

Detection of Some Virulence and Resistance Genes of *S. aureus* and *B. cereus* Isolated from Some Meat Products

Ashraf A. Abd El Tawab¹, Fatma I. El-Hofy^{1,2}, Khalid I. El-Ekhnawy² and Heba E. El-Shora³

¹Bacteriology, Immunology and Mycology Department Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt.

²Animal Health Research Institute, Doki, Egypt.

³Animal Health Research Institute, Tanta Branch, Egypt.

mohammedbrahim3988@gmail.com

Abstract: A total one hundred forty (140) random samples of minced meat, sausage, chicken breast and chicken liver (35 for each) obtained from retail outlets were screened bacteriologically for the occurrence of *S. aureus* and *B. cereus*. A total of 14/140 (10%) isolates of *S. aureus* and 16/140 (11.42%) isolates of *B. cereus* were recovered. The isolated *S. aureus* were highly resistant for erythromycin (90%) followed by amoxicillin-clavulanic, cefotaxime and doxycycline (60% for each), gentamicin and vancomycin (50% for each) and ciprofloxacin (30%). Meanwhile, *B. cereus* were highly resistant for amoxicillin-clavulanic and cefotaxime (100% for each) followed by ciprofloxacin, erythromycin and vancomycin (80% for each) and gentamicin (20%). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was applied on *S. aureus* to detect staphylococcal enterotoxins (*sea*, *seb*, *sec*, *sed*, *see*) none of tested isolates harbored these genes and resistance genes *bla_Z*, *mecA* and *vanA* which were detected by a percentage (100%, 100%, 0%) respectively. Meanwhile, *B. cereus* were screened for detection of toxin genes *hbl*, *nhe* and *ces* which were detected by a percentage (20%, 100%, 0%) respectively. While resistance genes *tet A*, *bla* and *ermA* were detected by a percentage (100%, 100%, 0%) respectively. In conclusion, The results suggest that meat and poultry products represent threat to public health through transmission of enterotoxigenic and antibiotic resistant *S. aureus* and *B. cereus*.

[Ashraf A. Abd El Tawab, Fatma I. El-Hofy, Khalid I. El-Ekhnawy and Heba E. El-Shora. **Detection of Some Virulence and Resistance Genes of *S. aureus* and *B. cereus* Isolated from Some Meat Products.** *Nat Sci* 2019;17(2):85-91]. ISSN 1545-0740 (print); ISSN 2375-7167 (online). <http://www.sciencepub.net/nature>. 9. doi:[10.7537/marsnjl70219.09](https://doi.org/10.7537/marsnjl70219.09).

Keywords: *B. cereus*, *S. aureus*, staphylococcal enterotoxins, sausage.

1. Introduction

Food-borne diseases represent a serious threat to public health all over the world (Jay, 2005). It have become a major public health problem worldwide due to the significantly increased incidence of food borne diseases over the last 20 years (Oliver et al., 2005). The most common pathogens which are responsible for most food borne disease outbreaks are *L. monocytogenes*, *E. coli* O157: H7, *S. aureus*, *B. cereus*, *Vibrio spp.*, *C. jejuni*, *C. perfringens*, and Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC) (Zhao et al., 2014).

S. aureus are Gram-positive cocci ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 µm in diameter, which may or may not contain a polysaccharide capsule, non-motile, non-spore forming (O'Riordan and Lee, 2004).

Staphylococcal food poisoning (SFP) is an intoxication that results from the consumption of foods containing sufficient amounts of one (or more) preformed enterotoxin (Le Loir et al., 2003). *S. aureus* can grow without change in odour or taste of the food and producing heat-stable enterotoxins which lead to food poisoning (Plaatjies et al., 2004). Symptoms of SFP have a rapid onset (2–8 h), and include nausea, violent vomiting, abdominal cramping, with or without diarrhea (Murray, 2005).

B. cereus is a Gram-positive, aerobic-to-facultative, spore-forming rod widely distributed environmentally (Ash et al., 1991).

B. cereus is considered one of the most important causes of food poisoning in the world (Per and terje, 2006) due to its ability to release two core toxins, a heat-labile diarrheal enterotoxin and heat-stable emetic enterotoxin (Stenfors et al., 2008). The diarrheal syndrome manifested via the release of one or three diarrheal enterotoxins: the tripartite toxins hemolysin BL (HBL) and non-hemolytic enterotoxin (Nhe), the two forms of cytotoxin K (cytK-1 and cytK2) and possibly enterotoxin T and enterotoxin FM while emetic type is due to the production of heat-stable emetic toxin (cereulide) (Granum, 2001; Moravek et al., 2006).

