Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation for Improve the Growth, Chemical Composition and Yield Production of Broad Bean (*Vicia faba* L.) Plant

Hameda El Sayed Ahmed El Sayed

Department of Biology, Faculty of Applied Science, Umm Al Qura University, Makkah Al Mukaramah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. E. Mail, heelsayed@uqu.edu.sa & E. Mail, D.Hameda@hotmail.com

Abstract: Magnetic water is considered one of several physical factors affects plant growth and development. Magnetic water fields are known to induce biochemical changes and could be used as a stimulator for growth related reactions. The seeds of broad bean (Vicia faba, L. ev. Giza 3) were irrigated with water passed through magnetic device (Magnetic Funnel - MAGNETIC TECHNOLOGIES DUBAI, UAE, LLC. FATENT No. 1826921). Two pot experiments were conducted during season 2010-2011 at greenhouse to study the impact of magnetized water on growth, some chemical constituents and yield productivity of broad bean plants. Magnetic water increased leaf area than that the tap water (control). The stimulatory impact of magnetic water may be ascribed to the increasing of plant growth (plant height, leaf area, leaves, stems, roots fresh and dry weights) and yield production, which increase absorption and assimilation of nutrients. It appears that irrigation with magnetic water may be considered a promising technique to improving the growth and water content of broad bean plant. Magnetic water treatment could be used to enhance growth, chemical constituents (chlorophyll a and b, carotenoids, total available carbohydrates, protein, total amino acids, proline contents, total indole, total phenol, GA3, kinetin, RNA, DNA,) and inorganic minerals (K^+ , Na^+ , Ca^{+2} and P^{+3}) contents in all parts of broad bean plant under greenhouse condition. Results indicated that, irrigation with magnetic water induced positive significant effect on all studied parameters. [Hameda El Sayed Ahmed El Sayed. Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation for Improve the Growth, Chemical Composition and Yield Production of Broad Bean (Vicia faba L.) Plant. Nat Sci 2015:13(1):107-119]. (ISSN:

1545-0740). http://www.sciencepub.net/nature, 16

Keywords: Magnetic water, Growth, Water Relations, Yield, Pigments, Carbohydrates, Antioxidant, Growth Regulators, Minerals.

1. Introduction

Broad bean (Vicia faba, L.) is an important tropical and subtropical grain legume providing protein, vitamins and minerals. Water is the most important factor for plant growth. The attempts to increase food and energy production for satisfying growing needs led to intensive development of plant production through the use of chemical additives, which in its turn caused more and more pollution of soil, water and air (Aladjadjiyan, 2012). Irrigation with magnetized water increase seed germination (Ijaz et al., 2012). The water treated by the magnetic field or pass through a magnetic device called magnetized water, when water is magnetized, some physical and chemical properties changed that may be causing changes in plant characteristics, growth and production. Grewal and Maheshwari (2011) showed magnetic treatment of seeds and irrigation had a potential to improve the early seedling growth and nutrient contents of seedlings. Utilization of magnetized water improved quantity and quality of common bean crop. It was detected that the magnetic field stimulated the shoot development and led to the increase of the germinating energy and fresh weight, and shoot length of maize (Aladjadjiyan, 2002).

Some beneficial effects of the magnetic treatment of irrigation water for the plant yield and water productivity possibly suggested by Maheshwari and Grewal (2009). The understanding of the stimulating effect requires availability of rich experimental material (Aladjadjiyan, 2010). Recently the use of physical methods for plant growth stimulation is getting more popular due to the less harmful influence on the environment. Moreover, magnetized water for irrigation is recommended to save irrigation water (Mostafazadeh-Fard et al., 2011). Irrigation of common bean plants with magnetic water increased significantly the growth characteristics, potassium, GA3, kinetin, nucleic acids (RNA and DNA), photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a & b carotenoid), photosynthetic activity and and translocation efficiency of photoassimilates as compared with control plants (Moussa, 2011).

However, the available studies and application of this technology in agriculture is very limited. Therefore, the aim of the present work to study the effect of irrigation with magnetized water on growth, yield, yield components and some chemical constitute of broad bean (*Vicia faba*, L. cv. Giza 3) under greenhouse condition.

2. Material and Methods

In order to evaluation the effects of magnetic water on broad bean (Vicia faba, L.) plant, two pots group experiments were conducted in the screen green house during winter season (2010/2011) to study the response of growth, vield, organic and inorganic components of broad bean plant after irrigated with normal tap water and magnetic water. A homogenous lot of clean-healthy common broad bean seeds (Vicia faba L. cv. Giza 3) was obtained from the Crop Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. The Seeds of broad bean (Vicia faba, L. cv. Giza 3) were surface sterilized in 0.1 % (w/v) sodium hypochloride solution and then thoroughly rinsed with sterile deionized water. Two pots group experiments were conducted to study the response of growth parameters, yield and some biochemical constituents of broad bean plant for irrigation with magnetic and tap water. Selected the seeds and planted in pots (40 cm in diameter and 60 cm depth) containing a mixture of clay and sandy soil ($\frac{1}{2} \approx v/v$). Seedlings were irrigated two weeks after sowing into plastic growth bags with the same soil as indicated above in a glasshouse (at $10^{\circ}C/17^{\circ}C$), with supplementary lighting (red electric bulb, 20 w at night), at relative humidity of 75-80%. One group from the pots were irrigated twice a week interval with normal tap water needed with full strength Hoagland nutrient solution (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950), while the other pots were irrigated with the tap water after magnetization through passing in magnetic device (Magnetic Funnel - MAGNETIC TECHNOLOGIES DUBAI, UAE, LLC. FATENT No. 1826921) also, needed with full strength Hoagland nutrient solution, and allowed to grow for about 110 - 120 days post sowing.

Growth Parameters:

The experiments conducted five times in complete randomization replicated design. At 75 days from sowing (flowering stage), growth characteristics and the biochemical analysis of broad bean plants were determined. Plant growth parameters such as plant height, fresh and oven dried weight (80-85°C for 48 h) of leaves, stem and roots were determined. Also, leaf area was calculated using (*Leaf Area Meter - Laser Leaf Area* Meters CI-202), CID, Bio-Science, USA.

Water Relations:

Succulence and Dry matter content (%):

The percentage of the Succulence content and dry matter content (DMC%) was determined after drying the shoot and root samples in air – circulation oven at 80°C after constant weight, and calculated as the following equation:

Succulence = F. Wt. / Oven D. Wt(1) D M C % = (Oven D. Wt./F. Wt.) x 100.....(2) Measurement of Relative Water Content (Relative

Measurement of Relative Water Content (Relative Turgidity):

The relative water content (RWC%) was measured according to a modification of the method of Weatherly (1950); Slatyer (1957); Weatherly and Barr (1962). Detached leaf samples were weight immediately and floated on distilled water in a darkened refrigerator (5°C). Saturation of the leaves was attained after 24 h. and the leaves were rapidly and thorough blotted and weighed immediately. The leaves were then dried at 80°C to constant weight in an air – circulation oven to constant weight. The relative water content of leaves was expressed according to the following equation:

R W C % (S. Wt. %) = (F. wt. - Oven D. Wt)x 100 (3)(Saturated Wt.- Oven D. Wt).

Magnetic Water and Yield Production:

At harvest, the effects of magnetic water and normal tap water on branches number/plant, pods number/plant, pods weight (g)/plant, seeds number/plant, total seeds (yield/plant), seeds yield weight (g)/plant and straw yield (g)/plant were recorded.

Physiological Studies

Photosynthetic Pigments:

Chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids) of leaves were determined spectrophotometrically as the method described by Metzner et al. (1965). An 85% aqueous acetone extract of a known F.W. of leaf was assayed Spectrometrically (*LKB NOVASPEC*) at 664, 645, 420 nm. The following equations were used to determined the concentration of the pigment fractions as γ/ml .

