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#### Abstract

Potential functions and Fourier series method in the cylindrical coordinate system are employed to solve the problem of moving loads on the surface of a cylindrical bore in an infinite elastic medium. The steady-state dynamic equations of medium are uncoupled into Helmholtz equations, via given potentials. It is used that because of the superseismic nature of the problem, two mach cones are formed and opened toward the rear of the front in the medium. The stresses and displacements are obtained by using integral equations with certain boundary conditions. Finally, the dynamic stresses and displacements for step loads with axisymmetric and nonaxisymmetric cases are obtained and discussed in details via a numerical example. Moreover, effects of Mach numbers and poisson's ratio of medium on the values of stresses are discussed. [Hamid Mohsenimonfared, M.Nikkhahbahrami. Dynamic Stresses and Displacements around Cylindrical Cavities in an Infinite Elastic Medium under Moving Step Loads on the Cavity's Surface. Life Sci J 2015;12(9):55-66]. (ISSN:1097-8135). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 7
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 General Remarks

Moving loads on the surfaces have been investigated by many researchers. Investigation on dynamic stresses in solids is very significant in the study of dynamic strength of materials and in the design of underground structures subject to ground blasting waves. A related but considerably simpler problem has been treated by Biot (1952), who considered space- harmonic axisymmetric standing waves and obtained a closed form solution. Another related problem was treated by Cole and Huth (1958), who considered a line load progressing with a velocity V on the surface of an elastic half- space. Because of the simpler geometry, they were able to obtain a solution in closed form. Adrianus (2002) investigated the moving point load problem in soil dynamics with a view to determine the ground motion generated by a high-speed train traveling on a poorly consolidated soil with low shear wave speed. M.C.M. Bakker (1999) revisited the nonaxisymmetrical boundary value problem of a point load of normal traction traveling over an elastic half-space. M. Rahman (2001) considered the problem of a line load moving at a constant transonic speed across the surface of an elastic half-space and derived solution of the problem by using the method of Fourier transform. Iavorskaia (1964) also studied diffraction of a plane longitudinal wave on circular cylinder. One basic method has been used for the solution of these problems, the solution is obtained by using an integral transform of the displacement potentials. The resulting transformed equations are then solved in
terms of Hankel functions, and finally the stresses and displacements are found by inversion of the transformed quantities. In this paper the coefficients of the stresses and displacements are found by solving sets of coupled integral equations.

The waves are expanded into Fourier series in terms of the angle, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, around the opening. The stress field of the wave is written in terms of potential functions which satisfy the equations of motion. These equations decoupled via introducing the potential functions and reduced to Helmholtz equations that the potentials satisfy.

These potential functions are in integral form with unknown functions in the integrands. Therefore the Fourier series coefficients of the stresses and displacements are also in integral form with unknown integrands. The applied boundary tractions (the step loads) are expanded into a Fourier series in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and expressions for the stress and displacement components at points in the medium are derived for each term of the Fourier series as functions of the radial distance $r$ from the cavity axis and the distance $z$ behind the wave front.

The following three cases of step loads are considered: normal to the surface, tangential to the surface in the direction of the axis of the bore, and tangential to the circle of load application. These results can be used, by superposition, to determine the effects of other load patterns moving with the velocity V in the direction of the axis of the bore.

Numerical solution of these equations gives the values of the unknown functions. These values can
then be used to find the stresses and displacements on the boundary and also anywhere in the medium.

### 1.2 Problem Description

The object of this work is to obtain stresses and displacements in an elastic medium in the vicinity of a cylindrical cavity which is engulfed by a plane stress wave of dilatational travelling parallel to the axis of the cylinder, as shown in Figure 1.

The step load has an arbitrary distribution $P(\theta)$ along the circumference of the circle and moves with a velocity $V>C_{1}>C_{2}$; therefore, the speed is superseismic with respect to both the dilatational and shear waves in the medium. Consequently, the disturbances which were initiated far behind the front on the boundary of the cavity cannot reach the vicinity of the wave front for some time after the incident wave passes.


Figure 1. Moving step load
Moreover, because of the super seismic nature of the problem, it should be expected that two mach cones will be formed in the medium, as shown in Figure 2. These cones should open toward the rear of the front. Furthermore, there can be no stresses or displacements ahead of the leading front.