The objective of this study was to apply bacteriological and molecular studies on *S. aureus* and *B. cereus* isolated from minced meat, sausage, chicken breast and chicken liver.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Samples

A total of 140 random samples of meat products (minced meat, sausage, chicken breast and chicken

liver) (35 for each) were collected from different retail outlets. The collected samples were transferred directly in an ice box under complete aseptic conditions for bacteriological examination.

2.2. Bacterial isolation and identification of *S.aureus* and *B.cereus*

The detection and identification of *S.aureus* were performed according to (APHA, 2001; Quinn et al., 2002) using nutrient broth as enriched and Baird Parker agar, Mannitol salt agar (Oxoid) as a selective media. Meanwhile *B.cereus* were identified according to (APHA, 2001; Sandra & Tallen, 2012) using brain heart infusion broth (BHIB) as enriched and polymyxin – pyruvate - egg yolk – mannitol - bromothymol blue agar (PEMBA) as a selective media (Oxoid).

2.3. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing:

The obtained bacterial isolates were tested in vitro for their susceptibility to the following antimicrobial discs: amoxicillin-clavulanic (AMC) 30µg, cefotaxime (CTX) 30µg, ciprofloxacin (Cip) 5 µg, Erythromycin (E) 15µg, gentamicin (CN) 10 µg, doxycycline (DO) 5µg and vancomycin (VA) 30µg according to (Koneman et al., 1997) and the degree of sensitivity was interpreted according (NCCLS, 2002; NCCLS, 2016).

2.4. Detection of virulence and resistance genes of *S.aureus* and *B.cereus*

2.4.1. Extraction of DNA:

DNA was extracted from the isolated *S.aureus* and *B.cereus* using QIAamp DNA mini kit. It was applied on 5 random isolates. PCR Master Mix and cycling conditions of the primers during PCR was prepared according to Emerald Amp GT PCR mastermix (Takara) kit. Oligonucleotide primers used in PCR have specific sequence and amplify a specific product (table,1). DNA samples for uniplex PCR were amplified in a total of 25µl as follows: 12.5µl of Emerald Amp GT PCR mastermix, 1µl of each primer of 20 pmol concentrations, 4.5 µl of grade water and 6 µl of template DNA. Meanwhile, for enterotoxins multiplex PCR, DNA samples amplified in 50µl as follows 25µl of Emerald Amp GT PCR mastermix, 1µl of each primer of 20 pmol concentrations, 7µl of grade water and 8 µl of template DNA. The reaction was performed in a Biometra thermal cycler. Temperature and time conditions of the primers during PCR were applied. Aliquots of amplified PCR products were electrophoresed in 1.5 % agarose gel (ABgene) in 1x TBE buffer at room temperature. For gel analysis, 15 µl of PCR products were loaded in each gel slot. A 100 bp DNA ladder (QIAGEN Inc, Valencia, CA, USA) was used to determine the fragment sizes. The gel was photographed by a gel documentation system and the data was analyzed through computer software.

Table (1): Designing of primers used for detection *S.aureus* and *B.cereus* enterotoxins and resistance genes

Target M.O	Target Gene	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Length of amplified product	Reference			
<i>S.aureus</i>	<i>Sea</i>	F- GGTTATCAATGTGCGGGTGG	102	Mehrotra et al., (2000)			
		R- CGGCACTTTTTCTCTTCGG					
	<i>Seb</i>	F- GTATGGTGGTGTAACAGC	164				
		R- CCAAATAGTGACGAGTTAGG					
	<i>Sec</i>	FAGATGAAGTAGTTGATGTGTATGG	451bp				
		R- CACACTTTTAGAATCAACCG					
	<i>Sed</i>	F-CCAATAATAGGAGAAAATAAAAAG	278bp				
		R- ATTGGTATTTTTTTCGTTTC					
	<i>See</i>	F- AGGTTTTTTCACAGGTCATCC	209bp				
		R- CTTTTTTTTCTTCGGTCAATC					
<i>mecA</i>	F- GTA GAA ATG ACT GAA CGT CCG ATA A	310 bp	McClure et al., (2006)				
	R- CCA ATT CCA CAT TGT TTC GGT CTA A						
<i>blaZ</i>	F- ACTTCAACACCTGCTGCTTTC	173bp		Duran et al., (2012)			
	R-TGACCACTTTTATCAGCAACC						
<i>vanA</i>	F- CATGACGTATCGGTAATAATC	885bp			Patel et al., (1997)		
	R- ACCGGCAGRGTTATTGAC						
<i>B.cereus</i>	<i>Ces</i>	F- GGTGACACATTATCATATAAGGTG				1271	Ehling-Schulz et al., (2006)
		R-GTAAGCGAACCTGTCTGTAACAACA					
	<i>Nhe</i>	F- AAG CIG CTC TTC GIA TTC				766bp	
		R- ITI GTT GAA ATA AGC TGT GG					
	<i>Hbl</i>	F- GTA AAT TAI GAT GAI CAA TTTC	516bp				
		R- AGA ATA GGC ATT CAT AGA TT					
	<i>tet A</i>	F- GGCGGTCTTCTTCATCATGC	502bp	Rather et al., (2012a)			
		R- CGGCAGGCAGAGCAAGTAGA					
	<i>Bla</i>	F- CATTGCAAGTTGAAGCGAAA	680bp		Chen et al., (2004)		
		R- TGTCCGTAACCTCCAGCTC					
<i>ermA</i>	F- TCTAAAAGCATGTAAAAGAA	652bp	Adimpong et al., (2012)				
	R- TTCGATAGTTTATTAATATTAGT						