Chlorophyll a =	10.3 E ₆₆₄ -	0.918 E ₆₄₅	(4)
C11 1 111	107 5	2 070 5	(5)

Chlorophyll $b = 19$.	$1/E_{645} - 3.8/0E_{664}$	(5)
Carotenoids=403 E	452-(0.0264 Chl. a+0.426	Chl.b) (6)

The pigment fractions were calculated as μg Chl./mg D.W.

Photosynthetic Activity:

Chloroplasts were prepared by the method of Aronoff (1949) and Osman, et al. (1982). Fresh leaves were shredded, ground for one min in a blender, using a buffered solution of 0.4 M sucrose, 20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.8), 3 mM MgCl₂, 4 mM sodium ascorbate and 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). The much was strained through cheese-cloth, filtered and the suspension centrifuged (1 min at 8,000 X g). The pellet was re-suspended in the isolation medium, centrifuged (5 min at 300 X g) and the supernatant recentrifuged (10 min at 1,000 X g). The sediment was re-suspended in a 2 ml buffer solution at pH 6.8 and the aggregates dispersed (Osman et al., 1982). The levels of chlorophyll a & chlorophyll b were determined by the method described by Mackinney (1941). An aliquot of 0.2 ml of the chloroplast suspension was extracted with 3.8 ml of 85% cold aqueous acetone and the density of the extract

measured at 652 nm. The chlorophyll content was calculated according to the following equation :

C = E652 X 1,000/34.5 mg chl./L (7)

Where c = chlorophyll a & b.

The photosynthetic activity of the isolated chloroplasts was measured using potassium ferricyanide (5 X 10⁻⁴M) as an electron acceptor. of Reduction ferricyanide was monitored spectrophotometrically (LKP NOVASPEC) at 420 nm at room temperature. The reduction mixture contained 0.2 ml of chloroplast suspension, (0.2-0.8 mg chl. ml⁻ ¹), 3.8ml HEPES buffer (pH 7.8), and 5 X 10^{-4} M potassium ferricyanide. The mixture was illuminated at 300 Wm-2 using a slide projector provided with a heat filter with a 24 v, 250 w quartz halide bulb, 15-45 cm from the well. The photosynthetic activity of the isolated chloroplasts was calculated from the standard curve and expressed as µmol fericyanide mg chl⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Arnon and Shavit, 1963).

Organic Components:

Carbohydrate: 300 mg of oven dry plant material was extracted with 5 ml of borate buffer (28.63 g boric acid + 29.8 g KCl + 3.5 g NaOH in a liter of hot distilled water), left for 24 hr, then centrifuged and filtered. The filtrate was used for the determination of the direct reducing value (DRV-including all free monosaccharide) and total reducing value (TRV-including sucrose), while the residue was dried at 80°C for determination of polysaccharides (Naguib, 1963 & 1964).

Direct Reducing Value (DRV), was carried out by evaporation, 0.1 ml of extracted cleared borate buffer was reduced to dryness and then mixed with 1 ml of modified Nelson solution (Naguib, 1964). The mixture was maintaining on a boiling water-bath for 15 min, after which it was cooled rapidly using running tap water. Thereafter 1 ml of arsenomolybdate (Nelson, 1944) was added, the mixture was diluted to a definite volume, and its intensity measured at 700 nm, using colorimeter (*LKP NOVASPEC Surplus Model 4049 Spectrophotometer*).

Total Reducing Value (TRV): For determination of total reducing value (TRV), 0.2 ml of cleared extract was mixed with deionized water up to 5 ml then 0.2 ml of the diluted extract was mixed with 0.1 ml of 1% invertase enzyme solution and the mixture maintained at 37°C for 0.5 hr. Thereafter, the reducing value was determined as described before for DRV (Naguib, 1963 & 1964). The difference between the value obtained from this step and that of the DRV is an estimated of **sucrose**, in terms of glucose made up to 3 ml left overnight at 28°C and then centrifuged.

Polysaccharides: 10 mg of the remaining residue was mixed with 0.2 ml of 1% taka diastase enzyme and 0.1 ml acetate enzyme and ml acetate buffer (6 ml acetic acid 0.2N+4 ml sodium acetate buffer 0.2 N). The

reducing value of 1ml of filter was estimated as above (Naguib, 1963).

Nitrogenous Components:

Proteins contents:

Dry samples collected during the growth study were analyzed for protein content, after precipitating the protein with 15% TCA at 4°C according to Lowry et al. (1951).

Total Free Amino Acids contents:

These were determined by the method described by Ya and Tunekazu (1966). An aliquot of 0.1 ml plant extract was heated in a test tube with 1.9 ml of ninhydrin citrate buffer-glycerol mixture in a boiling water bath for 12 min, and cooled at room temperature. Then the tube was well shaken and the optical density read at 570 nm. A blank was determined with 0.1 ml of distilled water and standard curve obtained with 0.005 to 0.2 mM g Glycine.

Proline contents:

This was estimated using the acid ninhydrin method described by Bates et al. (1973). Two ml of water extract were mixed 10 ml of 3% aqueous sulfosalicylic acid. Two ml of this mixture was allowed to react with 2 ml acid ninhydrin-reagent and 2 ml of glacial acetic acid in a test tube for 1 h at 100°C; the reaction was terminated by cooling the mixture in an ice bath. The reaction mixture was extracted with 4 ml toluene, and mixed vigorously for 15-20s. The chromatophore - containing toluene was aspirated from the aqueous phase, warmed to room temperature, and the absorbance read at 520 nm using toluene as a blank. The proline concentration was determined from a standard curve.

Total indole acetic acid (IAA) as described by Larsen et al. (1962), and total phenol, as described by Malik and Singh (1980), were estimated in the fresh shoots. Growth regulators (GA3 and kinetin) were estimated by HPLC following the procedure of Shindy and Orrin (1975). To determine H_2O_2 concentration, the root extract was mixed with 0.1% titanium chloride in 20% (v/v) H_2SO_4 . The mixture was then centrifuged at 6 000 g for 15 min. The absorbance was measured at 410 nm (Hsu and Kao, 2007).

Lipid peroxidation was measured in terms of malondialdehyde (MDA) content using the thiobarbituric acid reaction as described by Madhava Rao and Sresty (2000). **The extraction of nucleic acids** (DNA and RNA) carried out by the method cited by Mohamed and Capesius (1980).

Determination of antioxidant enzyme activities:

The catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) activity was assayed from the rate of H_2O_2 decomposition following the method of Cakmak and Horst (1991). Peroxidase (POD, EC 1.11.1.7) following the method of Macheix and Quessada (1984), and superoxide

dismutases (SOD, EC 1.15.1.1) as described by Dhindsa et al. (1981).

Inorganic Mineral Elements:

Ions content measurements were carried out after extraction with 0.1 nitric acid of the ashed (powdered) milled samples at 500°C obtained after combustion in a muffle furnace, the milled samples were estimated following the "wet ashing procedure" (Richards, 1954); the acid digests of the oven dried samples were analysed. Oven dried plants were subjected to acid digestion and sodium (Na^+) and calcium (Ca^{2+}) estimated photo-metrically using a corning-400 flame photometer (Johnson and Ulrich, 1959; Allen et al., 1974). Phosphor was estimated using the method of Sekine et al. (1972) by the Molybdenum-blue method (Allen et al., 1974). Determination of potassium (K⁺) content by Miller (1998) methods, the plant parts were dried in a ventilated oven for approximately 78 h at 60°C to a constant weight and then ground, the samples were digested in a nitric-perchloric acid mixture and analyzed with Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (Carl Zeiss Jena, Germany).