Figure 2. Geometry of the problem and the coordinate systems

If a coordinate system is assumed to move along the cylinder with the wave front, it is seen that the state of stress at points close behind the wave front depends only on relative position of them with respect to the front. Thus, in the vicinity of the wave front, provided that the end of the cavity is far away, the problem may be treated as a steady-state case. In other words, in the moving coordinate system, the state of stress and displacement is independent of time.

## 2. Governing equations and general

 solutionsConsider a cylindrical cavity of radius $r=a$ in a linearly elastic, homogeneous, and isotropic medium referred to a fixed coordinate system $(\overline{\mathrm{r}}, \bar{\theta}, \overline{\mathrm{z}})$ whose origin lies on the axis of the cavity.

A step load along the circle at $\overline{\mathrm{z}}=-\mathrm{vt}$ progresses along the interior of the cavity with a velocity V such that the stresses on the boundary $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}$ are:
$\sigma_{r r} \int_{r=a}=\sigma_{1}(\bar{\theta}) \cup(\bar{z}+v t)$
$\sigma_{r \theta} \int_{r=a}=\sigma_{2}(\bar{\theta}) U(\bar{z}+v t)$
$\sigma_{r z} \|_{r=a}=\sigma_{3}(\bar{\theta}) U(\bar{z}+v t)$
Where the functions $\sigma_{\mathrm{k}}(\theta \theta)$ define the distribution of the applied load. To determine the steady state solution, a moving coordinate system (r, $\theta, \mathrm{z})$ is introduced such that:
$\mathrm{r}=\overline{\mathrm{r}}, \theta=\bar{\theta}, \mathrm{z}=\overline{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{Vt}$
The following treatment is restricted to the case where the velocity V is greater than $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, the respective propagation velocities of dilatational and equivoluminal waves in the medium. Hence
$\mathrm{M}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{C}_{1}}>1, \mathrm{M}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}}>1$
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda+2 \mu}{\rho}}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}}$
The equations of motion in cylindrical coordinates, r, $\theta$, z, for an elastic medium, may be expressed in the following form:
$\nabla^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}+2 \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}+1\right) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \mathrm{r}}=\frac{\rho}{\mu} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}$
$\nabla^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\theta}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\theta}-2 \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \theta}\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}+1\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \theta}=\frac{\rho}{\mu} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}$
$\nabla^{2} u_{\bar{z}}+\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}+1\right) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \bar{z}}=\frac{\rho}{\mu} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{\bar{z}}}{\partial t^{2}}$
Where the dilatation, $\Delta$, and the laplacian operator, $\nabla^{2}$, are given by:
$\Delta=\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}+\frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{r}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\overline{\mathrm{z}}}}{\partial \overline{\mathrm{z}}}$
$\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathrm{r}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{r}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \overline{\mathrm{z}}^{2}}$

As mentioned earlier, the assumption of the existence of a steady-state case and trans-formation form $\mathrm{r}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}$ coordinates to $\mathrm{r}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathrm{z}$ results in elimination of the time variable, $t$, from the equations of motion. This transformation is performed by the following relations, as given in relations (4):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{z}=\overline{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{Vt} \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\mathrm{z}}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{z}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore equations (7) may be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} u_{r}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}+2 \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}+1\right) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \mathrm{r}}=\frac{\rho}{\mu} \mathrm{V}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}^{2}} \\
& \nabla^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\theta}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\theta}-2 \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \theta}\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}+1\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \theta}=\frac{\rho}{\mu} \mathrm{V}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\partial \mathrm{z}^{2}}  \tag{9}\\
& \nabla^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{z}}+\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}+1\right) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \partial_{\mathrm{z}}}=\frac{\rho}{\mu} \mathrm{V}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{z}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Stress components are given by
$\sigma_{\mathrm{rr}}=\lambda \Delta+2 \mu \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}$
$\sigma_{\theta \theta}=\lambda \Delta+2 \mu\left(\frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{r}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right)$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{ZZ}}=\lambda \Delta+2 \mu \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{z}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{r} \theta}=\mu\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \theta}-\frac{\mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\mathrm{r}}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right)$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{rz}}=\mu\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{z}}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right)$
$\sigma_{\theta \mathrm{z}}=\mu\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\partial \mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{z}}}{\partial \theta}\right)$
Displacement components ${ }^{u}{ }_{r}, \mathrm{u}_{\theta}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{z}$ may be expressed in Fourier series:
$u_{r}(r, \theta, z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n, r}(r, z) \cos n \theta$
$u_{\theta}(r, \theta, z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n, \theta}(r, z) \sin n \theta$
$u_{z}(r, \theta, z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n, z}(r, z) \cos n \theta$
Three potential functions are now introduced,
$\varphi(r, \theta, z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(r, z) \cos n \theta$
$\psi(\mathrm{r}, \theta, \mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \psi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z}) \cos n \theta$
$\chi(r, \theta, z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{n}(r, z) \sin n \theta$
The displacement components $u_{n, r}, u_{n, \theta}$ and $u_{n, z}$ are defined as follows:
$\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}}=\frac{\partial \varphi_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \mathrm{r} \partial \mathrm{z}}+\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{r}} \chi_{\mathrm{n}}$
$u_{n, \theta}=-\frac{n}{r} \varphi_{n}-\frac{n}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \chi_{n}}{\partial r}$
$u_{n, z}=\frac{\partial \varphi_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}}-\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathrm{r}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{r}}-\frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}\right) \psi_{\mathrm{n}}$
These equations may be obtained from the vector equation

