Barriers of self-care behaviors in diabetic patient

Azam Mahmoodi¹, Mashallah Valikhani² and Hajar Ghafarpour³

- 1- RN, MScN, Nursing department, Islamic Azad University, Dehaghan Branch
 - 2- Islamic Azad University, Dehaghan Branch
 - 3- Foolad Institute of Technology

Abstract: self-care is one of the most important factors in controlling diabetes. After educating the patient on how to control the disease, there is no team treatment care as effective and efficient as self and family care. However, sometimes self-care is not possible due to certain barriers which inhibit the patient's role in controlling the sickness. So this study aims to identify what the barriers are and how they are associated with diabetes control, the sample include 225 diabetic patients (Type II), selected randomly from the population. The collection instruments consist of demographic and self-care questionnaires the latter of which contains 34 questions on barriers of self-care behaviors scaling from low (1) to high (5). At the beginning of the study all cases are tested for HbA_{1c}. To investigate the relationship of the obtained results and the studied variables Spearman Correlation is used. The finding show many barriers to self-care behavior including high costs, high work engagement, depressive effect of the treatment, forgetfulness, unawareness of the self-care program, having no tendency to the program, unawareness of blood glucose test interpretation and disbelieving self-care results. Among these factors high care costs(r=0/24) and depression(r=0/21) are strongly associated with higher HbA1c levels. It is concluded that helping the patient in self-care is one of the most important factors in succeeding to manage diabetes. Therefore, in addition to educating patients on selfcare, the curing team should guide them on eliminating barriers and gaining better results. [Azam Mahmoodi, Mashallah Valikhani and Hajar Ghafarpour. Barriers of self-care behaviors in diabetic patient. Life Sci J 2013;10(6s):608-613] (ISSN:1097-8135). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 96

Key words: Diabetes, Self-care, HbA_{1c}

Introduction

Studies have indicated that self-care in diabetic patients leads to a better control of the blood glucose resulting in a better treatment (1-2). Despite of the very studies done on controlling diabetes many patients do not have enough control on their sickness (3-4). What causes this lack of control is the lack of selfcare behaviors. The high spread of death and disabilities related to diabetes, the high costs of treatments and consequently imposing individual and social loads call for an urgent need for aiding diabetic patients to solve this problem (5-6). Self-care behaviors, medical treatments or both of them are influential on controlling diabetes (7-10). Doctors believe that self-care behaviors are effective in controlling diabetes and educating and motivating patients improve such behaviors (12). Not controlling patients'blood glucose is a result of paucity of the available information on the behavioral problems of patients, the presence of barriers on the right care behaviorsand the relationship between selfcare behaviors and diabetes control (13-14). Factors contributing to the patients following treatments include personal ones such as the type of diabetes, time length of the illness, existence of other background diseases and socio-psychological factors, environmental effects containing the present barriers on following treatment diets, social sources and supports and economic factors. On the whole these factors are effective on four types of self-care treatments as medicine use, diet, exercise and blood glucose control. According to Glasgow's model on diabetes treatment, mental and physical health, and knowledge and information on diabetes are influential (15).

Improving the self-care behaviors is the first step in helping patients to control their disease and this emphasizes a better understanding of factors. Additionally, it calls for designing and empowering related intervening factors related to self-care behaviors and helps for a better treatment of disease and reducing related side effects (16).

The positive effects and the potential negative side should be evaluated. Unwary analysis of the advantages minus barriers might happen when people evaluate the effect of the treatment as being too expensive, dangerous, time-consuming and displaced and hence do the action or abstain from it (17).

With the expansion of the knowledge on diabetes many patients have come to an understanding of self-care. However for performing such self-care behaviors they may encounter some obstacles. The present study aims at investigating the berries on self-care behaviors, considering the role of such factors on the augmentation of HbA_{1C}, so that with their removal one can help diabetic patients in a better controlling of their diabetes.

Method

The population under investigation includes type II diabetics who referred to Isfahan Clinics of diabetes amongst whom the sample is selected simply randomly and investigated. To determine sample size according to previous studies (18) and applying Kookran formula with d=0.5 and α = 0.05, 225 is decided upon.

Inclusion criterion

People having approved diabetes for at least two years, being able to read and write or have a family member who can read and write and being completely agreed in participating the study are included in this study.