Statistical Analysis:

All data were subjected to *F* test *ANOVA* and the means were compared using Duncan's multiple range (P<0.05). Where relevant, the experimental data was subjected to analysis of variance. Percentage values were transformed into arcsines according to Bliss (1973) and analysis of variance was carried out according to Snedecor and Cochran (1967).

Results and discussion

Magnetic Water and Growth Parameters:

Data presented in Table (1) showed that the irrigation of broad bean plant with magnetic water increased significantly the growth parameters as compared to pots which irrigated with tap water. The improvement over control treatment reached to 27.39; 60.27; and 65.42 for plant height, fresh and dry weight (g/plant) respectively. Irrigated broad bean plant with magnetic water increased significantly the growth parameters (plant height, fresh and dry weight of leaves, stem, and root, leaf area) as compared to the tap water (control). Fast growing of world population affected negatively the environmental conditions of our life. Increasing number of earth population resulted in growing consumption of food and energy. Both tendencies seriously exhaust the natural resources. These results are correspondence with the result of Morejon et al. (2007). Aladjadjivan (2002) showed that exposure of seeds of Zea mays has a favorable effect on the development of shoots in the early stages. These results are in agreement with those obtained by other researchers; Hilal and Hilal (2000) they reported that magnetized water has more tripled seedling emergence of wheat than tap water. Renia et al. (2001) found significance increase in the rate of water absorption accompanied with an increase in total mass of lettuce with the increase of magnetic force. Moreover, Nasher (2008) found that chick pea plants irrigated with magnetized water were taller than plants irrigated with tap water Significant increases in pigment fractions were recorded in chickpea plants irrigated with magnetized water compared to control treatment.

 Table (1): Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation on Plant Height, Leaf Area, Fresh and, Dry Weights of Broad Bean

 (Vicia faba, L. Giza 3) Plants.

Growth Baramatar		LoofAroo	Fresh we	ight (g)			Dry weig	,ht (g)		
Treatments	Plant Height (cm)	(Cm ² /Leaf)	leaves	stem	root	Total F. Wt.	leaves	stem	root	Total D. Wt.
Tap water	59.21	34.12	6.78	9.15	4.71	20.64	0.759	0.897	0.325	1.981
Magnetic water	75.42	58.32	10.52	14.58	7.98	33.08	1.289	1.375	0.613	3.277
F values	***	***	**	**	*	**	*	*	N.S.	*

Statistical Analysis treatments, where relevant, the experimental data were subjected of One – Way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Note: F values *=P < 0.05, **=P < 0.01, ***=P < 0.001 and N.S.=Not Significant. Data presented are the means of five replicate.

Magnetic water increased significantly fresh and dry weight of leaf, stem, and root of broad bean as compared to tap water, these results are in line with those of De Souza et al. (2006) and Moussa (2011) who observed that pretreatment of seeds with magnetic field or irrigation with magnetic water increased leaf, stem and root fresh and dry weight of tomato and common bean respectively. Similar enhancing effect of magnetized irrigation water were reported on snow pea and chick pea (Grewal and Maheshwari, 2011), flax and lentil (Abdul Qados and Hozayn, 2010 a& b) and wheat (Hozayn and Abdul Qados, 2010 b). This improved growth may lead to an early canopy cover and a better competition against weeds, and thus more efficient use of nutrients and irrigation water. Positive effects of magnetized water on growth of root, stem and leaf of cowpea are very important since they appear to induce an improved capacity for nutrients and water uptake, providing greater physical support to the developing shoot (Sadeghipour and Aghaei, 2013). Better root growth and development in young seedlings might lead to better root systems throughout the lifetime of a plant (De Souza et al., 2006). Jones et al. (1986) found that the electromagnetic fields amplify the plant growth regulator induced Phenylalanine Ammonia-Lyrase during cell differentiation in the suspended cultured plant cell. Magnetic fields have been reported to exert a positive effect on the germination of seeds (Alexander and Doijode, 1995; Carbonell et al., 2000), on plant growth and development (De Souza *et al.*, 1999; Martínez *et al.*, 2000), on tree growth (Ruzic et al., 1998) and on crop yield (Pietruszewski, 1993); some review papers also mention a number of controversial, early results (Findlay and Hope, 1976; Frey, 1993).

Magnetic Water and Water Relations:

Data presented in Table (2), indicated that the succulence significantly decreased in all parts (leaf, stem, root) of broad bean plant irrigated by magnetic water more than tap water. Whereas, dry matter content % (DMC%) and relative water content (RWC %) in all broad bean plant parts (leaf, stem, root) increased significantly with irrigated by magnetic water than tap water. Improved water use efficiency

with magnetic water could help in the water resources conservation, particularly in arid and semi arid regions. Magnetic water has been reported to change some of the physical and chemical properties of water, mainly hydrogen bonding, polarity, surface tension, conductivity, pH and solubility of salts, these changes in water properties may be capable of affecting the growth of plants (Amiri and Dadkhah, 2006; Otsuka and Ozeki, 2006). Sadeghipour and Aghaei (2013) found that the water use efficiency (in term of total biomass produced to amount of water consumed), was increased in the plants irrigated with magnetic water as compared to the ordinary water, similarly to the result of Al-Khazan et al. (2011) found that irrigation with magnetic water increased water use efficiency in jojoba and also Maheshwari and Grewal (2009) observed that water productivity in celery and snow pea was increased in magnetic water treatment than that control. Meanwhile, treatment with magnetic water had no effect on the water content as compared with the control (Hozayn and Abdul Qados, 2010a).

Table (2): Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation on Water Relations (Succulence, Dry Matter Content %, Relative Water Content %) of Broad bean (*Vicia faba*, L. Giza 3) plant.

	,		(5 ,	/ /							
Water		Succu	lence		Dry	Matter Con	tent (DMC) %	Relati	ve Water C	ontent (RW	/C)%
Relations		(F. W. /	D. W.)			(D.W./F.W	V. X100)		(F.W	/D.W./S.V	WD.W.x1	00)
Treatments	leaves	Stems	Roots	Total Plant	leaves	Stems	Roots	Total Plant	leaves	Stems	Roots	Total Plant
Tap water	8.93	10.2	14.49	10.42	11.2	9.8	6.9	9.6	75.07	80.49	71.43	80.32
Magnetic water	8.17	10.6	13.02	10.10	12.25	9.43	7.68	9.91	82.19	86.83	81.51	83.23
F values	*	N.S.	*	N.S.	*	N.S.	*	*	**	**	**	*

Statistical Analysis treatments, where relevant, the experimental data were subjected of One – Way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Note: F values *=P < 0.05, **=P < 0.01, ***=P < 0.001 and N.S.=Not Significant. Data presented are the means of five replicate.

Magnetic Water and Yield Production:

Data presented in Table (3) show that the irrigation broad bean plants with magnetic water increased significantly the yield production. At harvest stage, the effect of magnetic water and normal tap water on number of branches/plant, number of legumes/plant, were increased significantly compared to control treatment (tap water). These results are the logical to improvement growth parameters, growth hormone, photosynthesis, and translocation efficiency,

these results are in agreement with that of De Souza et al. (2006); Hozayn and Abdul Qados (2010a). The results explain the exposure of plants to magnetic water is highly effective in enhancing growth characteristics. This observation suggests that there may be resonance-like phenomena which increase the internal energy of the seed that occurs. Therefore, it may be possible to get higher yield of chickpea (Vashisth and Nagarajan, 2008).