3. Results

3.1. Incidence of *S.aureus* and *B.cereus*.

According to phenotypic and biochemical identification, 14/140 (10%) *S.aureus* isolates were isolated from minced meat, sausage, chicken breast and chicken liver samples with the isolation rates of 11.42%, 5.71%, 14.28% and 8.57% respectively. Meanwhile, 16/140 (11.42%) *B. cereus* isolates were isolated from minced meat, sausage, chicken breast and chicken liver samples with the isolation rates of 20%, 17.14%, 5.71% and 2.85% respectively.

3.2. Antimicrobial susceptibility of the tested isolates:

Results of antibiotic sensitivity test showed that 90% of tested *S.aureus* isolates exhibited resistance against erythromycin, 60% against amoxicillin-clavulanic, cefotaxime and doxycycline, 50% against gentamicin and vancomycin and 30% against ciprofloxacin. Meanwhile, 100% of tested *B.cereus* isolates showed resistance against amoxicillin-clavulanic and cefotaxime, 80% against ciprofloxacin, erythromycin and vancomycin, 70% against doxycycline and 20% against gentamicin.

3.5. PCR results

3.5.1. Detection of enterotoxin and resistance genes in *S. aureus*

S. aureus isolates were examined for detection of staphylococcal enterotoxins (*sea*, *seb*, *sec*, *sed*, *see*) by multiplex PCR. None of the examined isolates harbored these genes. Also these isolates were tested for the detection of *blaZ*, *mecA* and *vanA* genes by uniplex PCR. The results revealed that all the tested isolates 100% harbored *blaZ* and *mecA* while *vanA* failed to be detected.

3.4. Detection of enterotoxin and resistance genes in *B.cereus*:

B.cereus isolates were examined for the detection of virulence genes by uniplex PCR. *Nhe* gene was detected in all the examined samples 100% while, *hbl* gene was detected in 20% of the examined samples. On the other hand *ces* gene failed to be detected. Also *B. cereus* was examined for the detection of resistance genes by uniplex PCR. *Tet A* and *bla* genes were detected in all the examined samples 100% while none of the examined samples harbored *erm A*.

4. Discussion

The incidence of *S.aureus* in the present study was (10%). Similar results were obtained by **EI-Jakee et al., (2013)** (12.8%), Conversely, this result is lower than that obtained by **Abdalrahman et al., (2015)** (53.8%). *S.aureus* was isolated from minced meat, sausage, chicken breast and chicken liver samples at an incidence of 11.42%, 5.7%, 14.28% and 8.57% respectively. These results were not different from other studies reported by **Hanson et al., (2011)**

(17.8%) for chicken breast and **Dewedar et al., (2016)** (7%) for chicken liver, while higher results were obtained by **Abd El Tawab et al., (2018)** (24%) for minced meat, **Shylaja et al., (2018)** (53.33%) for sausage, **Abdul Ameer (2017)** (58%) **Darwish et al., (2018)** (15%) for chicken liver. The lowest incidence rate was recovered from sausage this may be due to the addition of some additives that have antibacterial activity (**Musa and Okande 2002**).

B. cereus was isolated from minced meat, sausage, chicken breast and chicken liver samples at an incidence of 20%, 17.14%, 5.71% and 2.85% respectively. This outcome is nearly similar to **Shawish and Tarabees (2017)** (22.5%) for minced meat, **Hassanien (2004)** (16%) for sausage, conversely, higher results were obtained by **Mohamed and Ghanyem (2015)** (65%) for minced meat, **Ibrahim et al., (2014)** (40%) for sausage, **Zakki, (2017)** (26.6%) for chicken breast and **Tahmasebi et al., (2014)** (11%) for chicken liver. The high frequency of isolation from minced meat and sausage may be attributed to processing of minced meat also additives and spices that added to sausage, which can increase the number of Bacillus spores. Therefore it is important to use additives from a trustful source during processing of raw meat and test these additives regularly for the presence of bacillus spore (**Shawish and Tarabees, 2017**).

