# Some aspect of Analytic Functions Based on Salagean and Ruscheweyh Differential Operators 

Shahram Banaei ${ }^{1}$; Nader Rafati Maleki ${ }^{2}$; Karim farajeyan ${ }^{3}$

${ }^{1,3}$ Department of Mathematics, Bonab Branch, Islamic Azad University ,Bonab ,Iran
${ }^{2}$ Department of Mathematics, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran
Sh_Banaei@yahoo.com, N.rafatimaleki@yahoo.com, karim_faraj@yahoo.com


#### Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce a new subclass of analytic univalent functions with negative coefficients involving the Salagean and Ruscheweyh differential operators. The various results investigated in this paper include coefficient bounds, extreme points, radii of streakiness, convexity and close to convexity. [Shahram B, Nader R, Karim f. Some aspect of Analytic Functions Based on Salagean and Ruscheweyh Differential Operators. Life Sci J 2013;10(5s):298-301] (ISSN:1097-8135). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 54
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## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let $A$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are holomorphic in $\Delta=\{z \in C:|z|<1\}$. We denote by $N$ the subclass of $A$ consisting of functions $f(z) \in A$ which are holomorphic univalent in
$\Delta$ and are of the form $\quad f(z)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0$.
For more information about univalent analytic functions see [1] and [6].
Definition 1. Let $n \in N \cup\{0\}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Let $\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f$ denote the operator defined by $\Omega_{\lambda}^{n}: N \rightarrow N$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)=(1-\lambda) S^{n} f(z)+\lambda R^{n} f(z), \quad z \in \Delta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{n} f$ is the Salagean differential operator [5] and $R^{n} f$ is the Ruscheweyh differential operator [4].
For $f(z) \in N$ given by (1.2) we get
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{n} f(z)=z-\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{n} f(z)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_{k}(n) a_{k} z^{k}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}(n)=\binom{k+n-1}{n}=\frac{(n+1)(n+2) \ldots(n+k-1)}{(k-1)!} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further by replacing (1.4) and (1.5) in (1.3) we conclude
$\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[K^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right] a_{k} z^{k}$.
It is observed that for $n=0$,
$\Omega_{\lambda}^{0} f(z)=(1-\lambda) S^{0} f(z)+\lambda R^{0} f(z)=f(z)=S^{0} f(z)=R^{0} f(z)$. Definition 2. A function $f(z) \in N$ is said to belong
to the class $\Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\alpha z\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+z^{2}\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\alpha z\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+(1-\alpha) \Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}\right\}>\beta \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $0 \leq \beta<1,0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \alpha>\beta$.

In recent years, many authors (e.g. [2,3]) have investigated certain subclasses of $N$.

## 2 On Main Results

We begin by proving a necessary and sufficient condition for a function belonging to the class $\Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$.
Theorem 1 : A function $f(z)$ given by (1.2) is in the class $\Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[k(k-\alpha \beta-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)] k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right] a_{k} \leq \alpha \beta \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k}(n)$ defined by (1.6). The result is best possible for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(Z)=z-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\left[2(2-\alpha \beta-(1-\alpha)(2+\beta)] R^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{2}(n)\right]} z^{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof : By making use of (1.7) in (1.8) we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(\alpha+k-1)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{n}(n)\right] a_{k} z^{k} \\
z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(\alpha k+1-\alpha)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{n}(n)\right] a_{k} z^{k}
\end{array}\right\}>\beta .
$$

By choosing the values of $z$ on the real axis and the $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$through real values, we get

$$
\left.(k-\beta)-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(\alpha+k-1)-\beta(d k+1-\alpha)\right] k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{n}(n) \xi_{k} \geq 0,
$$

Or

$$
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[k(k-\alpha \beta-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)] k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{n}(n)\right] \leq \alpha-\beta .
$$

