |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | Control group         | the only       | incubated at  |                  | two triclosan-           |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | : positive            | source of oral | 37°C and      |                  | containing toothpaste    |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | controls (agar        | hygiene, and   | then counted  |                  | brands exerted a         |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | plates                | then switched  | on nutrient   |                  | greater reduction in     |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | containing            | over to        | agar after 24 |                  | mouth bacteria than      |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | some mouth            | another        | h. Percentage |                  | non-triclosan            |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | flora:                | brand. Mouth   | bacterial     |                  | toothpaste brands. This  |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | Staphylococcus        | swaps and      | reduction was |                  | was followed by the      |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | aureus and            | saliva before  | calculated    |                  | herbal toothpaste. The   |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | Streptococcus         | and after      | from the      |                  | toothpaste brands that   |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | pneumoniae)           | brushing was   | difference in |                  | contained only fluoride  |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | cultured using        | taken, plated  | bacterial     |                  | were the least effective |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | the pour plate        | by the pour    | counts before |                  | in reducing mouth        |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | technique and         | plate          | and after     |                  | bacteria.                |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | negative              | technique,     | brushing.     |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | controls (agar        | incubated at   | C C           |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | plates                | 37°C and       |               |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | containing only       | then counted   |               |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | nutrient agar.        | on nutrient    |               |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | sabouraud             | agar after 24  |               |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | dextrose agar.        | h. Percentage  |               |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | plate                 | bacterial      |               |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | count agar.           | reduction was  |               |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | sterile water         | calculated     |               |                  |                          |
|    |                 |                   |          |             | and normal            | from the       |               |                  |                          |

http://www.sciencepub.net/nature

61

|    |                |                   |         |             | saline)         | difference in |                |                  |                        |
|----|----------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | bacterial     |                |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | counts before |                |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | and after     |                |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | brushing.     |                |                  |                        |
| 2. | Comparison of  | Sudha Patil,      | In-vivo | Sample      | Samples: 100    | The 100       | The WHO        | Independent t-   | There was a steady     |
|    | two            | Karthik           | study.  | size        | participants in | participants  | proforma       | test was used.   | decrease in the        |
|    | commercially   | Venkataraghavan,  |         | calculation | the age group   | were          | 1997           | The statistical  | bacterial count        |
|    | available      | A. Anantharaj,    |         | not         | of 4-6 years    | randomly      | dentition      | significance     | over a period of 5     |
|    | toothpastes on | Shankargouda      |         | mentioned   | with $dmf = 0$  | divided into  | status         | level was        | months; the overall    |
|    | the salivary   | Patil.            |         |             | were selected   | two groups    | assessment     | established at P | percentage decrease in |
|    | streptococcus  | International     |         |             | from the R.V.   | with 50       | was used to    | < .05.           | Group I being          |
|    | mutans count   | Dentistry Sa Vol. |         |             | Public school,  | participants  | calculate      |                  | 90.69% and Group II    |
|    | in urban       | 12, No. 4 P 78-88 |         |             | Bangalore.      | in each       | DMF.           |                  | being 89.69%.          |
|    | preschool      |                   |         |             | Test group :    | group. Group  | Saliva         |                  | However, between the   |
|    | children -     |                   |         |             | Himalaya        | I was         | collection (to |                  | toothpastes there was  |
|    | An in vivo     |                   |         |             | herbal dental   | provided with | assess the     |                  | no statistically       |
|    | study.         |                   |         |             | cream (n=50)    | Himalaya      | microbial      |                  | significant difference |
|    | (Bangalore,    |                   |         |             | Control group   | Herbals       | count) was     |                  | in the bacterial count |
|    | 2010)          |                   |         |             | : cheerio gel   | Dental        | done           |                  | and both the           |
|    |                |                   |         |             | (n=50)          | cream         | at the period  |                  | toothpastes            |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | containing    | of Baseline,   |                  | were efficacious in    |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | Neem, Group   | 15 days, 30    |                  | reducing the bacterial |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | II was        | days, 90 days  |                  | count.                 |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | provided with | and            |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | 458 ppm       | 150 days. A    |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | containing    | washout        |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | fluoride      | period of 2    |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | toothpaste    | weeks was      |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | Cheerio gel.  | given prior to |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | The           | the Baseline   |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | toothpastes   | count          |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | and           | wherein the    |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | toothbrush    | children       |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | were          | brushed their  |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | provided over | teeth with     |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | a period of 5 | their regular  |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | months for    | brush, but     |                  |                        |
|    |                |                   |         |             |                 | nome care     | without a      |                  |                        |
|    | 1              |                   |         |             | 1               | use.          | toothpaste.    | 1                |                        |