Antimicrobials are widely used in the veterinary field nowadays. The unrestricted use of antimicrobials or their use in sub-therapeutic dosing can lead to the development of antimicrobials resistant strains (**Beninati et al., 2015**). In the present study all isolated strains were resistant to at least one or more of the used antibiotics. A total of 10 *S.aureus* isolates were further tested for their antimicrobial susceptibility 90% of tested isolates were resistant to erythromycin, 60% to amoxicillin-clavulanic, cefotaxime and doxycycline, 50% to gentamicin and vancomycin and 30% to ciprofloxacin. Nearly similar results were obtained by **Abd El Tawab et al., (2018)** for cefotaxime (58.3%) **Saleh et al., (2016)** for vancomycin (55.5%); **Fan et al., (2015)** for gentamicin (63.4%); **Abd El Tawab et al., (2018)** for ciprofloxacin (20.8%); **Abd El Tawab et al., (2015)** for doxycycline (57.5%), **Sallam et al., (2015)** for erythromycin (73.6%), **Abd El Tawab et al., (2014)** for amoxicillin-clavulanic (61%). Conversely, these results disagreed with **Hanson et al., (2011)** for erythromycin (14.8%) with **Sallam et al., (2015)** for vancomycin (5.9%) **Abd El Tawab et al., (2018)** for gentamicin (8.3%) **Khalifa et al., (2014)** for amoxicillin-clavulanic (16%) **Abd El Tawab et al., (2015)** for cefotaxime (10%) **Akbar and Anal (2013)** for ciprofloxacin 7.8% **Miranda et al., (2008)** for doxycycline (23.8%). The low susceptibility of *S.*

aureus to beta-lactam antibiotics observed in this study may be due to the production of beta-lactamase enzymes (Canton and Valverde, 2008).

Meanwhile (10) *B.cereus* isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility. The most common drug resistance was to amoxicillin-clavulanic and cefotaxime (100% for each), ciprofloxacin, erythromycin and vancomycin (80% for each), doxycycline (70%) and gentamicin (20%). Nearly similar results were obtained by Guven *et al.*, (2006) for gentamicin (27%) Naas *et al.*, (2018) for amoxicillin-clavulanic and cefotaxime (100%) Avşar *et al.*, (2017) for vancomycin (71.9%) and gentamicin (23.1%). While these results disagreed with (Shawish and Tarabees 2017) for vancomycin (0%) Naas *et al.*, (2018) for doxycycline (0%), Bashir *et al.*, (2017) for erythromycin (27.2%), gentamicin (13.6%) Jawad *et al.*, (2016) for gentamicin (57%) and ciprofloxacin (20.9%). Variations in the percentages of antibiotic susceptibility may be attributed to the differences in the concentrations of antibiotic agents, locally approved drugs and misuse or overuse of antibiotics (Agwa *et al.*, 2012).

PCR has emerged as a high sensitive and specific method for identifying pathogens (Lim *et al.*, 2004). In the following study none of the examined samples harbored staphylococcal enterotoxins (*sea*, *seb*, *sec*, *sed*, *see*) they might have other types of SEs which are family of 21 serological types of heat stable enterotoxins. this came in accordance with Saleh *et al.*, (2016) who failed to detect *sea* and *sed* genes and disagreed with Kitai (2005) who detected *seb* (50 isolates), *sea* (14), *sec* (8), *sed* (2), *sea+seb* (2), and *sea+sec* (2).

In the current study the results revealed that 100% of tested isolates of *S. aureus* harbored *blaZ* gene this result agreed with El Seedy *et al.*, (2017) (100%). Also 100% of tested isolates harbored *mecA* gene this came in accordance with Momtaz *et al.*, (2013) (82.92%) and disagreed with podkowik *et al.*, (2012) who failed to detect *mecA* gene. Meanwhile *vanA* gene failed to be detected and this agreed with Ma *et al.*, (2018) while Okolie *et al.*, (2015) detected *vanA* gene in 14.2% of examined samples. MRSA has been reported as an emerging problem in veterinary medicine (Leonard and Markey, 2008), the isolation of MRSA from food products in markets confirms that MRSA not only associated with problems for hospitals but also they entered the food chain (Otalú *et al.* 2011, and Karmi 2013).