Table (5). Initiact of Magnetic water initiation of Their Flouretion of Diodu Dean (<i>victu jubu</i> , L. Olza 5) Flan	Table (3): Impact of Magnetic Water	r Irrigation on Yield Production of	of Broad Bean (Vicia fa	ba. L. Giza 3) Plants
--	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

14010 (0): 1	inpact of magin		Button on 110	a i i caaction (i Biouu Boun (;	10111 Juou, 2. 0	
Yield Parameter	Branches No./Plant	Pods No./Plant	Pods Wt. (g/Plant)	Seeds No./Plant	100 Seeds weight(g)	Seeds Yield g/plant	Straw Yield g/Plant
Treatments							
Tap water	4.61	5.1	2.12	16.21	66.13	10.82	5.81
Magnetic	5.82	6.9	2.75	22.11	79.81	17.65	7.91
water							
F values	N.S.	*	N.S,	**	*	**	*

Statistical Analysis treatments, where relevant, the experimental data were subjected of One – Way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Note: F values *=P < 0.05, **=P < 0.01, ***=P < 0.001 and N.S.=Not Significant. Data presented are the means of five replicate.

Magnetic Water and Photosynthetic Pigments, Chloroplast activity:

Results presented in Table (4) indicated that the irrigation of broad bean plant with magnetic water exhibited marked significant increase in the chloroplast pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids), photosynthetic activity, over the irrigated by tap water (control). Significant increases in the above mentioned characters were recorded from irrigated plants with magnetic water as compared to irrigated plants with tap water. These results agreement with that of Atak et al. (2003); Constantin et al. (2003); Mihaela et al. (2007); Mihaela et al. (2009) they showed an increase in

chlorophyll content and carotenoids content specifically appeared after treatment with magnetic water. Sadeghipour and Aghaei (2013) found that irrigation with magnetized water increased leaf area and specific leaf area in cowpea than that control, the enhancement in leaf area and specific leaf area in the plants irrigated with magnetic water must have increased photosynthetic rates due to the greater interception of light and the greater amount of assimilates available for vegetative growth. Similar results were found by De souza et al. (2006); Hoff (1981) and Davies (1996) also revealed an increase in photosynthetic rate and influx of water as a result of magnetic treatments.

Table (4): Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation on Chloroplast Pigments (Chlorophyll a, Chlorophyll b, Carotenoids) and Photosynthetic Efficiency of Broad Bean (*Vicia faba*, L. Giza 3) Plants.

Chloroplast	Chloroplast Pig	ments	Photosynthetic efficiency				
	(mg/100 g F. W	<i>I</i> .)	µmol fericyanide mg chl ⁻¹ h ⁻¹				
Traatmants	Chlorophyll	Chlorophyll	Chl. a + Chl.	Constanaida	Total	Photosynthetic	Chloroplast
Treatments	a	Ь	b	Carotenoids	Pigments	activity	
Tap water	5.14	2.32	7.46	4.03	11.49	68.98	
Magnetic water	7.04	3.87	10.91	4.59	15.50	93.81	
F values	**	*	***	N.S.	**	***	

Statistical Analysis treatments, where relevant, the experimental data were subjected of One – Way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Note: F values *=P < 0.05, **=P < 0.01, ***=P < 0.001 and N.S.=Not Significant. Data presented are the means of five replicate.

Organic Components:

Total Available Carbohydrates:

In these investigation, irrigated broad bean plant with magnetic water increased significantly total available carbohydrates (Monosaccharide, Disaccharides, polysaccharides) contents compared to irrigated with tap water as shown in Table (5). The increasing significantly in carbohydrates because of the close relationship between stomatal conductance and photosynthesis, thus lead to an increase in photosynthesis. The effects of magnetic exposure on plant growth still require proper explanation. They may be the result of bioenergetics structural excitement causing cell pumping and enzymatic stimulation (De Souza et al., 2006). The present study showed that magnetic water had the greatest effect on root weight. It suggests that enhancement the growth of stem and leaves was related to increasing of root growth which improved water and ions absorption. Ions in the cell have the ability to absorb magnetic energy corresponding to specific parameters related to their vibration and rotation energy sublevels. This phenomenon represents a kind of resonance absorption and could explain the stronger effect of applying definite values of magnetic field induction (Aladjadjiyan, 2010).

Carbohydrates fractions	DRV (C (mg glu	Blucose) cose/100	gDW)		TRV- S (mg glu	ucrose cose /100	gDW)		Polysac (mg glu	charides cose /100	gDW)		Total (TAC) (mg glu	Available	e Carbo gDW)	hydrates
Treatments	leaves	Stems	Roots	Total Plant	leaves	Stems	Roots	Total Plant	leaves	Stems	Roots	Total Plant	leaves	Stems	Roots	Total Plant
Tap water	250.1	220.3	190.4	660.8	300.6	290.9	186.8	778.3	69.5	65.4	45.9	180.8	620.2	643.4	423.1	1686.7
Magnetic water	325.3	260.2	201.4	786.9	360.7	340.4	191.5	892.6	89.9	82.7	65.4	238.0	775.9	683.3	458.3	1917.5
F values	**	**	N.S.	**	*	**	N.S.	*	**	*	*	**	*	*	N.S.	***

Table (5): Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation on Total Available Carbohydrates (DRV, TRV, Polysaccharides as mg /100g D.W.) of Broad Bean (*Vicia faba*, L. Giza 3) Plants.

Statistical Analysis treatments, where relevant, the experimental data were subjected of One – Way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Note: F values *=P < 0.05, **=P < 0.01, ***=P < 0.001 and N.S.=Not Significant. Data presented are the means of five replicate.

Protein, Total Amino Acids, Proline Contents:

Results presented in Table (6) indicated that the magnetic water irrigation exhibited marked significant increase in total protein, total amino acids, proline contents at all plant parts (leaves, stems, roots) of broad bean compared with control plants. Moreover, the protein, amino acids, proline contents increasing in broad bean plants irrigated with magnetic water more than irrigated with tap water may be responsible for the stimulation of growth.

This results are in line with Hozayn and Abdul Qados (2010a). In this respect, Celik et al. (2008) found that the increase in the percentage of plant regeneration is due to the effect of magnetic field on cell division and protein synthesis in paulownia node cultures. Shabrangi and Majd (2009) concluded that, biomass increasing needs metabolic changes particularly increasing protein biosynthesis. It was found out that chloroplasts have paramagnetic properties (Campbell, 1977).

Table (6): Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation on Total Proteins, Total Amino Acids and Proline (mg/100g D.W.) of Broad Bean (*Vicia faba*, L. Giza 3) Plants.

Nitrogenous	Total Pr	oteins			Total A	mino Acio	ls		Proline			
Components	(mg /100g DW)				(mg /100g DW)				(mg /100g DW)			
	lanvar	Stome	Pooto	Total	laavaa	Stoms	Poots	Total	lanvas	Stoma	Poots	Total
Treatments	leaves	Stellis	Roots	Plant	leaves	Stellis	ROOIS	Plant	leaves	Stems	KOOIS	Plant
Tap water	15.32	10.43	6.54	32.29	7.91	7.54	3.27	18.72	3.93	4.02	3.98	11.93
Magnetic water	17.86	13.03	7.76	38.65	13.52	13.01	5.87	32.40	7.52	7.76	4.02	19.30
F values	*	**	*	**	**	**	*	***	**	**	N.S.	**

Statistical Analysis treatments, where relevant, the experimental data were subjected of One – Way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Note: F values *=P < 0.05, **=P < 0.01, ***=P < 0.001 and N.S.=Not Significant. Data presented are the means of five replicate.

