Determining the Factors Affecting of the Performance Assessment of District Health Network (By Exploratory Factor Analysis)

Bahram Tahmasby ¹, Ahmad Barati Marnani ², Mohammadreza Maleki ³, Sodabe Vatankhah ⁴, Ezzatollah Mohammadi ⁵

¹ School of Health Management and Information Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IRAN.

Abstract: Nowadays, with the amazing advances in the management knowledge, existence of organization's performance assessment system is inevitable. Health System Performance Assessment helps to decision-makers and Policymakers to be ensure responsiveness of their decisions. History of Health System Performance Assessment returns to decade before 2000, but after World Health Organization Report (2000), the issue of Health System Performance Assessment has officially entered in the health literature. In this study, using related key words and related electronic and library resources from 1998 to 2012 have been investigated in both Persian and English language. Initially, the most common and most important of international models of performance assessment in the health has been studied and compared and About 150 criteria and 450 indicators that are involved in the performance assessment of the health system have been extracted. Then by using the survey form of the experts and professionals, 49 criteria related to the performance assessment of the district health network is extracted. Then by using the questionnaire the amount of effect of each criterion on the performance assessment of the district health network has been measured. By performing exploratory factor analysis on the resulting data, five main components have been discovered. In total, these five factors have explained about 65% of the variances. The first main component that has been named *Characteristics of Service Deliverv* has the largest share (16%) and the fifth main component that has been named Primary Health Care has the lowest share (10%) of the performance assessment of the district health network. Because any assessment model is not complete and perfect, to correction of the defects by the constructive criticism of experts and professionals, designed models should be published both before and after applying. It is recommended that the designed model in this study be applied practically to performance assessment of the district health networks, and then the policymakers and decision-makers should be informed of the results of the practice to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the model and to give recommendations for modification of the model.

[Bahram Tahmasby, Ahmad Barati Marnani, Mohammadreza Maleki, Sodabe Vatankhah, Ezzatollah Mohammadi. **Determining the Factors Affecting of the Performance Assessment of District Health Network (By Exploratory Factor Analysis).** *Life Sci J* 2013;10(6s):426-432] (ISSN:1097-8135). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 64

Key words: health system, assessment, factor analysis, district health network.

Introduction

Nowadays, with the amazing advances in the management knowledge, existence of organization's performance assessment system is inevitable. Any organization for awareness of the level of quality and desirability of their activities, especially in complex and dynamic environments, needs assessment system. Studies indicate that the lack of assessment systems possible reforms necessary to growth, development and improvement of the organization's activities are impossible and are lead to inefficiency and ultimately death of organizations. (Maleki MR, Nasrollahpour D & et al 2011) Performance is the main issue in the all

organizational analysis and attention to organizational performance leads to the development of organizational theory. Assessment and measurement of performance lead to organizational intelligence and individuals' motivation to desirable behavior and is the main part of the development and implementation of organizational policies. Performance measurement is one of the main processes of the management to its performance analysis, review of adaptation between performance and objectives of organization and true decision making in the future actions. (Hajijabbari A, Sarabadani M 2008) In the recent years many countries have improved health and treatment status

² School of Health Management and Information Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IRAN (Corresponding Author)

³ School of Health Management and Information Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IRAN.

⁴ School of Health Management and Information Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IRAN.
⁵ Science and Research Branch of Islamic Azad University of Golestan, Gorgan, IRAN.

²baratimarnani@Gmail.com

especially in the infectious diseases that is reflected in the indicators such as life expectancy at birth, disability-adjusted life expectancy and health related mortality reduction. (WHO 2011) (Nolte E, McKee M 2003) The improvements is associated with continuing some concerns such as increase of chronic diseases prevalence, inequalities in access to health services, inequalities in the health outcomes within and between countries, Variation in the quality of care and safety, mismatch between human resources and health needs and Mismatch between rising health care costs and changes in public expectations. (WHO 2011) The recent economic and financial crisis intensified concerns about the performance of the health system and continuity of health system financing. (OECD 2010) Particularly the crisis has shown well the importance of function and prominent role of the government in maintaining of social solidarity to improve the people health. (WHO 2009)