Exclusion criterion

Those being old and obsolete, not being able to comprehend questions, being unable to comprehend questions or unaware of time and place and disagree in participating this study are excluded from the study.

Data collection instruments consisted of a demographic questionnaire including age, sex,

education, time length of the disease, and the prescribed medicine; and a questionnaire investigating self-care behavior and their barriers for the diabetic patients, the latter containing 34 various questions on the activities performed by patients regarding self-care and their physical health conditions. All patients have filled an agreement form for the study.

To understand the process of disease control and relationship of self-care behavior with blood glucose control all participants had a test of $HbA_{\rm lc}$.

Validity of questions was approved by the specialists and for investigating the reliability α -Cronbach was calculated as 0.85. The questionnaire was previously applied by Daly(2009) for the same purpose and is now evaluated by some adaptions, approved by the specialists. To establish scientific validity all the tests on HbA_{1c} were carried out by a specialist in laboratory.

Finally, data was analyzed using descriptive and analytic statistics. Barriers to self-care behavior were measured using a 0-5 scale; 1 indicating a low significance and 5 a high one. To investigate the relationship between the outcome and variables Spearman correlation is used, and T-test is applied to probe the significance of the difference in HbA_{1c} in self-care parameters.

Results

Participants were 225 diabetics type II 57.7% of who were female and the rest were male. The average age of the participants was 52.3 ± 18.60 . The amount of Hb A_{1C} ranged from 5.2% to 13.8% and its average was 7.4% $\pm 2.14\%$. 45.7% of the sample used insulin and other edible medicines. The time length of the disease varied from 3 to 28 years and its average was 13.1 ± 7.6 years (table 1).

Variable	Class	Number (Percent)	HbA1c (mean±sd)	
Age	25-50	32 (14.2)	7/6±2.20	
	51-65	121 (53.8)	7/2±1.98	
	66-90	102 (32)	6.8±2.58	
Gender	Male	95 (57.7)	7.5±1.96	
	Female	130 (42.3)	7.4 ± 2.11	
Marital status	Married	165 (73.7)	6.9±3.01	
	Single	60 (26.3)	7.4 ± 2.88	
Time length of	5≥	105 (46.6)	6.6±3.13	
diabetes	5<	120 (53.4)	7.3±2.4	
Medicine used	insulin	103 (45.7)	6.8±2.74	
	Tablet	122 (54.3)	7.1±1.94	

Table 1.Demographic traits of the sample

Patients were investigated regarding the four categories of self-care behavior. 1. Conforming to medical prescriptions, 2. Conforming to diet, 3.Doing sport and exercise, 4.Doing blood glucose test. Factors barring each behavior in the participants were determined by the questionnaire and their relationship with Hb A_{1C} probed.

A high percentage of the patients (90.2%) followed the medical treatments (including insulin injection, edible medicine or both). Regarding diet, blood glucose test and exercises the proportions are 62%, 75% and 35% respectively. Patients following the medicinal treatments and diet had the least Hb A_{1C} (6.95%); however there was a stronger relationship between diet and Hb A_{1C} (Table 2).

Self-care behaviors	Conformity to self-care program	HbA _{1c} (mean ±sd)	Spearman correlation	p- value
Medical	%90.2	6.9±2.55	-0.28	0.001
treatment				
Diet	%62	6.5±2.04	-0.32	0.003
Blood glucose	%75	7.4±3.10	-0.16	0.005
control				
Exercise	%35	7.1±1.87	-0.07	0.02

Table 2. Relationship between self-care behavior and HbA_{1c}

In investigating the barriers to self-care behaviors 8 factors were derived from the 34 questions of the questionnaire that were the high care cost, work engagement, the depressive effect of the treatment, forgetfulness, unawareness of the self-care program, having no tendency to the program,

unawareness of blood glucose test interpretation and disbelieving in self-care results.

Then the relationship of each barrier to HbA_{1c} was determined. Results showed that first, high care costs and second, depression have the highest relationship with HbA_{1c} (table 3).

Barrier	Mean	R	p-
	HbA _{1c} (%)		value
The care high costs	7.8	0.24	0.005
Work engagement	8.5	0.16	0.045
Depression interference with treatment	9.2	0.21	0.015
Forgetfulness	8.5	0.12	0.006
unawareness of the self-care program	7.4	0.15	0.002
having no tendency to the program	9.6	0.12	0.035
unawareness of blood glucose test interpretation	7.6	0.7	0.001
disbelieving self-care results	8.5	0.18	0.015

Discussion

The role of self-care in controlling blood glucose and reduction of HbA_{1c} has been approved of (19-22) and the purpose of the present study is evaluating barriers on self-care programs and their role on failing to control blood glucose.