$$
\overline{\mathrm{u}}=\operatorname{grad} \varphi+\operatorname{curl} \bar{\omega}
$$

Where $\bar{\omega}$ is the sum of two independent vectors as follows:

$$
\bar{\omega}=\bar{\chi}+\operatorname{curl} \bar{\psi}
$$

The vectors
$\bar{\chi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$
have only one non- zero component which is in the z - direction in both cases.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\chi_{\mathrm{r}}=0 & \psi_{\mathrm{r}}=0 \\
\chi_{\theta}=0 & \psi_{\theta}=0 \\
\chi_{\mathrm{z}}=\chi & \psi_{\mathrm{Z}}=\psi
\end{array}
$$

By substitution of the values given in equations (13) into the equations (9), it can be shown that the potential functions satisfy the modified wave equations.
$\nabla^{2} \varphi_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{c}_{1}}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}^{2}}$
$\nabla^{2} \psi_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{c}_{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}^{2}}$
$\nabla^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{c}_{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \chi_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}^{2}}$
Stress components are expressed in Fourier series form as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{rr}}(\mathrm{r}, \theta, \mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rr}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z}) \cos \mathrm{n} \theta \\
& \sigma_{\theta \theta}(\mathrm{r}, \theta, \mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \theta \theta}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z}) \cos \mathrm{n} \theta \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{zz}}(\mathrm{r}, \theta, \mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{zz}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z}) \cos \mathrm{n} \theta \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{r} \theta}(\mathrm{r}, \theta, \mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r} \theta}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z}) \sin n \theta \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{rz}}(\mathrm{r}, \theta, \mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rz}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z}) \cos \mathrm{n} \theta \\
& \sigma_{\theta \mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{r}, \theta, \mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \theta \mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z}) \sin \mathrm{n} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations (11) and (15) may be substituted into equations (10), and as a result stress- displacement relations may be written for each term of the series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rr}}=\lambda \Delta+2 \mu \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}}}{\partial \mathrm{r}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \theta \theta}=\lambda \Delta+2 \mu\left(\frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \theta}}{\mathrm{r}}\right) \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{zz}}=\lambda \Delta+2 \mu \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{z}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}} \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r} \theta}=\mu\left(-\mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{r}}-\frac{\mathrm{u}_{\theta}}{\mathrm{r}}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \theta}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right) \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rz}}=\mu\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{z}}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right) \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \theta \mathrm{z}}=\mu\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \theta}}{\partial \mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{z}}}{\mathrm{r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Delta=\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}+\frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \theta}}{\mathrm{r}}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{z}}}{\partial \mathrm{z}}
$$