Health system consists of several components that the components have specific functions and health system performance shows function of the components in fact. Service delivery systems are the most important component of the health systems. One of the most important service delivery systems in the Islamic Republic of Iran is district health network. The network is executive and monitoring unit of the health system in the district. The network with having a set of executive units such as urban and rural health network, rural health home, urban health site and general hospital, is responsible to providing of first and second levels of health service and establishment of family physician program in the its district. (WHO 2006) History of Health System Performance Assessment returns to decade before 2000, but after World Health Organization Report (2000), the issue of Health System Performance Assessment has officially entered in the health literature. Up to now various models with various approaches and objectives have been proposed to performance assessment of health system. The most famous performance assessment model is the World Health Organization model that has been presented in the World Health Organization report 2000. The rest of models are modified version of the World Health Organization model. (Christopher J.L. Murray; David B. Evans 2003) Because a reliable model to assessment of performance of the district health network is not provided up to now, in this study we have been attempt to provide a comprehensive model for performance assessment of the district health network with using Exploratory Factor Analysis method.

Methodology

This study is a descriptive and analytical research which is composed of 4 steps.

Step1: in the step with library and database study, the criteria and indicators of effective on health system have been identified. The library study includes review of related reference books, journals and dissertation. In the database study, the key words include: operation, function, performance, evaluation, assessment, appraisal, model, framework and health system are used single or combined form. Database consists PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, Elsevier, MEDLIB, Ovid, IranDoc, IranMedex, Magiran. To study of models, the most important and the most common international models that are cited by countries and the international communities, have been used.

Step2: in this step using a questionnaire, of respondents have been requested that score from 0 to 100 the impact of the each criteria, which extracted in the previous step, on the performance of the district health network. In this step, the purposive sampling has been used from health related managers and experts in order of importance. The sample size is 5 to 10 times the number of questionnaire variables. The reliability of the questionnaire has been approved with Cronbach's alpha statistics.

Step3: In this step the data collected in the previous step has been analyzed using SPSS software. The *exploratory factor analysis* method has been used to determine the main factors affecting on the performance of the district health network.

Step4: in this step for each main component that was explored in the previous step an appropriate name has been selected.

Findings

Step1: in this step, by search in the related references and databases, about 150 criteria for assessment of the health systems performance are identified and extracted. Since the criteria that identified have been related to the entire health system, Using researcher's experiences and consultation with experts, 49 criteria related to performance of the district health network have been selected. In this step, the statistic of *Content Validity Ratio* has been used.

Strep2: in this step using a questionnaire, of respondents have been requested that score from 0 to 100 the impact of the each criteria, which extracted in the previous step, on the performance of the district health network. Numbers of 700 questionnaires have been distributed among experts, administrators, and professionals related to district health network. The purposive sampling has been used from health related experts, administrators, and professionals in order of importance and number of 464 completed questionnaires were collected at the end. (table1)

Step3: in this step the *Exploratory Factor Analysis* has been done on the data that collected from 464 questionnaires, by SPSS software. The Sampling adequacy has been approved using *Kaiser-Meyer-Oklin* (KMO) statistics and appropriateness of data structure (sphericity) has been approved using Bartlett's test. (Table2)

By doing *Exploratory Factor Analysis*, five main components that explain about 65% of the variance has been discovered. The first main component, with 11 criteria, explains about 16% of variances. The second main component, with 10 criteria, explains about 14% of variances. The third main component, with 10 criteria, explains about 14% of variances. The fourth main component, with 11 criteria, explains about 11% of variances. The fifth main component, with 7 criteria, explains about 10% of variances. (Tables3&4)

Step4: In this step, initially based on researcher's experience and search in the related references, the names that had the most relevant have been identified and to final selection the opinions of related experts and professionals have been used. The first main component with 65% of votes has been named "Characteristics of Service Delivery". The second main component with 80% of votes has been named "Supportive services". The third main component with 63% of votes has been named "Integrated services". The fourth main component with 58% of votes has been named "New attention services". The fifth main component with 87% of votes has been named "primary health care". (table5).