Participants of this study had diabetes on average for 13 years. The time length of diabetes has an effect on the amount of HbA_{Ic} (23). With an increase in the disease period the effects of the disease might show up (24) or getting more involved with the sickness and encountering more people having the same problem may cause disbelief in treatment and this leads to a weaker control of the sickness and an increase in HbA_{Ic} . In the present study, too, HbA_{Ic} had a significant relationship with the time length of the disease (p< 0.01). However getting acquainted with barriers and minimizing them is vital (25).

Succeeding self-care program depends on the behavior of the patient. Results of the study indicated that following diet and controlling the blood glucose are the behaviors that patients abstain. The main barriers are high costs and depression respectively. High costs of caring programs for those not affording them, whose number in not few,is an important factor in doing without the programs as some patients were grudging the high costs of a different diet, checkup, and blood glucose test. Depression from which many diabetics suffer (26) can be a result of high HbA_{1c} rather than a cause for it(26.27). In this study depression was an important barrier on self-care and had a significant relationship with the increase in HbA_{1c} hence

treating depression can eliminate an important barrier. A significant relationship existed between diet and controlling blood glucose with a decrease in HbA_{1c} . Therefor removing obstacles of this field can lead to a better control of blood glucose.

Controlling diabetes calls for a knowledge and information on the treatment state and lack of such knowledge may reduce self-confidence in controlling the disease. Bodenheimer (2002) demonstrated in his study that accompanying patient's care with instructing self-care has an important aspect in the relationship of patient-doctor and nurse and is one of the first factors in planning patient's care (28).

Additionally self-belief on high ability of the patient has a more significant effect on controlling blood glucose than the other's effects. If the view point of the patient to the disease as an incurable and dangerous disease changes he/she has taken an important step in controlling the disease as the role of self-efficiency in controlling diabetes has demonstrated (29).

Conclusion

Results of the study have shown that there are barriers on performing self-care diabeticswhich have relationship with the level of HbA_{1c}. It is essential to reduce such barriers and improve self-care behaviors. Hence, investigating the effective factors on the increase of patient's conformity to caring program can lead to achieving important steps in blood glucose control. One of the most important obstacles is the high cost of and caring. Observers and treatment therapeutic team can help patients on the caring program, giving service by the related units, programming more efficiently, economizing, contrivingfor depression reduction and giving positive feedback to the patients for increasing their self-confidence.

Reference

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National diabetes fact sheet, United States. 2003 Available at: [www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/pdf/ndfs_2003.p df]. Accessed June 1, 2006.
- 2. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, Nathan DM; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin.N Engle J Med. 2002; 346:393–403.
- 3. Saydah SH, Fradkin J, Cowie CC. Poor control of risk factors for vascular disease among adults with previously diagnosed diabetes. JAMA.2004; 291:335–42.
- 4. Maizlish NA, Shaw B, Hendry K. Glycemic control in diabetic patients served by community health centers. Am J Med Qual. 2004; 19:172–9.
- 5. Hertz RP, Unger AN, Lustik MB. Adherence with pharmacotherapy for type 2 diabetes: a retrospective cohort study of adults with employer-sponsored health insurance. ClinTher. 2005; 27:1064–73.
- 6. Aghamolaei T, Eftkhar H, Mohammad K, Nakhjavani M, Shojaeizadeh D, Ghofranipour F, Safa O. Effects of health education program on behavior, HbA1c and health-related quality of life in diabetic patients. ActaMedicaIranica J. 2004; 43:89–94.
- 7. Rhee MK, Slocum W, Ziemer DC, et al. Patient adherence improves glycemic control. Diabetes Educ 2005; 31: 240–50.
- 8. Schwedes U, Siebolds M, Mertes G; SMBG Study Group. Meal-related structured self-monitoring of blood glucose: effect on diabetes control in noninsulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care 2002; 25: 1928–32.
- 9. Hiss RG. Barriers to care in noninsulindependent diabetes mellitus. The Michigan experience. Ann Intern Med 1996; 124(1 Pt 2): 146–8.
- 10. Spann SJ, Nutting PA, Galliher JM, et al. Management of type 2 diabetes in the primary