In this study 100% of tested *B.cereus* isolates harbored *nhe* this agreed with Anderson *et al.*, (2001) (100%). While 20% of tested isolates harbored *hbl* gene this came in accordance with Torkarand Seme (2009) (31.7%). Mean while none of tested isolates harbored *ces* gene this agreed with Ankolekar *et al.*,

(2009) and disagreed with Aubaidand Dakel (2017) who detected *ces* gene in (41.6%) of examined isolates. Also 100% of tested *B.cereus* harbored *tetA* gene and this result agreed with Rather *et al.*, (2012) (92.3%). Meanwhile, *Bla* gene was detected in 100% of examined isolates this agreed with Avsar *et al.*, (2017) who detected *bla_{CMY-2}* in 45% of examined isolates.

References

1. Abdalrahman, S. L., Stanley, A. Wells, Hand Fakhr, M. K (2015): Isolation, Virulence, and Antimicrobial Resistance of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and Methicillin Sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) Strains from Oklahoma Retail Poultry Meats. Int J Environ Res Public Health., 12(6): 6148–6161.
2. Abdul Ameer, A. H. (2017): Isolation of pathogenic *staph aureus* from frozen chicken livers from local markets in Baghdad. International Journal of advanced biological research.7 (2): 249-252.
3. Abd El Tawab, A. A., Maarouf, A. A., El-Rais, E. M. A. (2018): Bacteriological and molecular studies on antibiotic resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolated from meat and its products in Qaliobaya, Egypt. BENHA VETERINARY MEDICAL JOURNAL, 34, (1):360 – 373.
4. Abd El Tawab, A. A., Maarouf, A. A. A., El-Hofy, F. I. and Mousa, D. H. (2014): Bacteriological and Molecular studies on Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolated from chicken meat and its products in Kaliobia Governorate. BENHA VETERINARY MEDICAL JOURNAL, 31 (1):64-72.
5. Abd El Tawab, A. A., Maarouf, A. A., El-Hofy, F. I. and El-Said, A. A. (2015): Bacteriological studies on some foodborne bacteria isolated from Chicken meat and meat products in Kaliobia Governorate. BENHA VETERINARY MEDICAL JOURNAL, 29 (2):47-59.
6. Agwa, O., Uzoigwe, C. and Wokoma, E. (2012): Incidence and antibiotic sensitivity of *Bacillus cereus* isolated from ready to eat foods sold in some markets in Portharcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. Asian J Microbiol Biotechnol Environ Sci14, (1): 13-18.
7. Akbar, A. and Anal, A. K. (2013): Prevalence and antibiogram study of *Salmonella* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in poultry meat Asian Pac J Trop Biomed, 3(2): 163-168.
8. APHA (American Public Health Association) (2001): Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods, 4th edition. American Public Health Association (APHA). Washington, DC USA.
9. Adimpong, D. B., Sørensen, K. I., Thorsen, L., Stuer-Lauridsen, B., Abdelgadir, W. S., Nielsen, D. S., Derkx, P. M. F., and Jespersena, L. (2012):

- Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Bacillus* Strains Isolated from Primary Starters for African Traditional Bread Production and Characterization of the Bacitracin Operon and Bacitracin Biosynthesis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* p. 7903–7914.
10. Anderson, B. G. I., Skeie, M., Sorhaug, T., Langsrud, T. and Granum, P. E. (2001): Growth and toxin profiles of *Bacillus cereus* isolated from different food sources. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 69 (3):237–246.
 11. Ankolekar, C., Rahmati, T. and Labbe, R. G. (2009): Detection of toxigenic *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* spores in U. S. rice. *International journal of food microbiology*, 128(3): 460-6.
 12. Ash, C., Farrow, J. A., Dorsch, M., Stackenbrandt, E. and Collins, M. D. (1991): Comparative analysis of *Bacillus anthracis*, *Bacillus cereus*, and related species on the basis of reverse transcriptase of 16S rRNA. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 41(3):343–346.
 13. Aubaid, A. H. and Dakel, K. M. (2017): Detection of Emetic Toxin Genes in *Bacillus cereus* isolated Different types of Foods. *Journal of college of education for pure science*, 2(2): 111-116.
 14. Avsar, C., Civek, S. and Aras, E. S. (2017): Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of foodborne bacteria isolated from Sinop Province, Turkey. *Food Biotechnology*, 31(3):141-161.
 15. Bashir, M., Malik, M. A., Javaid, M., Badroo, G. A., Bhat, M. and Singh, M. (2017): Prevalence and Characterization of *Bacillus cereus* in Meat and Meat Products in and around Jammu Region of Jammu and Kashmir, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 6 (12): 1094-1106.
 16. Beninati, C., Reich, F., Muscolino, D., Giarratana, F., Panebianco, A., Klein, G. And Atanassova, V. (2015): ESBL-Producing Bacteria and MRSA Isolated from Poultry and Turkey Products Imported from Italy. *Czech J. Food Sci.*, 33, 2015 (2): 97–102.
 17. Canton, R., Norais, A., Valverde, A. (2008): Prevalence and spread of Extended-spectrum betalactamase producing Enterobacterial in Europe. *Clinical Microbiology of infectious Disease*, (14):144-53`.
 18. Chen, Y., Tenover, F. C. and Koehler, T. M. (2004): β -Lactamase Gene Expression in a Penicillin-Resistant *Bacillus anthracis* Strain. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 48(12): 4873–4877.
 19. Darwish, W. S., Atia, A. S., Reda, L. M., Elhelaly, A. E., Thompson, L. A. and Saad Eldin, W. F. (2018): Chicken giblets and wastewater samples as possible sources of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Prevalence, enterotoxin production, and antibiotic susceptibility. *J Food Saf*, 38 (4): e12478.
 20. Duran, N., Ozer, B., Duran, G. G., Onlen, Y. and Demir, C. (2012): Antibiotic resistance genes & susceptibility patterns in staphylococci. *Indian J Med Res*, 135 (3): 389-396.
 21. Dewedar, R. S., Mousa, M. M. and Farag, H. F. (2016): Public Health Hazards of Edible Poultry Offals. Alexandria. *Journal of Veterinary Sciences*. 51(2): 317-326.
 22. Ehling-Schulz, M., Guinebretiere, M. H., Monthan, A., Berge, O., Fricker, M. and Svensson, B. (2006): Toxin gene profiling of enterotoxic and emetic *Bacillus cereus*. *FEMS microbiology letters*, 260 (2): 232-40.
 23. El Seedy, F. R., Samy, A. A., Salam, H. S. H., Khairy, E. A. and Koraney, E. A. (2017): Polymerase chain reaction detection of genes responsible for multiple antibiotic resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from food of animal origin in Egypt. *Veterinary World*, 10(10): 1205-1211.
 24. El-Jakee, J., Marouf, S. A., Ata, N. S. and Abdel-Rahman, E. H. (2013): Rapid Method for Detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* Enterotoxins in Food, *Global Veterinaria*, 11 (3): 335-341.
 25. Fan, Y., Li, S. M., Deng, B. G. and Zhao, Y. X. (2015): Prevalence and relevance analysis of multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* of meat, poultry and human origin. *Indian J. Anim. Res.*, 49 (1): 86-90.
 26. Granum, P. E. (2001): *Bacillus cereus*. In: *Food Microbiology: Fundamentals and Frontiers*. Doyle, M. P. E. A. (Ed.), 2nd Ed., ASM Press, Pp. 373-381.
 27. Guven, K., Mutlu, M. and Avci, O. (2006): Incidence and characterization of *Bacillus cereus* in meat and meat products consumed in Turkey. *J Food Safety*, 26 (1): 30-40.
 28. Hanson, B. M., Dressler, A. E., Harper, A. L., Scheibel, R. P., Wardyn, S. E., Roberts, L. K., Kroeger, J. S. and Smith, T. C. (2011): Prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) on retail meat in Iowa. *J. Infect. Public Health.*, 4(4): 169-174.
 29. Hassanien, S. F. (2004): Bacterial Hazards Associated with Consumption of Some Meat Products. *Benha Vet. Med*, 15 (2): 41-54.
 30. Ibrahim, H. M., Salm, A. M., khater, D. F. and Ghanyem, H. R. (2014): antimicrobial effect of some preservatives on *bacillus cereus* isolated from some meat products. *Benha veterinary medical journal*, 26 (1):75-83.
 31. Jay, J. M. (2005): *Modern Food Microbiology*. 4th ed. CBS Publishers and Distributors Pvt. Ltd. pp. 501-503.
 32. Jawad, N., Abd. Mutalib, S. and Abdullah, A. (2016): Antimicrobial resistance pattern of *Bacillus cereus* Strains Isolated from fried rice samples. *International Journal of Chem Tech Research*, 9 (01): 160-167.