Substitution of equations (13) into equations (16) and application of the differential equations (14) result in the following equations for stress components:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rr}}}{\mu}=\left(M_{2}^{2}-2 M_{1}^{2}\right) \varphi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{ZZ}}+2 \varphi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{RR}}+2 \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{RRZ}}+ \\
& +\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{R}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}} \chi_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \theta \theta}}{\mu}=\left(M_{2}^{2}-2\right) \varphi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{ZZ}}-2 \varphi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{RR}}+ \\
& \frac{2}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\psi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{RZ}}-\frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{R}} \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Z}}\right)-\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{R}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}} \chi_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{ZZ}}}{\mu}=\left(M_{2}^{2}-2 M_{1}^{2}+2\right) \varphi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{ZZ}}-2\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right) \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{ZZZ}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r} \theta}}{\mu^{\mu}}=-\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{R}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}} \varphi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\psi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{RZ}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}} \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Z}}\right)+  \tag{17}\\
& +\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}^{2}-1\right) \chi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{ZZ}}-2 \chi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{RR}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rz}}}{\mu}=2 \varphi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{RZ}}-\left(M_{2}^{2}-2\right) \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{RZZ}}+\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{R}} \chi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Z}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \theta \mathrm{z}}}{\mu}=-\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{R}} \varphi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Z}}+\left(M_{2}^{2}-2\right) \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{R}} \psi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{ZZ}}-\chi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{RZ}}
\end{align*}
$$

Where the second set of subscripts of $\varphi_{n}, \Psi_{n}$ and $\chi_{n}$ represent the partial derivatives of these functions. R and Z are the dimensionless variables form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{Z}=\frac{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{a}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are defined as follows:
$\mathrm{M}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{C}_{1}}, \mathrm{M}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$
Let
$\beta_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{1}^{2}-1 \quad, \quad \beta_{2}^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{2}^{2}-1$
The differential equations (14) may be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{n}}{\partial R^{2}}+\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \varphi_{n}}{\partial R}-\frac{n^{2}}{R^{2}} \varphi_{n}=\beta_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{n}}{\partial Z^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{n}}{\partial R^{2}}+\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \Psi_{n}}{\partial R}-\frac{n^{2}}{R^{2}} \Psi_{n}=\beta_{2}{ }^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{n}}{\partial Z^{2}}  \tag{20}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \chi_{n}}{\partial R^{2}}+\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \chi_{n}}{\partial R}-\frac{n^{2}}{R^{2}} \chi_{n}=\beta_{2}{ }^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \chi_{n}}{\partial Z^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

It is seen that these equations have the same general form as the differential equations of the cylindrical waves obtained in reference (13). Therefore solutions of equations (20) may be obtained in a manner similar to that in reference (13). These solutions are given in integral form as follows (see Appendix A for verification of the solutions):
$\varphi_{\mathrm{n}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{R} \beta_{1} \cosh u\right) \operatorname{coshnu} \mathrm{du}$
$\psi_{\mathrm{n}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{R} \beta_{2} \cosh u\right) \operatorname{coshnu} d u$
$\chi_{\mathrm{n}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{R} \beta_{2} \cosh u\right) \operatorname{coshnu} \mathrm{du}$
From consideration of the fact that the disturbances are zero ahead of the wave front, it is seen that the functions $f_{n}, g_{n}$ and $h_{n}$ are zero for the values of their arguments less than $-R \beta_{1},-R \beta_{2}$ and $-R \beta_{2}$, respectively.

Therefore the upper limits of the integrals may be changed from $\infty$ to the following values:
$u_{1}=\cosh ^{-1}\left(1+\frac{Z}{R \beta_{1}}\right) \quad$ for $\varphi_{n}$
$u_{2}=\cosh ^{-1}\left(1+\frac{Z}{R \beta_{2}}\right) \quad$ for $\Psi_{n} \& \chi_{n}$
The integrals are then written with these limits:
$\varphi_{\mathrm{n}}=\int_{0}^{u_{1}} f_{\mathrm{n}}\left(Z-R \beta_{1} \operatorname{coshu}\right) \cos h n u d u$
$\Psi_{\mathrm{n}}=\int_{0}^{u_{2}} g_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{R} \beta_{2} \operatorname{coshu}\right) \operatorname{coshnudu}$
$\chi_{\mathrm{n}}=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{u}_{2}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{R} \beta_{2} \operatorname{coshu}\right) \cos$ hnudu