|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | Instructions   | Solivo         |                  |                           |
|----|-----------------|------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | users given to | sallastion     |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | were given to  | Thurse         |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | the parents on | i lifee        |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | brusning       | students at a  |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | technique,     | time were      |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | amount of      | made           |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | paste to be    | to sit         |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | used and       | comfortably    |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | twice daily    | on the chair.  |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | brushing with  | After          |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | the pastes,    | swallowing     |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | with specific  | pre-existing   |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | instructions   | saliva,        |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | that the child | subjects were  |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | should not     | given paraffin |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | use other      | wax to chew    |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | toothpastes    | to stimulate   |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | during these   | salivary flow  |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | 5 months.      | which was      |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | The saliva     | then collected |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | sample was     | by             |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | then collected | expectorating  |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | and            | in a sterile   |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | streptococcus  | disposable     |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | mutans         | measuring      |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | estimated in 4 | cup over       |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | phases, 1) 15  | the next 5     |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | days 2) 30     | minutes.       |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | days 3) 90     |                |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | days 4) 150    |                |                  |                           |
|    |                 |                  |         |             |                    | davs.          |                |                  |                           |
| 3. | The Effect of   | WafaK.M.Talha.   | In-vivo | Sample      | The fluoride       | Children       | Bacterial      | Student's t-test | There was no              |
|    | Miswak and      | ManalElsaid, Ola | study   | size        | group $(n = 20)$   | were           | count was      | was used to      | statistically significant |
|    | Fluoride        | M. Omar and      | )       | calculation | The miswak         | randomly       | calculated by  | compare          | increase in the mean      |
|    | Toothpastes on  | Somaiya A.       | /       | not         | group $(n = 20)$ . | allocated into | using Two      | between the      | Plaque pH after using     |
|    | Dental Plaque.  | Eissa.           |         | mentioned   |                    | either the     | types of       | two groups.      | fluoridated toothpaste.   |
|    | A Comparative   | Nature and       |         |             |                    | fluoride       | media which    | Paired t-test    | While miswak group.       |
|    | Clinical and    | Science          |         |             |                    | group (n =     | are, Mitis     | was used to      | showed a statistically    |
|    | Microbiological | 2013;11(9)       |         |             |                    | 20) or the     | Salivarius     | study the        | significant increase in   |
|    | Study (Egypt,   |                  |         |             |                    | miswak         | Agar Base for  | changes after    | mean plaque pH after 2    |

http://www.sciencepub.net/nature

| 2013) |  |  | group(n =      | S              | treatment         | weeks Although the        |
|-------|--|--|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 2013) |  |  | 20) The        | mutans and     | within each       | mean saliya nH values     |
|       |  |  | antimicrobial  | tomato agar    | group             | of                        |
|       |  |  | affact of both | (POCOSA        | group.            | both groups increased     |
|       |  |  |                | (KUUUUSA       |                   | both groups increased     |
|       |  |  | miswak and     | AGAR) for      | data snowed       | slightly yet it was       |
|       |  |  | fluoridated    | Lactobacillus. | non-normal        | statistically not         |
|       |  |  | toothpaste     | Plaque and     | (nonparametric)   | significant. As regards   |
|       |  |  | used in this   | saliva pH      | distribution, so  | fluoride group, there     |
|       |  |  | study was      | were           | Mann-Whitney      | was a                     |
|       |  |  | determined.    | measured       | U test            | statistically significant |
|       |  |  | Children       | using a        | was used to       | reduction in mean         |
|       |  |  | were asked to  | portable pH    | compare           | log10 values of S.        |
|       |  |  | brush their    | meter.         | between the       | mutans CFU count          |
|       |  |  | teeth twice    |                | two groups.       | after treatment. While    |
|       |  |  | daily for 2    |                | This test is the  | miswak group, showed      |
|       |  |  | weeks          |                | non-parametric    | no statistically          |
|       |  |  |                |                | alternative to    | significant reduction in  |
|       |  |  |                |                | Student's t test  | mean log10 values of      |
|       |  |  |                |                | Student 5 t test. | S mutans CELL count       |
|       |  |  |                |                |                   | after treatment Both      |
|       |  |  |                |                |                   | fluoride and misural      |
|       |  |  |                |                |                   |                           |
|       |  |  |                |                |                   | group, snowed a           |
|       |  |  |                |                |                   | statistically significant |
|       |  |  |                |                |                   | reduction in mean         |
|       |  |  |                |                |                   | log10 values of           |
|       |  |  |                |                |                   | Lactobacillus CFU         |
|       |  |  |                |                |                   | count after treatment.    |