Discussion and conclusion

In this study, by search in the related references and databases, about 150 criteria for assessment of the health systems performance are identified and extracted. Since the

District health network is the one of the components of the health system, It was necessary that the criteria related to performance of the district health network is isolated from the rest. For this purpose, a questionnaire is designed and experts and professionals are requested that state their opinion about relationship between these criteria and the performance of the district health network. The 49 criteria have been isolated from 150 criteria by using statistic of Content Validity Ratio. The Content Validity Ratio indicates the content validity of questionnaire. The Content Validity Ratio above 62% has been accepted. (Wilson, F.R., Pan, W., & Schumsky, D.A 2012) Cronbach's alpha for the reliability of the questionnaire has been used in the step2. In this study, the Cronbach's alpha is obtained 0.978. Given that Cronbach's alpha above 0.7 is acceptable, so the questionnaire have high reliability.

The Kaiser-Meyer-Oklin (KMO) statistics for the Sampling adequacy has been used in the step3. The KMO statistics is obtained 0.968. Given that the KMO statistics above 0.75 is acceptable, so the number of samples is very good. The Bartlett's test has been used to appropriateness or sphericity of data structure. Given that the Sig=000, so the structure of the data is appropriate. (Momeny, Mansoor; Faal G, Ali 2009) The five main components and the amount of effect of 49 selected criteria on the performance of the district health network are determined. (Anna B. Costello; Jason W. Osborne 2005) Factor analysis is a statistical method to analyze of tables or matrices of correlation coefficients. (Wunesch, Karl L 2006) In addition to suggestion or exploration, the factor analysis can be used to construct of the model, hypothesis and structure. (Wunesch, Karl L 2006) (Zandhesami H; Rajabzadeh A; Toloie A, 2010) The Cumulative Sums of Squared Loadings is obtained 65%. Given that Cumulative Sums of Squared Loadings above 60% is acceptable, so the determination of these five main components is appropriate. (Leandre R. Fabrigar; Duane T. Wegener 2011) In total, these five factors have explained about 65% of the variances. The first main component that has been named Characteristics of Service Delivery has the largest share (16%) and the fifth main component that has been named Primary Health Care has the lowest share (10%) of the performance assessment of the district health network. This finding shows that Primary Health Care is less important and Characteristics of Service Delivery is most important in the assessment of district health network. Therefore, it is necessary that the health sector policy makers to focus more on the criteria related to Characteristics of Service Delivery.

The assessment of the health system performance should be just and reasonable at the beginning, and then the appropriate indicators should be made or developed gradually and be adapted with information system. Although assessment of the health system is desirable potentially but that is only useful when the assessment fills gaps among the overall level measuring, individual functions and interventions that will lead to changes. (WHO 2001) It should be considered that the assessment of performance is a dynamic, developmental and bilateral process. (Chang 2007) Many of the actions, especially in the public health, Affect the results in long-term, so the assessment methodology should reflect it. (Julio Frenk b, Christopher JL Murray 2003) Many researchers believe that the criteria of assessment should be derived from strategies as much as possible, so it is necessary that the executive units have clear objectives and appropriate programs for their activities.

Because any assessment model is not complete and perfect, to correction of the defects by the constructive criticism of experts and professionals, designed models should be published both before and after applying. It is recommended that the designed model in this study be applied practically to performance assessment of the district health network and the policymakers and decision-makers should be

informed of the results of the practice to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the model and to give recommendations for modification of model.

Acknowledgement:

This study was part of a PhD dissertation supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Grant No.: TUMS/SHMIS-1391/646)

Table 1: Frequencies of respondents

ge	gender Education		Job title			Experience				
Male	Female	Bachelor	Masters	M.D	Manager	Expert	Chief expert	Low	Medium	High
220	244	319 44 101		72	256	256 136		225	84	
Tot	Total=464 Total=464		Total=464			Total=464				

Table 2: Statistics of Reliability, Sampling Adequacy and Sphericity

Reliability Sta	tistics	Sampling Adequacy	Bartlett's Test of Sphericity			
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items	KMO (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin)	Chi-Square	df	Sig.	
.978	49	.968	19337.882	1176	.000	