## 3. Expressions of stresses and displacements

Substitution of equations (23) into equations (17) gives the following expressions for stress components.
$\frac{a^{2} \sigma_{n, r r}}{\mu}=\int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\left[M_{2}^{2}-2+\right.$
$\left.+2 \beta_{1}{ }^{2} \sinh { }^{2} u\right] \cosh$ nu du +
$+2 \beta_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \cosh { }^{2} u \cosh n u d u-$
$-\beta_{2}{ }^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} h^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sinh 2 u$ cosh $n u d u$
$\frac{a^{2} \sigma_{n, \theta \theta}}{\mu}=\int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}\left(n_{1}\right)\left[M_{2}{ }^{2}-2\right.$
$-2 \beta_{1}^{2} \cosh ^{2} u$ ] cosh nu du -
$2 \beta_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sinh ^{2} u \cosh n u d u$
$+\beta_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} h^{\prime \prime}\left(n_{2}\right) \sinh 2 u c o s h$ nu du
$\frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{zz}}}{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}^{2}-2 \mathrm{M}_{1}^{2}+2\right) \int_{0}^{\mathrm{u}_{1}} \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \cosh n u d u$
$-2 \beta_{2}{ }^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} \mathrm{~g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \cosh n u d u$
$\frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r} \theta}}{\mu}=\beta_{1}{ }^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \sinh 2 \mathrm{u} \sinh n u d u+$
$\beta_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sinh 2 u \sinh n u d u$
$-\beta_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} h^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \cosh 2 u \cosh n u d u$
$\frac{a^{2} \sigma_{n, r z}}{\mu}=-2 \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}\left(n_{1}\right) \cosh u \cosh n u d u+$
$\beta_{2}\left(M_{2}^{2}-2\right) \int_{0}^{u_{2}} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \cosh u$ cosh nu du
$+\beta_{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} h^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sinh u \sinh n u d u$
$\frac{a^{2} \sigma_{n, \theta z}}{\mu}=-2 \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}\left(n_{1}\right) \sinh u \sinh n u d u+$
$\beta_{2}\left(M_{2}{ }^{2}-2\right) \int_{0}^{u_{2}} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(n_{2}\right)$ sinh usinh nu du
$+\beta_{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} h^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \cosh u$ cosh nu du
Substitution of equations (23) into equations (13) gives the following expressions for displacement components,
$\frac{\mu u_{n, r}}{a}=\frac{-R \beta_{1}^{2}}{n^{2}-1} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \sinh u[n \sinh n u \cosh n u] d u \quad u+$
$+\frac{-R \beta_{2}^{2}}{n^{2}-1} \int_{0}^{u_{2}}{ }_{2} g^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sinh u[n \sinh n u \cosh u-$
$\left.+\frac{-\mathrm{R} \beta_{2}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{n}^{2}-1} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} \mathrm{~h}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sinh \mathrm{sinh} u \cosh n u\right] d u \quad n u \cosh u-$
$\frac{\mu u_{n, \theta}}{a}=\frac{-R \beta_{1}{ }^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}\left(n_{1}\right) \sinh u[n \sinh u \cosh n u}{n^{2}-1} \quad+$
$+\frac{-R \beta_{2}^{2}}{n^{2}-1} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} g_{-s i n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(n_{2}\right) \sinh n u[n \sinh u \cosh u] d u \quad n u+$
$+\frac{\mathrm{R} \beta_{2}^{2}}{\mathrm{n}^{2}-1} 1_{0}^{u_{2}} \mathrm{~h}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \sinh \mathrm{u}[\mathrm{n} \sinh \mathrm{inh} n \cosh \mathrm{nu}] \mathrm{du}$
$\frac{\mu \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{z}}}{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{R} \beta_{1}}{\mathrm{n}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{u}_{1}} \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \sinh u \operatorname{Sinhn} \mathrm{udu}+$
$+\frac{-\mathrm{R} \beta_{2}^{3}}{\mathrm{n}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{u}_{2}} \mathrm{~g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sinh u \sinh n u d u$
$\eta_{1}=Z-R \beta_{1} \cosh u$
Where $\eta_{2}=Z-R \beta_{2} \cosh u$
coshu=1+
$d u=\frac{d \xi}{\sinh u}=\frac{d \xi}{\sqrt{2 \xi+\xi^{2}}}$
The limits of the integrals with this variable are as follows:

Lower limits, $u=0, \xi=0$
Upper limits $\begin{cases}u=u_{1} & , \xi=\xi_{1} \\ u=u_{2} & , \xi=\xi_{2}=k \xi_{1}\end{cases}$
The upper limits are linear functions of $Z$ and $\xi_{1}$; therefore, in order to perform numerical integration, the longitudinal axis $\xi_{1}$ is divided into small steps. At every point along this axis the numerical integration is performed and at each step only one new value of the functions $f^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right), g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ and $h^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ enters into the computations.