# Table 3 Evidence Level of Selected articles

(The United States department of health and human services 2012)

|       | r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |                 |                   |
|-------|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| Sl no | Author & Year                           | Study Design    | Level of Evidence |
| 1     | J Okpalugo et al 2009                   | Clinical trial. | 2                 |
|       |   |                 |                   |
| 2     | Sudha Patil et al 2010                  | Clinical trial. | 2                 |
|       |   |                 |                   |
| 3     | WafaK.M.Talha et al 2013                | Clinical trial  | 2                 |
|       |   |                 |                   |

# TABLE 4: RISK OF BIAS- MAJOR CRITERIA

| S.No | Study                                   | Randomization | Allocation<br>concealment | Assessor<br>Blinded | Drop outs<br>described | RISK<br>OF BIAS |
|------|---|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 1    | J Okpalugo et al<br>(Nigeria, 2009)     | NO            | NO                        | NO                  | NONE                   | HIGH            |
| 2.   | Sudha Patil et al<br>(Bangalore, 2010)  | NO            | NO                        | NO                  | NONE                   | HIGH            |
| 3.   | WafaK.M.Talha<br>et al (Egypt,<br>2013) | NO            | NO                        | NO                  | NONE                   | HIGH            |

# TABLE 5: RISK OF BIAS- MINOR CRITERIA

| S.No | Study                         | Sample<br>justified | Baseline<br>comparison | I/ E<br>criteria | Method of error |
|------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|      | J Okpalugo et al (Nigeria,    |                     |                        |                  |                 |
| 1    | 2009)                         | NO                  | YES                    | YES              | NO              |
|      | Sudha Patil et al (Bangalore, |                     |                        |                  |                 |
| 2    | 2010)                         | NO                  | YES                    | YES              | NO              |
| 3    | WafaK.M.Talha et al           |                     |                        |                  |                 |
|      | (Egypt, 2013)                 | NO                  | YES                    | YES              | NO              |

# Table 6: SUMMATION OF OUTCOME OF VARIABLES

| Outcome                   | Herbal vs fluoridated toothpaste |                    |                      |                               |               |  |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Streptococcus<br>Mutans   | Total<br>studies                 | Herbal<br>superior | Fluoridated superior | Fluoridated<br>with triclosan | No difference |  |
| (colony forming<br>units) | 3                                |                    |                      | 1                             | 2             |  |
| Plaque pH                 | 1                                | 1                  |                      |                               |               |  |
| Saliva pH                 | 1                                |                    |                      |                               | 1             |  |

## Discussion:

Dental caries being a multifactorial disease can be prevented by maintaining proper oral hygiene. Brushing is an important in-house method of maintaining oral hygiene. Often this mechanical plaque control method of brushing is associated with chemical plaque control of using toothpaste. Fluoride is the most popular active ingredient in toothpaste to prevent dental cavities. The additional fluoride in toothpaste has beneficial effects on the formation of dental enamel and bones. It is also reported that Fluoride-containing toothpaste can be toxic if swallowed in large amounts. Reports have suggested triclosan, an active ingredient in many toothpastes, can combine with chlorine in tap water to form chloroform, which the United States Environmental Protection Agency classifies as a probable human carcinogen. Sodium lauryl sulfate alters taste perception. It can break down phospholipids that inhibit taste receptors for sweetness, giving food a bitter taste (Rule KL et al, 2005).

