

On a class of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative

S. N. Malik¹, M. Arif², K. I. Noor³ and M. Raza¹

¹Department of Mathematics, GC University Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan

²Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, KPK, Pakistan

³Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology Islamabad, Pakistan

snmalik110@yahoo.com (S. N. Malik), marifmaths@hotmail.com (M. Arif),

khalidanoor@hotmail.com (K. I. Noor), mohsan976@yahoo.com (M. Raza)

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to introduce a class of analytic functions defined by using generalized Janowski functions and Ruscheweyh derivative. The coefficient bound, inclusion result and a radius problem has been discussed in this paper. Several known results have been deduced from our main results as special cases by assigning particular values to the different parameters.

[Malik SN, Arif M, Noor KI and Raza M. **On a class of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative.**

Life Sci J 2012;9(4):3829-3835] (ISSN:1097-8135). <http://www.lifesciencesite.com>. 570

Key words: Analytic functions, Janowski functions, Ruscheweyh derivative, bounded boundary rotation, bounded radius rotation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C10.

1. Introduction

Let A be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. If f and g are analytic in E , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ in E such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$.

Let $P[A, B]$ be the class of functions h , analytic in E with $h(0) = 1$ and

$$h(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1.$$

This class was introduced by Janowski [1]. The class $P[A, B]$ is connected with the class P of functions with positive real parts by the relation

$$h \in P[A, B] \Leftrightarrow \frac{(B-1)h - (A-1)}{(B+1)h - (A+1)} \in P. \quad (1.2)$$

Later Polatoğlu [2] defined the class $P[A, B, \alpha]$ as:

Let $P[A, B, \alpha]$ be the class of functions p_1 , analytic in E with $p_1(0) = 1$ and

$$p_1(z) \prec \frac{1 + \{(1-\alpha)A + \alpha B\}z}{1 + Bz}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

From (1.3), it can easily be seen that, $p_1 \in P[A, B, \alpha]$, if and only if, there exists $h \in P[A, B]$ such that

$$p_1(z) = (1-\alpha)h(z) + \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad z \in E. \quad (1.4)$$

It is also noted that $P[1, -1, 0] \equiv P$, the well-known class of analytic functions in E with positive real part. Noor [3] considered the generalized class $P_k[A, B, \alpha]$ of Janowski functions which is defined as follows.

A function p is said to be in the class $P_k[A, B, \alpha]$, if and only if,

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)p_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)p_2(z), \quad (1.5)$$

where

$p_1, p_2 \in P[A, B, \alpha]$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $k \geq 2$, and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. It is clear that $P_2[A, B, \alpha] \equiv P[A, B, \alpha]$ and $P_k[1, -1, 0] \equiv P_k$, the well-known class given and studied by Pinchuk [4].

For any two analytic functions

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (z \in E),$$

the convolution (Hadamard product) of f_1 and f_2 is defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n. \quad (1.6)$$

Using Hadamard product, Ruscheweyh [5] introduced a linear operator $D^\delta : A \rightarrow A$. It is defined as

$$D^\delta f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\delta+1}} * f(z) \\ = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\delta) a_n z^n, \quad (\delta > -1) \quad (1.7)$$

with

$$\varphi_n(\delta) = \frac{(\delta+1)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $(\rho)_n$ is a Pochhammer symbol given as

$$(\rho)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ \rho(\rho+1)(\rho+2)\dots(\rho+n-1), & n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

Moreover, for $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$,

$$D^\delta f(z) = \frac{z(z^{\delta-1} f(z))^{(\delta)}}{\delta!}.$$

The function $D^\delta f(z)$ was then called δ th order Ruscheweyh derivative of f . For the application of Ruscheweyh derivative, see [6–8]. The following identity can easily be established.

$$(\delta+1)D^{\delta+1}f(z) = \delta D^\delta f(z) + z(D^\delta f(z))'. \quad (1.10)$$

Now using all these concepts, we define the following class.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in A$ is in the class $\mathcal{V}_k^\delta[A, B, \alpha, b]$, if and only if ,

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{b} + \frac{2}{b} \frac{D^{\delta+1}f(z)}{D^\delta f(z)}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_k[A, B, \alpha], \quad z \in E,$$

where $k \geq 2$, $\delta > -1$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$.