As an example of the procedure of the numerical integration, the component of the stress in the radial direction is given symbolically below:
$\sigma_{n, r r}=I_{r r}^{F}+I_{r r}^{G}+I_{r r}^{H}$
Where

$I_{r r}^{G}=2 \beta_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(n_{2}\right) \cosh ^{2} u \operatorname{coshnudu}$
$I_{r r}^{H}=-\beta_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} h^{\prime \prime}\left(n_{2}\right) \sinh 2 u \operatorname{sinhnududu}$
The integrals $I_{r r}^{\mathrm{F}}, \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{\mathrm{G}}$ and $\left.\right|_{\mathrm{rr}} ^{\mathrm{H}}$ at the $\mathrm{p}^{\text {th }}$ step are expressed by using the convolution theorem in summation form as follows:
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{\mathrm{F}}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{+\mathrm{F}}\right)_{0}(\mathrm{f})_{\mathrm{p}}+\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{m}=1 \\ \mathrm{P}-1}}^{\mathrm{P}-1}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{f})_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{m}}+\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{-\mathrm{F}}\right)_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f})_{0}$
$\left.\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{\mathrm{G}}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{+\mathrm{G}}\right)_{0}(\mathrm{~g})_{\mathrm{p}}+\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{m}=1 \\ \mathrm{P}-1}}^{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rr}} \mathrm{G}}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{g})_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{m}}+\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{-\mathrm{G}}\right)_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{g})_{0}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{\mathrm{H}}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{+\mathrm{H}}\right)_{0}(\mathrm{~h})_{\mathrm{p}}+\sum_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{m}=1}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{h})_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{m}}+\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rr}}^{-\mathrm{H}}\right)_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{h})_{0}$
Where (f), (g) and (h) are the unknown functions to be evaluated.

At this stage of integration the values $(\mathrm{f})_{\mathrm{m}},(\mathrm{g})_{\mathrm{m}}$, $(\mathrm{h})_{\mathrm{m}}$ are known for $\mathrm{m}=0$ to $\mathrm{p}-1$. The only unknowns in these expressions are $(\mathrm{f})_{\mathrm{p}}$, $(\mathrm{g})_{\mathrm{p}}$, and $(\mathrm{h})_{\mathrm{p}}$. Similar expressions are written for the other components of stress, at the $\mathrm{p}^{\text {th }}$ step. The boundary conditions are now in the form of a set of three simultaneous linear equations. Solution of this set results in the values of $(f)_{p},(g)_{p}$, and $(h)_{p}$. The procedure is then carried on to the $(\mathrm{P}+1)^{\text {th }}$ step; Similar operations are performed to find the values of $(f)_{p+1},(g)_{p+1}$, and $(h)_{p+1}$.

As mentioned previously, when the values $f, g$ and h are found at each step, these values are substituted into the expressions for ${ }^{, u_{n, r}, u_{n, \theta}}$ and $u_{n, z}$ $\sigma_{n, \theta \theta}, \sigma_{n, z z}, \sigma_{n, \theta z}$ to compute the numerical values of these stresses and displacements in the medium.

## 6. Numerical Results and Conclusion

For the non-axisymmetric loadings characterized by $n>0$, numerical values of the stress components $\sigma_{n, \theta \theta}, \sigma_{n, z z}$ and $\sigma_{n, z \theta}$ at the cavity boundary $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}$ are presented in this section. These stresses are given for the cases $n=1,2$ for each of the three step- traction loading indicated below:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Index } & \left.\sigma_{\mathrm{rr}}\right]_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}}^{\text {Applied load }=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 1} \cos \mathrm{n} \theta \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{Z})} \\
\mathrm{K}=1 & \left.\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{rz}}\right]_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{r} \theta}\right]_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}}=0 \\
& \left.\sigma_{\mathrm{rz}}\right]_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 2} \cos \mathrm{n} \theta \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{Z}) \\
\mathrm{K}=2 & \left.\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{rr}}\right]_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{r} \theta}\right]_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}}=0 \\
& \left.\sigma_{\mathrm{r} \theta}\right]_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 3} \sin \mathrm{n} \theta \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{Z}) \\
\mathrm{K}=3 & \left.\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{rr}}\right]_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{rz}}\right]_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}}=0
\end{array}
$$

The curves are shown for two sets of prameters:

Case 1:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{C}_{1}}=2 \quad ; \quad v=0.25
$$