Table 3: Total Variance Explained

				Extraction Sums of Squared			Rotation Sums of Squared		
	Initial Eigenvalues			Loadings			Loadings		
		% of	Cumulative		% of	Cumulative		% of	Cumulative
Component	Total	Variance	%	Total	Variance	%	Total	Variance	%
1	23.894	48.763	48.763	23.894	48.763	48.763	7.876	16.073	16.073
2	2.950	6.021	54.784	2.950	6.021	54.784	6.903	14.088	30.161
3	1.995	4.071	58.856	1.995	4.071	58.856	6.768	13.812	43.973
4	1.747	3.565		1.747	3.565				
5	1.317	2.687	65.107	1.317	2.687	65.107	4.907	10.014	65.107

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

 Table 4: Rotated Component Matrix^a (sorted)

		Component Matrix*(sorted) Component						
	Criteria(Sub-Component)	1 2 3 4 5						
Q46	Efficiency	.758	.311	.239	.205	.154		
Q45	Effectiveness	.734	.349	.236	.221	.190		
Q48	Service Tolerance	.733	.289	.295	.190	.094		
Q42	Acceptance of Services	.711	.229	.211	.228	.175		
Q44	Continuity of Service	.704	.220	.205	.323	.244		
Q40	Quality Management	.665	.217	.098	.284	.287		
Q43	Service Appropriate	.658	.215	.217	.283	.298		
Q47	Responsiveness	.653	.353	.233	.204	.126		
Q41	Physical Availability	.651	.220	.212	.186	.254		
Q49	Safety Management	.646	.280	.294	.194	.094		
Q37	Outcome	.519	.198	.243	.453	.247		
Q20	Human resource planning	.300	.781	.151	.203	.105		
Q21	Cost Management	.237	.755	.180	.252	.158		
Q19	Allocating of Founds	.234	.745	.262	.127	.115		
Q22	Human Resource Assessment	.356	.695	.208	.286	.156		
Q24	Equipment Management	.252	.643	.153	.345	.212		
Q23	Human Resource Education	.380	.605	.215	.296	.150		
Q18	Collecting of Founds	.243	.580	.346	.155	.067		
Q25	Drug Management	.224	.569	.173	.392	.252		
Q15	Planning	.313	.506	.233	.222	.351		
Q16	Assessment System	.332	.495	.208	.201	.334		
Q13	Psychiatry Health	.197	.213	.742	.237	.047		
Q9	Senile Health	.193	.259	.706	.034	.297		
Q2	Lifestyle Changes	.278	.078	.703	.152	.253		
Q11	Dental Health	.154	.233	.697	.177	.141		
Q3	work style Changes	.217	.039	.686	.174	.240		
Q8	Middle-aged Health	.181	.330	.678	.072	.330		
Q12	Chronic Disease	.225	.219	.668	.272	.156		
Q7	Young Health	.211	.279	.634	.071	.417		
Q1	Behavior Change	.236	.131	.602	.233	.386		
Q14	Specific Disease	.123	.166	.597	.358	.065		
Q35	Research System	.211	.258	.336	.640	065		
Q30	Family physician	.241	.240	.202	.625	.264		
Q38	Electronic Government	.437	.157	.123	.593	.170		
Q27	Community Participation	.237	.390	.295	.566	.230		
Q36	Outsourcing	.327	.255	.371	.552	004		
Q34	Health Education	.321	.262	.154	.529	.275		
Q26	Information System	.288	.397	.136	.526	.361		
Q39	processes Improvement	.424	.314	.238	.521	.116		
Q31	Human Resource Status	.295	.458	.219	.485	.251		
Q33	Hospital Coordination	.270	.340	.365	.474	.115		
Q28	Satisfaction	.385	.370	.214	.436	.084		
Q6	Children Health	.174	.192	.310	.081	.763		
Q4	Mortality	.145	.065	.345	.099	.741		
Q10	Mother health	.173	.150	.210	.050	.715		
Q5	Birth Condition	.140	.145	.272	.148	.689		
Q29	Vaccination	.286	.153	.001	.318	.593		
Q32	Primary Care	.308	.392	.170	.424	.425		
Q17	Acute Disease	.358	.300	.302	.151	.424		

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 9 iterations.