Assigning certain values to different parameters, we have different well-known classes of analytic functions as can be seen below.

Special cases

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_k^\delta[1, -1, \alpha, b] \equiv \mathcal{V}_k(\alpha, b, \delta)$, the well-known class defined by Latha and Nanjunda Rao in [9].
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_2^1[A, B, \alpha, 1] \equiv \mathcal{C}[A, B, \alpha]$, $\mathcal{V}_2^0[A, B, \alpha, 2] \equiv \mathcal{S}^*[A, B, \alpha]$, the well-known class defined by Polatoğlu [2].
- (iii) $\mathcal{V}_k^1[A, B, 0, 1] \equiv \mathcal{V}_k[A, B]$, $\mathcal{V}_2^0[A, B, 0, 2] \equiv \mathcal{R}_k[A, B]$, where $\mathcal{V}_k[A, B]$ and $\mathcal{R}_k[A, B]$ denote the class of Janowski functions with bounded boundary and bounded radius rotations respectively, given by Noor [10].

For the detail on the subject of functions of bounded boundary rotation, Janowski functions and related topics, we refer the work of Noor et.al [11] and Arif et.al [12].

2. Preliminary Results

We need the following results to obtain our main results.

Lemma 2.1. Let $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n \in \mathcal{P}_k[A, B, \alpha]$.

Then, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$|q_n| \leq \frac{k(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{2}. \quad (2.1)$$

This inequality is sharp.

The proof follows from (1.4), (1.5) and the coefficient bound of $h \in \mathcal{P}[A, B]$ given by Aouf [13].

Lemma 2.2 [14]. Let $u = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = v_1 + iv_2$ and $\psi(u, v)$ be a complex valued function satisfying the conditions:

- (i) $\psi(u, v)$ is continuous in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$,
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re} \psi(1, 0) > 0$,
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re} \psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$, whenever $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$

and $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1+u_2^2)$.

If $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + \dots$ is a function analytic in E such that $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re} \psi(h(z), zh'(z)) > 0$ for $z \in E$, then $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$ in E .

Lemma 2.3. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}_k[A, B, 0]$ with $k \geq 2$.

Then, for $|z| = r < 1$,

$$\frac{2 - (A - B)kr - 2ABr^2}{2(1 - B^2r^2)} \leq \operatorname{Re} p(z) \leq |p(z)| \leq \frac{2 + (A - B)kr - 2ABr^2}{2(1 - B^2r^2)}. \quad (2.2)$$

The proof is immediate by using (1.5) and the growth result of $h \in P[A, B]$, see [15].

Lemma 2.4. Let $p \in P_k[A, B, 0]$ with $k \geq 2$.

Then, for $|z| = r < 1$,

$$|zp'(z)| \leq \frac{r \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (A - B)k - 4B(A - B)r \\ + B^2(A - B)kr^2 \end{array} \right\} \operatorname{Re} p(z)}{(1 - B^2r^2)(2 + (A - B)kr - 2ABr^2)}. \quad (2.3)$$

The result follows directly by using Lemma 2.3.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}_k^\delta[A, B, \alpha, b]$ with $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\delta > -1$, $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(\sigma)_{n-1}}{(n-1)! \varphi_n(\delta)}, \quad \forall n \geq 2, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\sigma = \frac{k|b|(A-B)(1-\alpha)(\delta+1)}{4}$ and

$\varphi_n(\delta)$ is given by (1.8).

This result is sharp.

Proof. Set

$$1 - \frac{2}{b} + \frac{2}{b} \frac{D^{\delta+1} f(z)}{D^\delta f(z)} = p(z), \quad (3.2)$$

so that $p \in P_k[A, B, \alpha]$. Let $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n$.