Case 2:
The values of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ were chosen for application of the results to problems of some practical interest. The stress components in each case approach the static plain strain solution as $Z$ approaches infinity, indicating that mathematical model produces correct results for propagation of waves in the isotropic medium. For those cases in which the static solutions do not vanish, a typical overshoot above the value of the static (long term) solutions is observed. Moreover, a decrease in the Mach number $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ appears to compress the stress response curve into a smaller range of $Z$ such that the asymptotic values of the lower value $\mathrm{M}_{1}=1,033$ are obtained for smaller values of Z . Figures 12 and 13 show the stress components $\sigma_{0, \theta \theta}$ and $\sigma_{0, \mathrm{zZ}}$ at the cavity boundary $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}$ for the axisymmetric loading case, $\mathrm{n}=0$, for the mach numbers $\mathrm{M}_{1}=1.033$, 1.5 and 2. As in the cases where $\mathrm{n} \neq 0$, the stress components in each case approach the static plain solutions as Z approaches infinity. Figures 14 through 19 show the displacement components $u_{n, r}, u_{n, \theta}$ and $u_{n, Z} \quad(n=1$, $2,3,4)$ for each of the three loading cases, $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3$. Figures 20 through 22 show the $\mathrm{u}_{0, \mathrm{r}}$ and $\mathrm{u}_{0, \mathrm{Z}}$ displacement components for the case $n=0$. These displacement results are shown for the $\mathrm{M}_{1}=2$, $v=1 / 4$ case only.

The only property of the material in the medium which enters into computations is its poisson's ratio. Figures 23 through 26 represent the effect of this
parameter on the values of stress components for the axisymmetric loading case, $\mathrm{n}=0$. The following values of poisson's ratio are used in this study:

$$
v=0,0.15,0.25 \text { and } 0.35
$$

It is noticed that the change in poisson's ratio does not have a large effect on the maximum value of longitudinal stress for the load case $\mathrm{k}=2$ (Figure 26), while it affects considerably the value of longitudinal stress for the case load, $\mathrm{k}=1$ (Figure 25), and hoop stress for the two cases, $\mathrm{k}=1,2$ (Figures 23 and 24) for smaller values of $Z$.


Figure 3. Stress $\sigma^{\sigma \theta}$ at boundary due to step load; $\sigma_{\mathrm{rr}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 1} \cos n \theta u(Z) ; n=1,2$


Figure 4. Stress ${ }^{\sigma} \theta \theta$ at boundary due to step load: $\sigma_{\mathrm{rZ}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 2} \cos n \theta u(Z) ; n=1,2$


Figure 5. Stress ${ }^{\sigma} \theta \theta$ at boundary due to step load; $\sigma_{\mathrm{r} \theta}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 3} \sin n \theta u(Z) ; n=1,2$


Figure 6. Stress $\sigma_{z z}$ at boundary due to step load; $\sigma_{\mathrm{rr}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 1} \cos n \theta u(Z) ; n=1,2$


Figure 7. Stress $\sigma_{z z}$ at boundary due to step load; $\sigma_{\mathrm{rz}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 2} \cos n \theta u(Z) ; n=1,2$


Figure 8. Stress $\sigma_{z z}$ at boundary due to step load; $\sigma_{\mathrm{r} \theta}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 3} \sin n \theta u(Z) ; n=1,2$


Figure 9. Stress ${ }^{\sigma} \theta z$ at boundary due to step load; $\sigma_{\mathrm{rr}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 1} \cos n \theta u(Z) ; n=1,2$


Figure 10. Stress ${ }^{\sigma} \theta z$ at boundary due to step load; $\sigma_{\mathrm{rZ}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 2} \cos n \theta u(Z) ; n=1,2$


Figure 11. Stress $\sigma_{\theta z}$ at boundary due to step load; $\sigma_{\mathrm{r}} \boldsymbol{\theta}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 3} \sin \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{Z}) ; \boldsymbol{n}=1,2$


Figure 12. Stresses at boundary due to axisymmetric step load $\sigma_{r r}=\sigma_{n l} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{Z}) ; \mathrm{n}=0$


Figure 13. Stresses at boundary due to axisymmetric step load $\sigma_{\mathrm{r} z}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 2} u(Z) ; n=0$