Conversely, suppose that (2.1) holds true. We will show that (1.80 is satisfied and so $f(z) \in \Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$. Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re} \omega>\beta$ if and only if $|\omega-(1+\beta)|<|\omega+(1-\beta)|$, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.L=\frac{\alpha z\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+z^{2}\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\alpha z\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+(1-\alpha) \Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}-1-\beta \right\rvert\, \\
& <\left|\frac{\alpha z\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+z^{2}\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\alpha z\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+(1-\alpha) \Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}-1-\beta\right|=R .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\omega=\alpha z\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+(1-\alpha) \Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{|\omega|}\left[\alpha z\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+z^{2}\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}-(1+\beta) \omega\right] . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (1.7) and replacing $\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}$ and $\left[\Omega_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}$ in (2.3) we conclude

$$
\begin{gathered}
L<\frac{|z|}{|\omega|}\left[(\alpha-\beta-1)-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(\alpha+k-1)-(1+\beta)(d k-\alpha+1)\right] k^{n}(1-\lambda)+ \\
\left.\left.\lambda B_{n}(n)\right] a_{k}|z|^{k-1}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

After same calculation on $R$, when $z \in \partial \Delta$, it is easy to verify that $R-L>0$ if (2.1) holds and so the proof is complete.
We next find the extreme points of $\Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$.
Theorem 2 : Let $f(z)=z$ and

$$
f_{k}(z)=z-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{k\left(k-\alpha \beta-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right]\right.} z^{k} \cdot k=23, . .
$$

then $f(z) \in \Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed
where $t_{k} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{k}=1 . \quad f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{k} f_{k}(z)$,
Proof : Let $f(z)$ be expressed as in the above form. This means we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =t_{1} f_{1}(z) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{k} f_{k}(z) \\
& =t_{1} z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{k} z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\left[k(k-\alpha \beta)-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right]\right.} z^{k} \\
& =z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_{k} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\left[k(k-\alpha \beta)-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right]\right.}$. Since
$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[k\left(k-\alpha \beta-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right]\right.\right.}{\alpha-\beta} d_{k}=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{k}=1-t_{1}<1$,
so by Theorem 2.1 we conclude that $f(z) \in \Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$. Conversely, suppose that $f(z) \in \Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$.By letting

$$
t_{k}=\frac{\left[k(k-\alpha \beta)-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right]\right.}{\alpha-\beta} a_{k}
$$

And $t_{1}=1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{k}$, we conclude the required result.

## 3 Radius Properties

In the last section we obtain the radii of starlikeness, convexity and close to convexity.
Theorem 3 : Let $f(z) \Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is starlike of order $\sigma(0 \leq \sigma<1)$ in $|z|<R_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}=\inf _{k}\left\{\frac{\left[k \left(k-\alpha \beta \beta-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right](-\sigma)\right.\right.}{(\alpha-\beta)(k-\sigma)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof : For $0 \leq \sigma<1$, we need to show that $\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|<1-\sigma$. In other words, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|=\left|\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-1) a_{k} z^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-1}}\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-1) a_{k}|z|^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k}|z|^{k-1}}\right|<1-\sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Or $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right) a_{k}|z|^{k-1}<1$. By using (2.1) it is easy to see that above inequality holds if

$$
|z|^{k-1}<\frac{\left[k \left(k-\alpha \beta-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right](1-\sigma)\right.\right.}{(\alpha-\beta)(k-\sigma)} .
$$

and this complete the proof.
Since $f(z)$ is convex if and only if $z f^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 4 : Let $f(z) \Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is close to convex of order $\sigma(0 \leq \sigma<1)$ in $|z|<R_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}=\inf _{k}\left\{\frac{\left[k(k-\alpha \beta)-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right](1-\sigma)\right.}{k(\alpha-\beta)(k-\sigma)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5 : Let $f(z) \Psi_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is close to convex of order $\sigma(0 \leq \sigma<1)$ in $|z|<R_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{3}=\inf _{k}\left\{\frac{\left[k(k-\alpha \beta)-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right](1-\sigma)\right.}{k(\alpha-\beta)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We must show that $\left|f^{\prime}(z)-1\right| \leq 1-\sigma$ for $|z|<R_{3}$ we have $R_{3}$ is given by (3.3). Now

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|=\left|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_{k} z^{k-1}\right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_{k}|z|^{k-1}
$$

Thus $\left|f^{\prime}(z)-1\right| \leq 1-\sigma$ if $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k a_{k}}{1-\sigma}|z|^{k-1}<1$. But, by Theorem 2.1, above inequality holds true if

$$
|z|^{k-1}<\frac{\left[k(k-\alpha \beta)-(1-\alpha)(k+\beta)\left[k^{n}(1-\lambda)+\lambda B_{k}(n)\right](1-\sigma)\right.}{k(\alpha-\beta)},
$$

and this gives the required result.
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