33. Karmi, M. (2013): Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in poultry meat in Qena, Egypt. *Vet. World*, 6 (10): 711–715.
34. Khalifa, S. M., Abdel-Rhman, H. SH., Abd El Galil, KH., Habib, E. and Barwa, R. (2014): Occurrence and Characterization of *Staphylococcus Aureus* in Meat and Meat Products Marketed in Mansoura, Egypt. *Egyptian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 23, (3): 47-56.
35. Kitai, S., Shimizu, A., Kawano, J., Sato, E., Nakano, C., Kitagawa, H., Fujio, K., Matsumura, K., Yasuda, R. and Inamoto, T. (2005): Prevalence and characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* and enterotoxigenic *Staphylococcus aureus* in retail raw chicken meat throughout Japan. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 67(3):269-74.
36. Konemann, E., Allen, S., Janda, W., Schreckenberger, C. and Winn, W. (1997): *Color Atlas and text book of diagnostic Microbiology*. Fifth Edition. Lippincott, Philadelphia, New York.55-73.
37. Le Loir, Y., Baron, F., Gautier, M. (2003): *Staphylococcus aureus* and food poisoning. *Genet. Mol. Res.*2 (1):63–76.
38. Leonard, F. and Markey, B. (2008): Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in animals: a review. *The Veterinary Journal*, 175 (1): 27-36.
39. Lim, S. K., Joo, Y. S., Moon, J. S., Lee, A. R., Nam, H. M. and Wee, S. H. (2004): Molecular typing of enterotoxigenic *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis in Korea. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.*, 5:581- 584.
40. Ma, Y., Zhao, Y., Tang, J., Tang, C., Chen, J. and Liu, J. (2018): Antimicrobial susceptibility and presence of resistance & enterotoxins/ enterotoxin-likes genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* from food, *CYTA – JOURNAL OF FOOD*, 16 (1):76–84.
41. McClure, J. A., Conly, J. M., Lau, V., Elsayed, S., Louie, T., Hutchins, W., Zhang, K. (2006): Novel multiplex PCR assay for detection of the staphylococcal virulence marker Pantone-Valentine leukocidin genes and simultaneous discrimination of methicillin-susceptible from -resistant staphylococci. *J ClinMicrobiol.*, 44(3): 1141-4.
42. Mehrotra, M., WANG, G. and Johnson, W. M. (2000): Multiplex PCR for Detection of Genes for *Staphylococcus aureus* Enterotoxins, Exfoliative Toxins, Toxic Shock Syndrome Toxin I, and Methicillin Resistance. *J ClinMicrobiol.* 38(3):1032-5.
43. Miranda J. M., Va' Zquez, B. I., Fente, C. A., Calomata, P., Cepeda, A. And Franco, C. M. (2008): Comparison of Antimicrobial Resistance in *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* Strains Isolated from Organic and Conventional Poultry Meat. *Journal of Food Protection*, 71 (12):2537–2542.
44. Momtaz, H., Dehkordi, F. S., Rahimi, E., Asgarifar, A., Momeni, M. (2013): Virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from chicken meat in Isfahan province, Iran. *The Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 22(4): 913-921.
45. Mohamed, W. S. and Ghanyem, H. R. (2015): effect of some preservatives on bacillus cereus isolated from some meat products: Assiut Vet. Med. J. 61 (146): 1-7.
46. Moravek, M., Dietrich, R., Buerk, C., Broussolle, V., Guinebretiere, M. H., Granum, P. E., Nguyen-The, C. and Märklbauer, E. (2006): Determination of the toxic potential of *Bacillus cereus* isolates by quantitative enterotoxin analyses. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 257(2): 293-298.
47. Murray, R. J. (2005): Recognition and management of *Staphylococcus aureus* toxin-mediated disease. *Intern. Med. J.* 35 (2): 106–119.
48. Musa, O. L. and Okande, T. M. (2002): Effect of Health Education Intervention or Food Safety Practice among Food Vendors in Ilorin. *Sahel medical journal.* 5(3): 120–124.
49. Naas, H. T., Zurghani, M. M., Garbaj, A. M., Azwai, S. M., Eshamah, H. L., Gammoudi, F. T., Abolghait, S. K., Moawad, A. A., Barbieri, I. and Eldaghayes, I. M. (2018): *Bacillus cereus* as an Emerging Public Health Concern in Libya: Isolation and Antibiogram from Food of Animal Origin, 2 (2): 56-61.
50. National Committee for clinical laboratory standard “NCCLS” (2002): Performance standards for antimicrobial disc susceptibility test. 7th edition approved standard M 2. A 8, National committee for clinical laboratory standards.
51. National Committee for clinical laboratory standard “NCCLS” (2016): Performance Standards for antimicrobial disks susceptibility tests, CLSI vol. 36 no.1.
52. Okolie, C. E., Essien, U. C. and Idoko, J. (2015): Genetic and Phenotypic Identification of Vancomycin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates from Retail Poultry Carcasses in Omu-Aran, North-Central Nigeria, *British Biotechnology Journal*, 6(2): 87-92.
53. Oliver, S. P., Jayarao, B. M. and Almeida, R. A. (2005): Foodborne pathogens in milk and the dairy farm environment: food safety and public health implications. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.*, 2 (2):115–129.
54. O’Riordan, K. and Lee, J. C. (2004): *Staphylococcus aureus* capsular polysaccharides. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev* 17 (1):218–234.
55. Otalu, O., Junaidu, K., Chukwudi, E. O. and Jarlath, V. U. (2011): Multi-drug resistant coagulase positive *Staphylococcus aureus* from live and slaughtered chickens in Zaria, Nigeria. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 10(11): 871–875.
56. Patel, R., Uhl, J. R., Kohner, P., Hopkins, M. K. and Cockerill, F. R. (1997): Multiplex PCR Detection of