The increasing of protein contents in plants irrigated with magnetic water was accompanied with increasing growth promoters (IAA). In this respect, Kuba and Kakimoto (2000) found that IAA effect on DNA replication. Moreover, Celik et al. (2008) and Shabrangi and Majd (2009) reported that magnetic field is known as an environmental factor which on gene expression. affects Therefore, bv augmentation of biological reactions like protein synthesis of broad bean yield and its components were increased significantly under magnetic irrigation. These results are logical to improvement growth parameters and growth promoters (IAA) and photosynthetic pigments. The remarkable improvement induced by the magnetic treatment was consistent with the results of other studies on other crops like cereal, sunflower, flax, pea, wheat, pepper, tomato, soybean, potato and sugar beet, in these studies the crop yield were increased (Pittman, 1972; Gubbels, 1982; Vakharia et al., 1991; Pietruszowski, 1993; Namba et al., 1995; Atak et al., 1997; Özalpan et al., 1999; Yurttas et al., 1999; Pietruszewski 1999 a & b: Reina et al., 2001: Oldacav and Erdem, 2002: Takac et al., 2002; Crnobarac et al., 2002; Marinkovic et al., 2002). It could be concluded from this study that, broad bean irrigation with magnetic water could effectively increase growth parameters, yield and some chemical constituents.

Magnetic water is considered one of several physical factors affects plant growth and its development. Results obtained showed that broad bean plants which irrigated with magnetic water grew taller and heavier than those irrigated with tap water. The stimulatory effect of the application of magnetic water on the growth parameters reported in this study may be attributed to the increase in photosynthetic pigments, endogenous promoters (IAA); increase protein biosynthesis. Abdul Qados and Hozayn (2010a) findings the stimulatory impact of magnetic water may be also ascribed to the increasing of stomatal conductance and root growth which increase absorption and assimilation of nutrients. In this connection, Formicheva et al. (1992a & b) and Belyavskaya (2001) reported that magnetic water significantly induces cell metabolism and mitosis meristematic cells of pea, lentil and flax. Moreover, the formation of new protein bands in plants treated with magnetic water may be responsible for the stimulation of all growth, and promoters in treated plants. In this respect, Celik et al. (2008) found that the increase in the percentage of plant regeneration is due to the effect of magnetic field on cell division and protein synthesis in paulownia node cultures. Shabrangi and Majd (2009) concluded that, biomass increasing needs metabolic changes particularly increasing protein biosynthesis. The stimulatory effect of magnetized water on growth parameters may be attributed to the induction of cell metabolism and mitosis (Abdul Qados and Hozayn, 2010b). Also, these results agreement with those obtained by Renia et al. (2001) who found significance increase in rate of water absorption accompanied with an increase in total mass of lettuce with increase of magnetic force.

Total Phenols, Total Indole:

Also, from these results recoded in Table (7), the total phenols promotive significantly in broad bean

plant irrigated by magnetic water compared to tap water plant (control). Whereas, the total indole the magnetic water irrigation no significant effects in broad bean plant compared with tap water (control). This improvement may be attributed to the role of magnetic water in changing the characteristic of cell membrane, effecting the cell reproduction and causing some changes in cell metabolism (Goodman et al., 1995; Atak et al., 2003; Moussa, 2011).

Table (7): Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation on Total Indole, Total Phenol, GA₃, Kinetin, Nucleic Acids (RNA and DNA) Contents of Broad Bean (*Vicia faba*, L. Giza 3) Plants.

	()	,				
Growth regulators	Total Indole	Total Phenol	(µg /g FW)			
Treatments	(mg/100gFW)	(µg/100gFW)	GA3	Kinetin	RNA	DNA
Tap water	9.88	312.28	76	64	73	49
Magnetic water	9.92	423.13	116	93	106	65
F values	N.S.	***	***	**	***	*
Quality 1 Analysis duration		1	1.4		W/1	

Statistical Analysis treatments, where relevant, the experimental data were subjected of One – Way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Note: F values * = P < 0.05, ** = P < 0.01, *** = P < 0.001 and N.S. = Not Significant. Data presented are the means of five replicate.

GA₃, kinetin contents:

Data presented in Table (7) showed that the irrigation of broad bean plant by magnetic water increased significantly the GA₃ and kinetin contents compared to the tap water (control) plant. That means that, in the magnetic field, the magnetic moments of the atoms in them are oriented downwards the field direction, the influence of the magnetic field on plants, sensible to it, increases its energy. Later, this energy is distributed among the atoms and causes the accelerated metabolism and, consequently, to better germination. Turker et al. (2007) showed that an increase in GA3 in sunflower plants treated with magnetic water. Also, Hozayn and Abdul Qados (2010b) stated that, the treatment of wheat with magnetic water increase the cytokinine content which is effective on some events causing mitosis. These results may be due to the effect of magnetic field on alteration the key of cellular processes such as gene transcription which play an important role in altering cellular processes. In this respect Tian et al. (1991) and Atak et al. (2000 and 2003) who found an increase in chlorophyll content specifically appeared after exposure to a magnetic field for a short time. Moreover, Atak et al. (2003) suggested that, increase all photosynthetic pigment through the increase in cvtokinin synthesis which induced by MF. They also added cytokinin play an important role on chloroplast development, shoot formation, axillary bud growth, and induction of number of genes involved in chloroplast development nutrient metabolism. It also

may be due to the increase in growth promoters (IAA) (Table 2). Similar results were observed on rice and chick-pea when irrigated with magnetic water (Tian et al., 1991 and Nasher, 2008). As well as the improvement of photosynthetic pigments were recorded in *Paulowria species* (Atak et al., 2000), sunflower (Oldocay and Erdem, 2002), soy bean (Atak et al., 2003) when seeds or explants exposed to magnetic field (3.8 - 4.8 mt) for a short time.

Nucleic acid (DNA and RNA):

The results presented in Table (7) indicated that the stimulatory effect of magnetic water significantly in the nucleic acid (DNA and RNA) contents in broad bean compared with the using tap water (control), similar results also have been reported by Ozge et al. (2008); Mihaela et al. (2009); Moussa (2011).

Antioxidant Enzymes:

Catalase, Peroxidase, and Superoxide Dismutase:

Data presented in Table (8) showed that the irrigation of broad bean plant with magnetic water caused a significantly increased in the activities of the antioxidant enzymes (catalase, peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase) over the irrigated with tap water (control) plants. These results agreement with Pintilie et al. (2006); Moussa (2011). The magnetic field had a stimulation effect on peroxidase activity (Badea et al., 2002) and superoxide dismutase (Hassan et al., 2007; Moussa, 2011). Opposite to this result Hassan et al. (2007) stated that magnetic field treatment decreased the catalase activity in tobacco.

Table (8): Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation on antioxidant (enzyme activities) in Broad Bean (*Vicia faba*, L. Giza 3) Plants.

Antioxidants Treatments	Catalase (µMH ₂ O ₂ /min. g F.W.)	Peroxidase (units mg ⁻¹ protein)	Superoxide dismutases (units mg ⁻¹ protein)
Tap water	1.32	6.91	2.93
Magnetic water	3.76	11.32	6.52
F values	**	**	**

Statistical Analysis treatments, where relevant, the experimental data were subjected of One – Way analysis of variance (*ANOVA*). Note: F values * = P < 0.05, ** = P < 0.01, *** = P < 0.001 and N.S. = Not Significant. Data presented are the means of five replicate.