Due to these side effects or ill effects of commercially available fluoride and triclosan toothpastes there is an increased migration of people using fluoride toothpaste to herbal toothpastes. The herbal products are extracted from medicinal plants which are antimicrobial in nature. These herbal products act as an alternative to the commercially available synthetic products. In the recent years, there is also an increased trend of using natural products. Hence this systemic review is done to compare the effect of herbal and fluoridated toothpastes on dental caries.

The hierarchy of evidence has assessed Randomized Controlled Trials above other forms of study (Faggion CM Jr et al, 2007) but there were no available RCT's on comparing the effectiveness of herbal and fluoridated toothpaste on dental caries. Hence, available clinical and in-vitro studies are included in this systematic review.

The results of the clinical studies included for review compared herbal and fluoridated toothpastes in reduction of cariogenic microflora and DMF(T) score salivary pH, and plaque pH. The results of the in-vitro study included in the review compared the zone of inhibition for various cariogenic microflora.

The clinical study of Sudha Patil et al (Bangalore, 2010) concluded that there was a steady decline in the bacterial count over a period of 5 months; the overall percentage decrease in herbal toothpaste being 90.69% and fluoridated toothpaste being 89.69%. However, between the toothpastes there was no statistically significant difference in the bacterial count and both herbal and fluoridated toothpastes were efficacious in reducing the bacterial count. Another clinical study done by J Okpalugo et al (Nigeria, 2009) revealed that 71% of the toothpaste brands were found to increase salivary bacterial counts, which may be due to addition of ingredients like sodium saccharin and other sweeteners in the toothpastes. No brand of toothpaste was found to make the tooth, devoid of bacteria up to 50%. On average, the two triclosan-containing toothpaste brands exerted a greater reduction in oral bacteria than non-triclosan toothpaste brands. This was followed by the herbal toothpaste. The toothpaste brands that contained only fluoride were the least effective in reducing mouth bacteria. The study done by WafaK.M.Talha et al (Egypt, 2013) revealed that there was no statistically significant increase in the mean plaque pH after using fluoridated toothpaste, while miswak group, showed a statistically significant increase in mean plaque pH after 2 weeks. Although the mean saliva pH values of both fluoridated and miswak group increased marginally yet it was statistically not significant. As regards fluoride group, there was a statistically significant reduction in mean log10 values of S. mutans Colony Forming Unit count after the treatment. In contrary miswak group, showed statistically no significant reduction in mean log10 values of S. mutans Colony Forming Unit count after treatment. Both fluoride and miswak group, showed a statistically significant reduction in mean log10 values of Lactobacillus Colony Forming Unit count after treatment.

The in-vitro study conducted by Basu Anushree et al (India, 2015) suggested that antimicrobial activity of the toothpaste containing both triclosan and fluoride (1000ppm) as active ingredients showed a significant difference (p < 0.05) against all four tested microflora compared to that of with lower fluoride-concentration sodium or monofluorophosphate. Of herbal groups, the dentifrice containing several phytochemicals only was found to be significantly effective and comparable to triclosanfluoride (1000ppm) formulation. Another in-vitro study conducted by Mohankumar KP et al (India, 2013) suggested that there is variation in antibacterial efficacy against S. Mutans and L. Acidophilus among various herbal and conventional dentifrices, which can be attributed to the effect of various components of the dentifrices and their efficacy to inhibit various organisms. It was observed that the dentifrice with multiple herbal components (H4) is more effective in inhibiting both the organisms. The in-vitro studies conducted by Rahul R.Deshpande et al (India, 2014) suggested that fluoride toothpaste had maximum antimicrobial activity at all concentrations when compared to herbal toothpaste which was statistically significant.

#### INTERPRETATION OF METHODOLOGY:

In clinical studies done by WafaK.M.Talha et al (Egypt, 2013) and Sudha Patil et al (Bangalore, 2010) Standardisation of the salivary collection technique was followed. Stimulated saliva was collected in study done by Sudha Patil et al (Bangalore, 2010) whereas unstimulated saliva was collected in the study done by J Okpalugo et al (Nigeria, 2009) and WafaK. M.Talha et al (Egypt, 2013). The time between brushing and swabbing; toothbrush/cup type, amount of toothpaste used, brushing method, brushing time, and the bacterial count method used were kept constant in the study done by J Okpalugo et al (Nigeria, 2009). In addition to saliva, pooled plaque samples were collected from buccal surface of clinically sound upper incisors and molars using sterile toothpicks for bacterial count in the study done by WafaK.M.Talha et al (Egypt, 2013). Salivary pH, plaque pH and plaque microflora which play an important role in development of dental caries were not recorded in the study done by Sudha Patil et al (Bangalore, 2010) and J Okpalugo et al (Nigeria, 2009).