- care setting: a practice-based research network study. Ann Fam Med 2006; 4: 23–31.
- 11. Parchman ML, Romero RL, Pugh JA. Encounters by patients with type 2 diabetes—complex and demanding: an observational study. Ann Fam Med 2006; 4: 40–5.
- 12. Ziemer DC, Miller CD, Rhee MK, et al. Clinical inertia contributes to poor diabetes control in a primary care setting. Diabetes Educ 2005; 31: 564–71.
- 13. Dalewitz J, Khan N, Hershey CO. Barriers to control of blood glucose in diabetes mellitus. Am J Med Qual 2000; 15: 16–25.
- 14. Jerant A, von Friederichs-Fitzwater MM, Moore M. Patients' perceived barriers to active self-management of chronic conditions. Patient EducCouns 2005; 57: 300–7.
- 15. Glasgow R. Social-environmental factors in diabetes: barriers to diabetes self-care. In: Bradley C, ed. Handbook of psychology and diabetes. Chur Switzerland: Harwood Academics Publishing; 1994: 335–49.
- 16. Nadkarni A. Implementation plans and self-monitoring of blood glucose in diabetics. [Dissertation] Michigan University: 2009.
- 17. Morovati SH M, Roohani N. The Relationship between Perceived Benefits/Barriers of Self-care Behaviors and Self-Management in Diabetic Patients. Journal of Faculty of Nursing and MidwiferyTehran University of Medical Sciences.2007; 13(1):17-27
- 18. Jeanette Daly, Arthur J. Hartz, YinghuiXu, Barcey T. Levy, Paul A. James, Mary L. Merchant, and Robert E. Garrett, AnAssessment of Attitudes, Behaviors, and Outcomes of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Jabfm 2009; 22 (3): 280-290
- 19. Nagelkerk J, Reick K, Meengs L. Perceived barriers and effective strategies to diabetes self-management. J AdvNurs 2006; 54: 151–8.
- 20. Vijan S, Stuart NS, Fitzgerald JT, et al. Barriers to following dietary recommendations in Type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Med 2005; 22: 32–8.
- 21. Chlebowy DO, Garvin BJ. Social support, self-efficacy, and outcome expectations: impact on self-care behaviors and glycemic control in Caucasian and African American adults with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Educ 2006; 32: 777–86.
- 22. Aikens JE, Bingham R, Piette JD. Patient-provider communication and self-care

behavior among type 2 diabetes patients. Diabetes Educ. 2005; 31: 681–90.

- 23. Mahmoodi A, Effects of self care planning on reduction of A1C hemoglobin in adults with diabetes mellitus. Medical Science Journal of Islamic Azad University Tehran Medical Branch.2006;(3):171-181.(Persian)
- 24. Mahmoodi A, Effects of self- care on foot healing of diabetic patients. Journal of Shahrekord University of Medical

Sciences. 2005;7(3):61-68.(Persian)

- 25. Whittemore R, Chase SK, Mandle CL, Roy C. Lifestyle change in type 2 diabetes a process model. Nurs Res 2002; 51: 18–25.
- 26. Mahmoodi A, Sharifi A, Comparison Frequency And Factors Associated With Depression In Diabetics And Non Diabetics Patients. Journal of Urmia Nursing And Midwifery

Faculty.2008;6(2):87-94.(Persian)

- 27. Kaholokula JK, Haynes SN, Grandinetti A, Chang HK. Biological, psychosocial, and sociodemographic variables
- associated with depressive symptoms in persons with type 2 diabetes. J Behav Med 2003; 26: 435–58.
- 28. Bodenheimer T, Lorig K, Holman H, Grumbach K. Patient self-management of chronic disease in primary care. JAMA 2002; 288: 2469–75.
- 29. Mahmoodi A, Alavi M, Self-control: an important educational need in diabetic patients' mental health, Iranian Journal of Nursing Research. 2010;5(19):68-75.(Persian) 30. Didarloo A, et al. Prediction of Self-Management Behavior among Iranian Women with Type 2 Diabetes: Application of the Theory of Reasoned Action along with Self-Efficacy (ETRA). Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2012 February; 14(2): 86–95.