Inorganic Minerals	(mg/100g D.W.)				
Treatments	K ⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺ /Na ⁺ Ratio	Ca ⁺²	P ⁺³
Seeds					
Tap water	56.26	14.78	3.81	67.41	11.31
Magnetic water	66.26	13.78	4.81	83.41	13.15
<i>F</i> values	*	*	*	**	**
Leaves					
Tap water	77.47	20.48	3.98	63.44	6.46
Magnetic water	85.57	19.48	4.18	81.44	7.36
<i>F</i> values	***	*	N.S.	**	N.S.
Stems					
Tap water	69.57	11.48	6.06	35.43	3.23
Magnetic water	75.57	9.48	7.97	45.43	5.13
<i>F</i> values	*	**	N.S.	**	*
Roots					
Tap water	57.39	15.65	4.31	39.90	3.23
Magnetic water	63.39	10.65	5.95	49.90	5.65
F values	*	**	N.S.	*	N.S.

Table (9): Impact of Magnetic Water Irrigation On Inorganic Minerals (K⁺, Na⁺, K⁺/Na⁺ Ratios, Ca⁺², P⁺³) Contents as (mg/100 g D.W.) In All Parts Of Broad Bean (*Vicia faba*, L. Giza 3) Plants.

Statistical Analysis treatments, where relevant, the experimental data were subjected of One – Way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Note: *F* values * = P < 0.05, ** = P < 0.01, *** = P < 0.001 and N.S. = Not Significant. Data presented are the means of five replicate.

Inorganic Mineral Elements:

However, data presented in Table (9) indicated that the irrigation of broad bean plant by magnetic water exhibited an increase in potassium, calcium, phosphorous contents in all parts (roots, stems, leaves and seeds) of broad bean plant compared with the control (tap water) plant, whereas, sodium content tended to decreased significantly in all plant parts (roots, stems, leaves and seeds) irrigated with magnetic water than tap water (control) plants. These results agreement with that of Harsharn et al. (2011); they observed an increase in potassium content in pea after irrigation with magnetic water. Also, Moussa (2001) demonstrated that, there is a direct effect of potassium upon translocation efficiency, because potassium ion (K^+) is known to be one of the three largest constituents in sieve tube sap. Potassium may play a role on the synthesis of endogenous plant hormones (Haeder et al., 1981).

Conclusion

Results of the current study showed the positive impacts of magnetic water on root, stem and leaves growth of broad bean as well as water relations than that the control. The stimulatory effect of magnetic water on the growth in these research may be due to the increase in root growth and stomatal conductance. So as a simple and safe method, irrigation with magnetic water can be used to improvement plant growth and water used efficiency. It appears that utilization of magnetic water can led to improve quantity and quality of broad bean (*Vicia faba*, L.) crop. It suggests that magnetic water could stimulate defense system, photosynthetic activity, and translocation efficiency of photo-assimilates in broad bean plants. Generally, using magnetic water treatment could be a promising technique for agricultural improvements but extensive research is required on different crops.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Hameda El Sayed Ahmed El Sayed Department of Biology, Faculty of Applied Science, Umm Al Qura University, Makkah Al Mukaramah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

E. Mail, <u>heelsayed@uqu.edu.sa</u> &

E. Mail, <u>D.Hameda@hotmail.com</u>

References

- 1. Abdul Qados, A. M. S.; Hozayn, M. (2010a). Response of growth, yield, yield components and some chemical constituents of flax for irrigation with magnetized and tap water. World Applied Science Journal 8 (5), 630 - 634.
- Abdul Qados, A. M. S.; Hozayn, M. (2010b). Magnetic water technology, a novel tool to increase growth, yield and chemical constituents of lentil under greenhouse condition. American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences 7 (4), 457 - 462.

- 3. Aladjadjiyan, A. (2002). Study of the influence of magnetic field on some biological characteristics of *Zea mais*. Journal of Central European Agriculture, 3(2): 89 - 94.
- 4. Aladjadjiyan, A. (2010). Influence of stationary magnetic field on lentil seeds. International Agrophysics 24, 321-324.
- Aladjadjiyan, A. (2012). Physical factors for plant growth stimulation improve food quality, food production-approaches, challenges and tasks, Anna Aladjadjiyan (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-887-8, In Tech Publisher, Rijeka, Croatia, 270 p.
- Alexande, M. P. and Doijode, S. D. (1995). Electromagnetic field, a novel tool to increase germination and seedling vigour of conserved onion (*Allium cepa* L.) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seeds with low viability. Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter, 104, 1-5.
- Al-Khazan. M.; Abdullatif, B. M.; Al-Assaf, N. (2011). Effects of magnetically treated water on water status, chlorophyll pigments and some elements content of Jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis* L.) at different growth stages. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology 5 (9), 722-731.
- Allen, S.; Grimshay, H. M.; Parkin Son, J. A.; Quarmby, C. (1974). *Chemical Analysis of Ecological Materials*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Osney, Oxford, London, p 565.
- Amiri, M. C.; Dadkhah, A. A. (2006). On reduction in the surface tension of water due to magnetic treatment. Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng. Aspects, 278: 252–255.
- 10. Arnon, M. M.; Shavit, N. (1963). A sensitive and simple methods for determination of ferricyanide. *Anal. Biochem.*, 6 : 549 554.
- Aronoff, S. (1949). Photochemical reduction of chloroplast grana. *Journasl of Plant Physiology*, 21: 393 – 409.
- Atak, Ç.; Danilov, V.; Yurttafl, B.; Yalçn, S.; Mutlu, D.; Rzakoulieva, A. (1997). Effects of magnetic field on soybean (*Glycine max* L.Merrill) seeds. Com JINR. Dubna, 1-13.
- Atak, Ç., Danilov, V.; Yurttafl, B.; Yalçn, S.; Mutlu, D.; Rzakoulieva, A. (2000). Effect of magnetic field on Paulownia seeds. Com JINR. Dubna. 1-14.
- Atak, Ç.; Emiroğlu, Ö.; Alikamanoğlu, S.; Rzakoulieva, A.; (2003). Stimulation of regeneration by magnetic field in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) tissue cultures. J. Cell and Mol. Biol., 2: 113–119.
- Bates, L. S.; Waldern, R. P.; Teare, I. D. (1973): Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studied. *Plant and Soil*, 39 : 205 – 207.

- Badea, E.; Babeanu, C.; Marinescu, G.; Corneanu, G. C.; Comeanu, M. (2002). Peroxidases as monitors of very low magnetic field effects, VI International Plant Peroxidase Symposium (3–7, July 2002) S5- P10.
- Belyavskaya, N. A. (2001). Ultrastructure and calcium balance in meristem cells of pea roots exposed to extremely low magnetic field. Adv. Space Res., 28 (4): 645–650.
- 18. Bliss, C. I. (1973). *Plant Protection*. No. 12. Leningrad.
- 19. Cakmak, I.; Horst, W. J. (1991). Effect of aluminum on lipid peroxidation, superoxide dismutase, catalase and peroxidase activities in root tips of soybean (*Glycine max*). Plant Physiol., 83: 463–468.
- 20. Campbell, G.S. (1977). An introduction to environmental biophysics. Springer-Verlag, N.Y.,USA.
- Carbonell, M. V.; Martinez, E.; Amaya, J. M. (2000). Stimulation of germination in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by a static magnetic field. Electro-Magnetobiol., 19(1):121–128.
- Celik, O.; Atak, C.; Rzakulieva, A. (2008). Stimulation of rapid regeneration by a magnetic field in paulownia node cultures. Journal of Central European Agriculture 9 (2), 297-304.
- Constantin, V.; Lucia, P.; Daniela, A.L. (2003). The influence of the magnetic fluids on some physiological processes in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Rev. Roum. Biol. Veget., 48(1–2): 9–15.
- 24. Crnobarc, J.; Marinkovic, B.; Tatic, M.; Malesevic, M., (2002). The effect of REIS on startup growth and seed yield of sunflower and soybean. Biophysics in agriculture production, University of Novi Sad, Tampograf.
- 25. Davies, M. S. (1996). Effects of 60 Hz electromagnetic fields on early growth in three plant species and a replication of previous results. Bioelectromagnetics 17, 154-161.
- De Souza, A.; Casate, R.; Porras, E. (1999). Effect of magnetic treatment of tomato seeds (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) On germination and seedling growth [in Spanish]. Invest Agr: Prod. Prot. Veg., 14(3): 67-74.
- 27. De Souza, A.; Garci, D.; Sueiro, L.; Gilart, F.; Porras, E.; Licea, L. (2006). Presowing magnetic treatments of tomato seeds increase the growth and yield of plants. Bioelectromagnetics, 27: 247–257.
- 28. Dhindsa, R. A.; Dhindsa, P. P.; Thorpe, T. A. (1981). Leaf senescence correlated with increased permeability and lipid peroxidation and decreased levels of superoxide dismutase and catalase. J. Exp. Bot., 126: 93–101.