In-vitro studies, salivary samples or pure culture of microorganisms were collected and incubated. Zone of inhibition was measured in all the studies done by Basu Anushree et al (India, 2015), Mohankumar KP et al (India, 2013) (pure culture) and Rahul R. Deshpande et al (India, 2014) (salivary samples). The size of the zone of inhibition is usually related to the level of antimicrobial activity present in the product (dentifrice).

## **INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS:**

There were 3 clinical studies in this systematic review with a total sample size of 148 subjects with predominantly children (140) with age ranging from 4 to 9 years of age. In the study done by Sudha Patil et al (Bangalore, 2010) and WafaK.M.Talha et al (Egypt, 2013) it was concluded both the herbal tooth paste and fluoridated toothpaste has same level of antimicrobial effect and reduces streptococcus mutans count whereas in the study done by J Okpalugo et al (Nigeria, 2009) toothpaste containing fluoride and triclosan reduced microbial count more efficiently followed by herbal and last by fluoride toothpaste The variation in the results between these studies may be due to the reason that Sudha Patil et al (Bangalore, 2010) collected stimulated saliva whereas J Okpalugo et al (Nigeria, 2009) and WafaK.M.Talha et al (Egypt, 2013) collected unstimulated saliva. There is a change in the salivary composition between stimulated (contains more proteins) and unstimulated saliva.

The in-vitro studies done by Mohankumar et al (India, 2013) the herbal tooth pastes have similar and slightly better antibacterial activity compared to the

conventional tooth pastes. In study done by Rahul R. Deshpande et al (India, 2014) fluoridated toothpaste had more antimicrobial effect than herbal toothpastes. In a study done by Basu Anushree et al (India, 2015) fluoride toothpaste exhibited significant reduction in microbial count. Only one herbal toothpaste among three herbal toothpastes had similar effect to fluoride toothpaste. This change in result between these studies may be since pure culture was used in studies done by Mohankumar et al (India, 2013), Basu Anushree et al (India, 2015) whereas salivary sample were incubated for microbial growth in the study done by Rahul R. Deshpande et al (India, 2014) <sup>(19)</sup>.

## REPORT ON QUALITY OF EVIDENCE LOOKED UPON

Out of the eight studies, 3 were in-vivo studies or clinical trials and have level 2 evidence; the remaining six studies were in-vitro studies and have level 3 evidence.

Inspite of numerous numbers of clinical trials comparing herbal and commercial products on gingivitis, there are less number of clinical trials and almost no Randomized control trials comparing the effectiveness of herbal and fluoridated toothpastes on dental caries. Hence further studies should be encouraged in this field.

#### LIMITATIONS:

The present systematic review limits the studies in English language only. This systematic review includes only the published data or researches for interpretation. This limited the number of studies assessing the aim of this review. There are no available Randomized Control Trials comparing the herbal and fluoridated dentifrices on dental caries, hence In-vitro studies are included in the review which reduces the level of evidence to 3. Due to lack of clinical studies comparing the anticariogenic properties of fluoridated and herbal dentifrice, heterogeneous outcome variables are included in the study.

## <u>Conclusion:</u>

A total of 6 studies were included in this review out of which 3 were clinical studies with level 2 evidence and 3 were in-vitro studies. Based on Cochrane risk assessment all the 3 clinical trials had a high risk of bias. With the evidences available it can be concluded that herbal toothpastes can be used as an alternative to fluoridated toothpaste and both has the similar kind effect on cariogenic microflora.

## **References:**

 Antia FE (1962). The dental caries experience of school going children in the City of Bombay. J Indian Dent Assoc 1962;39:325.