Figure 14. Boundary displacement $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{r}}$ due to step load $\sigma_{\mathrm{r} r}=\sigma_{\mathrm{n} 1} \cos n \theta u(Z) ; n=1,2,3,4 \quad, \mathrm{M}_{1}=2, v=0.25$
$\sigma_{r \theta}=\sigma_{r z}=0$


Figure 15. Boundary displacements due to step load; $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3,4$ $, M_{1}=2, v=0.25$


Figure 16. Boundary displacements due to step load; $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3,4$ $, M_{1}=2, v=0.25$


Figure 17. Boundary displacements due to step load; $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3,4$ , $M_{1}=2, v=0.25$


Figure 18. Boundary displacements due to step load; $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3,4$ , $M_{1}=2, v=0.25$


Figure 19. Boundary displacements due to step load; $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3,4$ , $M_{1}=2, v=0.25$


Figure 20. Boundary displacement ${ }^{\mathbf{u}}{ }_{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}}$ due to step load $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{0 1}}$; $\mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=2, \mathrm{v}=0.25$

 ${ }_{\mathrm{n}=0} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{z}}$ due to step load $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{0 1}} ; \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=2, \mathrm{v}=0.25$


Figure 22. Boundary displacement ${ }^{u_{0, z}}$ due to step load $\sigma_{02}$; $\mathrm{n}=0$


Figure 23. Comparision of Hoop stress for different values of poisson's ratio at boundary $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}$ due to axisymmetric step load $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{0 1}} ; \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=2$


Figure 24. Comparision of Hoop stress for different values of poisson's ratio at boundary $\mathrm{r}=$ a due to axisymmetric step load $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{0 2}}$; $\mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=2$


Figure 25. Comparision of Longitudinal stress for different values of poisson's ratio at boundary $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}$ due to axisymmetric step load $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{0 1}}$; $\mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=2$


Figure 26. Comparision of Longitudinal stress for different values of poisson's ratio at boundary $r=a$ due to axisymmetric step load $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{0 2}}$; $\mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=2$

## Appendix A

## Verification of the Solution of Wave Equation

Consider the modified wave equations expressed in equations (20). A typical differential equation of this kind is expressed as:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\nabla^{2} \varphi=M^{2} \varphi_{z Z} \\
\text { Or } \\
-c^{2} \varphi_{z Z}+\varphi_{R R}+\frac{1}{R} \varphi_{R}-\frac{n^{2}}{R^{2}} \varphi=0 \tag{A.1}
\end{array}
$$

A solution of this differential equation was represented in the following form.

$$
\varphi=\int_{0}^{u_{1}} f(Z-R \beta \cosh u \smile \text { cosh nu du }
$$

Where

$$
u_{1}=\cosh ^{-1}\left(1+\frac{Z}{R \beta}\right)
$$

In this section, the solution (A.2) is checked by substitution of ${ }^{\varphi}$ into equation (A.1).

Partial derivatives of ${ }^{\varphi}$ are:
$\varphi_{R}=-\beta \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime}(\eta) \cosh u \cosh n u d u$
$\varphi_{R R}=\beta^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}(\eta) \cosh ^{2} u \cosh n u d u$
$\varphi_{Z Z}=\int_{0}^{u 1} f^{\prime \prime}(\eta) \cosh n u d u$
Where

$$
\eta=Z-R \beta \cosh u
$$

The function ${ }^{\varphi}$ may be integrated by parts as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi=\int_{0}^{u_{1}} f(n) \cosh n u d u=\left.\frac{1}{n} f(\eta) \sinh n u\right|_{0} ^{u_{1}}+ \\
& \frac{R \beta}{n} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime}(\eta) \sinh u \sinh n u d u \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term on the right hand side is zero, since $f(\eta)$ is zero for values of $u$ greater than $u_{1}$. In a similar manner $\varphi_{R}$ can be integrated by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{R}=-\beta \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime}(\eta) \cosh n u \cosh u d u \\
& \varphi_{R}=-R \beta^{2} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}(\eta) \sinh ^{2} u \cosh n u d u \\
& +n \beta \int_{0}^{u_{1}} f^{\prime}(\eta) \sinh u \sinh n u d u
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily seen that substitution of the values ${ }^{\varphi}$ $, \varphi_{\mathrm{R}}, \varphi_{\mathrm{RR}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ into equation (A.1) satisfies this equation.

Similar solutions are obtained for the functions $\psi$ and $\chi$.
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