- vanA, vanB, vanC-1, and vanC-2/3 Genes in Enterococci. *J Clin Microbiol*, 35(3): 703–707.
57. Per, E. G. and Terje, L. (2006): *Bacillus cereus* and its food poisoning toxins Department of Pharmacology, Microbiology and Food Hygiene, Norwegian College of Veterinary Medicine, P. O.,157(2): 223-228.
 58. Plaatjies, Z., Lues, J., and Buys, E. (2004): Staphylococcal growth in fresh vacuumpacked red meat at various storage conditions. 8th World Congress on Environmental Health. Durban, South Africa.
 59. Podkowik, M., Bystron, J. and Bania, J. (2011): Genotypes, antibiotic resistance, and virulence factors of staphylococci from ready-to-eat food. *Foodborne Pathog Di* 9(1):91-93.
 60. Quinn, P. J., Carter, M. E., Markey, B. and Carter, G. R. (2002): *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology – Bacterial causes of bovine mastitis*, 8th edition. Mosby, Internal Ltd, London, pp: 465 – 475.
 61. Rather, A. M., Aulakh, R. S., Gill, J. P. S. and Ghatak, S. (2012): Enterotoxin gene profile and antibiogram of *Bacillus cereus* strains isolated from raw meats and meat products. *Journal of Food Safety* 32(1): 22–28.
 62. Rather, A. M., Aulakh, R. S., Gill, J. P. S., Mir, A. Q and Hassan, M. N. (2012a): Detection and sequencing of plasmid encoded tetracycline resistance determinants (tetA and tetB) from food-borne *Bacillus cereus* isolates *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine* 5 (9): 709-712.
 63. Saleh, E. A., Abd El-Mohsen, R. G., Ibrahim, M. S. (2016): Molecular Identification of Staphylococcus Aureus in Imported Frozen and Locally Slaughtered Meat, *Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 51 (1): 162-169.
 64. Sallam, K. I., Abd-Elghany, S. M., Elhadidy, M. and Tamura, T. (2015): Molecular Characterization and Antimicrobial Resistance Profile of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Retail Chicken. *Journal of Food Protection*, 78, (10) 1879–1884.
 65. Sandra, M. and Tallen, T. (2012): Efficient isolation and identification of *Bacillus cereus* Group. *Journal of AOAC International*, 95(2):446-451.
 66. Shawish, R. and Tarabees, R. (2017): Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Bacillus cereus* isolated from beef products in Egypt, *Open Veterinary Journal*, 7(4): 337-341.
 67. Shylaja, M., Sanem, S. S. G., Samatha, K. and Pradeep, C. H. (2018): Studies on the incidence of Staphylococcus aureus and its enterotoxins in different meat and meat products. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 7(4): 669-673.
 68. Stenfors, A. L. P., Fagerlund, A. and Granum, P. E. (2008): From soil to gut: *Bacillus cereus* and its food poisoning toxins. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 32(4), 579-606.
 69. Tahmasebi, H., Talebi, R. and Zarif, B. R. (2014): Isolated of *Bacillus Cereus* in Chicken Meat and Investigation β -Lactamase Antibiotic-Resistant in *Bacillus Cereus* from Chicken Meat *Advances in Life Sciences*, 4(4): 200-206.
 70. Torkar, K. G. and Seme, K. (2009): Antimicrobial Susceptibility, β -Lactamase and Enterotoxin Production in *Bacillus cereus* Isolates from Clinical and Food Samples, *Folia Microbiol.* 54 (3), 233–238.
 71. Zakki, S. A., Qureshi, R., Hussain, A., Ghias, W., Sharif, M. and Ansari, F. (2017): Microbial Quality Evaluation and Prevalence of Bacteria and Fungus in Different Varieties of Chicken Meat in Lahore *J. pharm. pharm. Sci.* 5(1):30- 37.
 72. Zhao, X., Lin, C. W., Wang, J. and Oh, D. H. (2014): Advances in rapid detection methods for foodborne pathogens, *J. Microbiol. Biotechn.*, 24(3):297-312.

12/18/2018