- Findlay, G. P.; Hope, A. B. (1976). Electrical properties cells: methods and findings. In: Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, Vol. 2A (Luttge U., Pittman M. G., eds), Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 53-92 pp.
- Formicheva, V. M.; Govorun, R. D.; Danilov, V. T. (1992a). Proliferative activity and cell reproduction in the root meristem of pea, lentil and flax in the conditions of screening the geomagnetic field. Int. Biophysics. 37: 645-648.
- Formicheva, V. M.; Zaslavskii, V. A.; Govorun, R. D.; Danilov, V. T. (1992b). Dynamics of RNA and protein synthesis in the cells of the root meristems of the pea, lentil and flax Biophysics., 37: 649-656.
- Frey, A. H. (1993). Electromagnetic field interactions with biological systems. FASEB J., 7, 272-281.
- Goodman, E. M.; Greenabaum, B.; Morron, T. M. (1995). Effects of electromagnetic fields on molecules and cells. International Review of Cytology, 158: 279-325.
- 34. Grewal, H. S.; Maheshwari, B. L. (2011). Magnetic treatment of irrigation water and snow pea and chickpea seeds enhances early growth and nutrient contents of seedlings. Bioelectromagnetics 32, 58-65.
- 35. Gubbels, G. H. (1982). Seedling growth and yield response of flax, buckwheat, sunflower and field pea after preseeding magnetic treatment. Can. J. Plant Sci., 62: 61-64.
- 36. Haeder, H. E.; Beringer, H. (1981). Influence of potassium nutrition and water stress on the content of abscisic acid in grains and flag leaves of wheat during grain development. J. Sci. Food Agric., 32: 552–556.
- Harsharn S. Grewal; Basant, L. (2011). Magnetic treatment of irrigation water and snow pea and chickpea seeds enhances early growth and nutrient contents of seedlings. Bioelectromagnetics, 32: 58–65.
- Hassan., S.; Parviz, A.; Faezeh, G. (2007). Effects of magnetic field on the antioxidant enzyme activities of suspension-cultured tobacco cells. Bioelectromagnetics, 28: 42–47. Henson IE, Mahalakshmi V, Bidinger FR and Alagars-
- 39. Hilal, M. H.; Hilal, M. M. (2000). Application of Magnetic Technologies in Desert Agriculture:I-Seed germination and seedling emergence of some crops in a saline calcareous soil. Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 40, ,(3.): 413-423.
- 40. Hoff, A. J. (1981). Magnetic field effects on photosynthetic reactions. Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics 14 (4), 599-665.

- 41. Hogland, D. R.; Arnon, I. I. (1950). The water culture method for growing plants without soil. *Journal Circular. California Agricultural Experiment Station*.Vol. 347 No. 2nd edit pp. 32.
- 42. Hozayn, M.; Abdul Qados, A. M. S. (2010a). Irrigation with magnetized water enhances growth, chemical constituent and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America 1 (4), 671-676.
- 43. Hozayn, M.; Abdul Qados, A. M. S. (2010b). Magnetic water application for improving wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crop production. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America vol.1 (4): 677-682.
- 44. Hsu, Y. T.; Kao, C. H. (2007). Cadmiuminduced oxidative damage in rice leaves in reduced by polyamines. Plant Soil. 291: 27–37.
- 45. Ijaz, B.; Jatoi, S. A.; Ahmad, D.; Masood, M. S.; Siddiqui, S. (2012). Changes in germination behavior of wheat seeds exposed to magnetic field and magnetically structured water. African Journal of Biotechnology 11 (15), 3575-3582.
- Johnson; Ulrich (1959). Analytical methods for use in plant analysis. U.S. Dept. Agric. California University. Agriculture, Information, Bulletin, 766.
- Jones, D. B.; Bolwell, G. P.; Gilliat, G. J. J. (1986). Amplification, by pulsed Electromagnetic fields, of plant growth regulator induced Phenylalanine Ammonia-Lyrase during differentiation in suspension cultured plant cells. Bioelectromagnetics, 5 (1): 1-12.
- 48. Kuba, M.; Kakimoto, T. (2000). The cytokinin hyposensitive genes of Arabidopsis negatively regulate the cytokinin signalling pathway for cell division and chloroplast development. The Plant J. 23(1): 385-394.
- Larsen, P.; Harbo, A.; Klungron, S.; Ashein, T. A. (1962). On the biosynthesis of some indole compounds in *Acetobacter xylinum*. Physiol. Plant., 15: 552-565.
- Lowry, O. H.; Rosebrough, N. J.; Farr, A.; Randail, R. T. (1951). Protein measurement with Folin Phenol Reagent. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 193 : 265 – 275.
- 51. Macheix, J. J.; Quessada, M. P. (1984). Caractérisation d'une peroxydase impliquée spécifiquement dans la lignification, en relation avec l'incompatibilité au greffage chez l'abricotier. Physiologie Végétale, 22: 533–540.
- 52. Mackinney, G. (1941): Absorption of light by chlorophyll solutions. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 140 : 315 322.

- 53. Madhava Rao, K. V.; Sresty, T. V. (2000). Antioxidative parameters in the seedlings of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millspaugh) in response to Zn and Ni stresses. Plant Sci., 157: 113–128.
- 54. Maheshwari, B.L.; Grewal, H.S. (2009). Magnetic treatment of irrigation water: Its effects on vegetable crop yield and water productivity. Agricultural Water Management 96, 1229-1236.
- 55. Malik, C. P.; Singh, M. B. (1980). Plant Enzymology and Histoe-nzymology. Kalyani Publishers. New Delhi. Marinkovic B., Ilin Z., Marinkovic J., Culibrk M., Jacimovic electromagnetic field. Biophysics in agriculture production. University of Novi Sad, Tomograf.
- 56. Marinkovic, B.; Ilin, Z.; Marinkovic, J.; Culibrk, M.; Jacimovic, G. (2002). Potato yield in function variable electromagnetic field. Biophysics in agriculture production. University of Novi Sad, Tomograf.
- Martinez, E.; Carbonell, M. V.; Amaya, J. M. (2000). A static magnetic field of barley (*Hordeum vugare* L.). Electro and Magnetobiol., 19: 271-277.
- 58. Metzner, H., Rau, H.; Senger, H. (1965). Untersuchun-gen zur synchronisier-barkeit einzeiner pigment angel-mutanten von chlorella. Planta J., 65 : 186 – 194.
- Mihaela, R.; Dorina, C.; Carmen, A. (2007). Biochemical changes induced by low frequency magnetic field exposure of vegetal organisms. Rom. J. Phys., 52(5–7): 645–651.
- 60. Mihaela, R.; Simona, M.; Dorina, E. C. (2009). The response of plant tissues to magnetic fluid and electromagnetic exposure. Romanian J. Biophys., 19(1): 73–82.
- 61. Miller, R. O. (1998). Nitric-perchloric acid wet digestion in an open vessel, *Handbook of Reference Methods for Plant Analysis*, Kalra, Y.P., Ed., Boca Raton: CRC, pp 57–61.
- 62. Mohamed, Y.; Capesius, I. (1980). Wirking von Gibberellnsaure und Fd Urd auf die Menge und die Zusammensetzung der DNA wahrend des strechkungswachstum von *Pisum sativum*. Z. Pflanzen Physiol., 98: 15–23.
- Morejon, L. P.; Castro, J. C.; Velazquez, L. G.; Govea, A. P. (2007). Simulation of *pinus tropicalis* M. seeds by magnetically treated water. Int Agrophys., 21: 173–177.
- 64. Mostafazadeh-Fard, B.; Khoshravesh, M.; Mousavi, S.; Kiani, A.(2011). Effects of magnetized water and irrigation water salinity on soil moisture distribution in trickle irrigation. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering 137 (6), 398-402.