- Baelum V, van Palenstein Helderman W, Hugoson A, Yee R, Fejerskov O. (2007). A global perspective on changes in the burden of caries and periodontitis: implications for dentistry. J Oral Rehabil 2007;34:872-906.
- 3. Damle SC, Patel AR (1994). Caries prevalence and treatment need amongst children of Dharavi, Bombay, India. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1994;22:62-3.
- Dash JK, Sahoo PK, Bhuyan SK, Sahoo SK (2002). Prevalence of dental caries and treatment needs among children of Cuttack (Orissa). J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent 2002;20:139-43.
- 5. Dhar V, Jain A, Van Dyke TE, Kohli A (2007). Prevalence of dental caries and treatment needs in the school-going children of rural areas in Udaipur district. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent 2007;25:119-21.
- K P, Mohankumar, Priya N K, and Madhushankari G S. "Anti Cariogenic Efficacy of Herbal and Conventional Tooth Pastes - A Comparative In-Vitro Study."Journal of International Oral Health : JIOH 5.2 (2013): 8– 13
- Namita P, Mukesh R, Vijay K. Camellia sinensis (Green tea): A review. Glob J Pharmacol 2012;6:52-9.
- Priya S, Uma E, Reddy MKR. Effect of different types of tea on Streptococcus mutans (2012): An in-vitro study. Indian J Dent Res. 2012;23(1):43-48.
- 9. Rebecca Harris, Alison D Nicoll, Pauline M Adair and Cynthia M Pine (2004). Risk factors for dental caries in young children: a systematic review of the literature. Comm Dent Health (2004) 21 (Supplement), 71–85
- Saravanan S, Kalyani V, Vijayarani MP, Jayakodi P, Felix J, Arunmozhi P, (2008). Caries prevalence and treatment needs of rural school children in Chidambaram Taluk, Tamil Nadu, South India. Indian J Dent Res 2008;19:186-90.
- Taylor PW, Hamilton-Miller JM, Stapleton PD (2005). Antimicrobial properties of green tea catechins. Food Sci Technol Bull 2005;2:71-81. in Anita, P., Sivasamy, S., Madan Kumar, P. D., Balan, I. N., & Ethiraj, S. (2014). In vitro antibacterial activity of Camellia sinensis extract against cariogenic microorganisms. Journal of Basic and Clinical Pharmacy, 6(1), 35–39.
- 12. Tewari A, Chawla HS (1977). Study of prevalence of dental caries in an urban area of India (Chandigarh). J Indian Dent Assoc 1977;49:231-9.

- 13. Wafa K.M. Talha, ManalElsaid, Ola M. Omar and Somaiya A. Eissa. The effect of Miswak and Fluoride Toothpastes on Dental Plaque, A Comparative Clinical and Microbiological Study Nature and Science 2013;11(9): 1-7.
- Basu Anushree, Mohammed Alimullah Fawaz, Rao Narahar, Tanveer Shahela, Afroz Syed.. Comparison of Antimicrobial Efficacy of Triclosan- Containing, Herbal and Homeopathy Toothpastes- An Invitro Study. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2015 Oct, Vol-9(10): DC05-DC08.
- 15. Faggion CM Jr, Tu YK (2007). Evidence-Based Dentistry: A Model for Clinical Practice. J Dent Educ. 2007;71:825-31.
- J Okpalugo, K Ibrahim, US Inyang. Toothpaste formulation efficacy in reducing oral flora. Trop J Pharm Res, February 2009; 8 (1): 71-77.
- Rahul R Deshpande, P Kachare, G Sharangpani, VK Varghese, SS Bahulkar (2004). Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy of two commercially available dentifrices (fluoridated and herbal) against salivary microflora. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 6 (6), 72-74
- Rule KL, Ebbett VR, Vikesland PJ (2005). "Formation of chloroform and chlorinated organics by free-chlorine-mediated oxidation of triclosan". Environ. Sci. Technol. 39 (9): 3176– 85 in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Toothpaste. Last accessed on 29-12-2015.
- 19. Shourie KL (1941). Dental caries in Indian children. Ind J Medical Res 1941;29:709-21.
- 20. Sudha Patil, Karthik Venkataraghavan, A. Anantharaj, Shankargouda Patil (2010). Comparison of two commercially available toothpastes on the salivary streptococcus mutans count in urban preschool children an in vivo study. International dentistry sa. 12(4), 72-80.

6/22/2023