Table 5: Main component, Sub-component & Factor loading

	Main component	nt, Sub-component & Factor loading Sub-component	Factor Loading
		Efficiency	.758
		Effectiveness	.734
		Service Tolerance	.733
		Acceptance of Services	.711
		Continuity of Service	.704
	Characteristics of Service	Quality Management	.665
	delivery	Service Appropriate	.658
		Responsiveness	.653
		Physical Availability	.651
		Safety Management	.646
		Outcome	.519
		Human resource planning	.781
		Cost Management	.755
		Allocating of Founds	.745
		Human Resource Assessment	.695
	Ci	Equipment Management	.643
	Supportive services	Human Resource Education	.605
		Collecting of Founds	.580
		Drug Management	.569
		Planning	.506
		Assessment System	.495
		Psychiatry Health	.742
		Senile Health	.706
		Lifestyle Changes	.703
Performance		Dental Health	.697
	Integrated services	work style Changes	.686
	integrated services	Middle-aged Health	.678
		Chronic Disease	.668
		Young Health	.634
		Behavior Change	.602
		Specific Disease	.597
		Research System	.640
		Family physician	.625
		Electronic Government	.593
		Community Participation	.566
		Outsourcing	.552
	New attention services	Health Education	.529
		Information System	.526
		processes Improvement	.521
		Human Resource Status	.485
		Hospital Coordination	.474
		Satisfaction	.436
		Children Health	.763
		Mortality	.741
		Maternity health	.715
	Primary Health Care	Birth Condition	.689
		Vaccination	.593
		Primary Care	.425
		Acute Disease	.424

References

- Maleki MR, Nasrollahpour D & et al. Comprehensive models of performance assessment and organizational excellence. Babol-Iran: Babol University of Medical Science, 2011.
- 2. Hajijabbari A, Sarabadani M. Practical guide to performance assessment of the executive organization. Tehran: Industrial Research & Training Center of Iran, 2008.
- 3. WHO. The European Health Report 2009. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2011.
- 4. Measuring the health of nations: Analysis of mortality amenable to health care. Nolte E, McKee M. s.l.: health care. BMJ 2003, 327: 1129, 2003, BMJ, p. 327: 1129.
- 5. OECD. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development: Value for Money in Health Spending. Paris: s.n., 2010.
- WHO. Health in times of global economic crisis: Implications for the WHO European Region. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2009.
- 7. Health Systems Profile- Islamic Republic of Iran. Geneva: Regional Health Systems Observatory-EMRO, 2006.
- 8. Christopher J.L. Murray; David B. Evans. Health Systems Performance Assessment: Debates, Methods and Empiricism. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2003.
- Recalculation of the critical values for Lawshe's content validity ratio. Wilson, F.R., Pan, W., & Schumsky, D.A. 3, s.l.: Measurement and

- Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 2012, Vol. 45.
- 10. Momeny, Mansoor; Faal G, Ali. Statistical analysis with SPSS. Tehran: Ketabe noo, 2009.
- 11. Best Practices in Exploratory Factor Analysis: Four Recommendations for Getting the Most From Your Analysis. Anna B. Costello; Jason W. Osborne. 7, s.l.: Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation; North Carolina State University, 2005, Vol. 10.
- 12. Wunesch, Karl L. Factor analysis. Carolina: EastCarolina University, Department of, 2006.
- 13. Factors Affecting Supply Chain Agility. Hesam Zandhesami;Ali Rajabzadeh;Abbas Toloie. s.l.: IRANIAN JOURNAL OF TRADE STUDIES, 2010, Vol. 51.
- 14. Leandre R. Fabrigar; Duane T. Wegener. Exploratory Factor Analysis. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- 15. WHO. Europian regional consultation on the world health report 2000. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europ, 2001.
- 16. Chang, Li-cheng. The NHS performance assessment framework as a balanced scorecard approach: limitations and implications. Hampshire: University of Southampton, 2007.
- 17. WHO assessment of health systems performance. Julio Frenk b, Christopher JL Murray. 9375, London: Elsevier, 2003, The Lancet, Vol. 361, p. 2155.

3/25/2013