- 65. Moussa, H. R. (2001). Physiological and biochemical studies on the herbicide (Dual) by using radiolabelled technique. PhD thesis, Ain Shams University, Egypt.
- 66. Moussa, H. R. (2011). The impact of magnetic water application for improving common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* 1.) production. New York Science Journal 4 (6), 15-20.
- 67. Naguib, M. I. (1963). Colorimetric estimation of plant polysaccharides. *Zucker*, 16: 15 18.
- 68. Naguib, M. I. (1964). Effect of seven on the carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism during germination of cotton seeds. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2: 149-152.
- 69. Namba, K.; Sasao, A.; Shibusawa, S. (1995). Effect of magnetic field on germination and plant growth. Acta Horticulture, 399: 143-147,
- Nasher, S. H. (2008). The Effect of magnetic water on growth of chickpea seeds. Eng. & Tech. 26(9):4 pages.
- Nelson, N. (1944) A photometric adaptation for somagi method for determination of glucose. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 153-275.
- Oldacay, S.; Erdem, G. (2002). Evaluation of chlorophyll contents and peroxides activities in I (*Helianthus annuus* L.) genotypes exposed to radiation and magnetic field. Pak. J. of Appl. Sci., 2 (10): 934-937.
- Osman, M. E. H.; Metzner, H.; Fisher, K. (1982). Effect of nitrate on thylakoid reaction. I-Influence on photosynthetic electron transport. *Phosynthetica*, 16: (1), 7-12.
- 74. Otsuka, I.; Ozeki, S. (2006). Does magnetic treatment of water change its properties?. J. Phys. Chem., 110: 1509–1512.
- 75. Özalpan, A.; Atak, C.; Yurttas, B.; Alikamanoglu, S.; Canbolat, Y.; Borucu H.; Danilov V.; Rzakoulieva A. (1999). Effect of magnetic field on soybean yield (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). Turkish Association of Biophysics, XI National Biophysics Congress, Abstract Book, pp: 60.
- Özge, Ç.; Çimen, A.; Aitekin, R. (2008). Stimulation of rapid regeneration by a magnetic field in *Paulownia node* cultures. J. Cent. Eur. Agric., 9(2): 297–304.
- 77. Pietruszewski, S. T. (1999 a). Effect of alternating magnetic field on germination, growth and yield of plant seeds. Int. Agrophysics, 5 (11): 209-215.
- 78. Pietruszewski, S. T. (1999 b). Influence of presowing magnetic biostimulation on germination and yield of wheat. Int. Agrophysics,13, 241-244.

- 79. Pietruszweski, S. (1993). Effects of magnetic seed treatment on yields of wheat," Seed Sci. Techol., 21, 621-626.
- Pintilie, M.; Oprica, L.; Surleac, M.; Dragut Ivan, C.; Creanga, D. E.; Artenie, V. E. (2006). Enzyme activity in plants treated with magnetic liquid. Rom. J. Phys., 51(1–2): 239–244.
- 81. Pittman, U. J. (1972). Biomagnetic responses in potatoes. Can J Plant Sci., 52: 727-733.
- Reina, F. G.; Pascual, L. A.; Fundora, I. A. (2001). Influence of a stationary magnetic field on water relations in lettuce seeds. Part II: Experimental Results. Bioelectromagnetics, 22: 596–602.
- Richards, L. A. (1954). Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soil, (ed.) U.S. Salinity Laboratory. U. S. Dept. Agric. Hand Book 60.
- 84. Ruzic, R.; Jerman, I.; Gogala, N. (1998). Water stress reveals effects of ELF magnetic fields on the growth of seedlings. Electro- and Magnetobiology, 17: 17-30.
- Sadeghipour, O.; Aghaei, P. (2013). Improving the growth of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*, L. Walp.) by magnetized water. Journal of Bio. & Env. Sci. vol. 3 (1): 37-43.
- Sekine, T. Sasakawa, Morita, S., Kimara, T. and Kuratomi, K. (1972). Cf. Laboratory Manual for Physiological studies of Rice Eds. S. Yashida, D. Foeno, J. N. Cook and K. A. Gomez, Publ. by International Rice Research Institute Manila.
- Shabrangi, A.; Majd, A. (2009). Effect of magnetic fields on growth and antioxidant systems in agricultural plants. PIERS Proceedings, Beijing, China, March, 23-27.
- Shindy, W.; Orrin, S. (1975). Identification of plant hormones from cotton ovules, Plant Physiol., 55: 550–554.
- 89. Slatyer, R. O. (1957). The influence of progressive increases in total soil moisture stress on transpiration, growth and internal water relationships of plants. *Aust. J. Biot. Sa.* Vol. 10: pp 320-336.
- Snedecor, G. W.; Cochran, W. B. (1967) *Statistical Methods*. 6 th Ed. Lowa State University Press.

- 91. Takac, A.; Gvozdenovic, G.; Marinkovic, B. (2002). Effect of resonant impulse electromagnetic stimulation on yield of tomato and pepper. Biophysics in agriculture production, University of Novi Sad, Tampograf.
- 92. Tian, W.X.; Kuang, Y.L.; Me, Z.P. (1991). Effect of magnetic water on seed germination, seedling growth and grain yield of rice. Field Crop Abstracts. 044-07228.
- 93. Turker, M.; Temirci, C.; Battal, P.; Erez, M. E. (2007). The effects of an artificial and static magnetic field on plant growth, chlorophyll and phytohormone levels in maize and sunflower plants. Phyton Ann. Rei. Botanicae, 46: 271– 284.
- 94. Vakharia, D. N.; Davariya, R. L.; Parameswaran, M. (1991). Influence of magnetic treatment on groundnut yield and attributes. Indian J. Plant Physiol. XXXIV: 131-136.
- 95. Vashisth, A.; Nagarajan, S. (2008). Exposure of seeds to static magnetic field enhances germination and early growth characteristics in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Bioelectromagnetics, 29: 571–578.
- 96. Weatherly, P. E. (1950): Studies in the water relation of cotton plants. l- The field measurement of water deficits in leaves. *New Phytol.* Vol. 49. Pp:81-97.
- 97. Weatherly, P. E.; Barr, C. (1962): Examination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficits in leaves. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* Vol.15(3).pp:413-418.
- Ya, P. L.; Tunekazu, T. (1966): An improved colorimetric determination of amino acids with the use of ninhydrin. *Anal. Biochem.*, 14 : 71 77.
- Yurttas, B.; Atak, C.; Gökdoan, G.; Canbolat, Y.; Danilov, V.; Rzakoulieva A. (1999). Detection of the positive effect of magnetic field on sunflower plants (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Turkish Association of Biophysics, XI National Biophysics Congress, Abstract Book, pp: 59.

1/16/2015