Then (3.2) can be written as

$$2(D^{\delta+1} f(z) - D^\delta f(z)) = b D^\delta f(z) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{2\varphi_n(\delta)(n-1)a_n}{(\delta+1)} = b \left(q_{n-1} + \varphi_2(\delta) a_2 q_{n-2} + \dots + \varphi_{n-1}(\delta) a_{n-1} q_1 \right).$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{k|b|(A-B)(1-\alpha)(\delta+1)}{4(n-1)\varphi_n(\delta)} \left(1 + \varphi_2(\delta) |a_2| + \dots + \varphi_{n-1}(\delta) |a_{n-1}| \right) = \frac{\sigma}{(n-1)\varphi_n(\delta)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \varphi_i(\delta) |a_i| \right).$$

$$\text{For } n=2, |a_2| \leq \frac{\sigma}{\varphi_2(\delta)} = \frac{(\sigma)_{2-1}}{(2-1)!\varphi_2(\delta)}.$$

Therefore (3.1) holds for $n=2$.

Assume that (3.1) is true for $n=m$ and consider

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{\sigma}{m\varphi_{m+1}(\delta)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^m \varphi_i(\delta) |a_i| \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\sigma}{m\varphi_{m+1}(\delta)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{(\sigma)_{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma}{m\varphi_{m+1}(\delta)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^m \sigma \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{j} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma}{m\varphi_{m+1}(\delta)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{(\sigma)_m}{(m)! \varphi_{m+1}(\delta)}.$$

Therefore, the result is true for $n=m+1$. Using mathematical induction, (3.1) holds true for all $n \geq 2$.

This result is sharp for $\delta > -1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and $k \geq 2$ as can be seen from the functions $f_0(z)$ which are given as

$$1 - \frac{2}{b} + \frac{2}{b} \frac{D^{\delta+1} f_0(z)}{D^\delta f_0(z)} = (1-\alpha) \left[\left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1 - Az}{1 - Bz} \right] + \alpha.$$

For different values of A, B, α, b and δ , we obtain the following results [16].

Corollary 3.2. If $f \in \mathcal{V}_k^0[1, -1, \alpha, 2] = R_k(\alpha)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(k(1-\alpha))_{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

This result is sharp.

Corollary 3.3. If $f \in \mathcal{V}_k^1[1, -1, \alpha, 1] = V_k(\alpha)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(k(1-\alpha))_{n-1}}{n!}, \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

This result is sharp.

Theorem 3.4. For real $b > 0$,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^{\delta+1}[A, B, \alpha, b] \subseteq \mathcal{V}_k^{\delta}[1, -1, \beta, b+1], \quad z \in E,$$

where β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) is one of the roots of

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 \lambda_2 b^2 (\delta+2)^2 (1-\alpha)^2 \\ & -b(\delta+2)(1-\alpha)[\lambda_1(B+1) + \lambda_2(B-1)] \\ & + (B^2 - 1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{(1-b) + \beta(1+b)}{(1+b)(1-\beta)} [\Delta(B-1) - (A-1)], \quad (3.4)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{(1-b) + \beta(1+b)}{(1+b)(1-\beta)} [\Delta(B+1) - (A+1)] \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\Delta = 1 - \frac{(1+b)(\delta+1)(1-\beta)}{b(\delta+2)(1-\alpha)}.$$

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{V}_k^{\delta+1}[A, B, \alpha, b]$ and set

$$p(z) = 1 - \frac{2}{b+1} + \frac{2}{b+1} \frac{D^{\delta+1} f(z)}{D^{\delta} f(z)}. \quad (3.6)$$

where p is analytic in E with $p(0) = 1$. Then, by simple computations together with (3.6) and (1.10) yield

$$1 - \frac{2}{b} + \frac{2}{b} \frac{D^{\delta+2} f(z)}{D^{\delta+1} f(z)} = (1 - \mu_1) + \mu_1 \left[p(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p'(z)}{p(z) + \mu_3} \right], \quad (3.7)$$

where $\mu_1 = \frac{\delta+1}{\delta+2} \frac{b+1}{b}$, $\mu_2 = \frac{2}{(\delta+1)(b+1)}$, $\mu_3 = \frac{2}{b+1} - 1$.

Since $f \in \mathcal{V}_k^{\delta+1}[A, B, \alpha, b]$, it follows that

$$(1 - \mu_1) + \mu_1 \left[p(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p'(z)}{p(z) + \mu_3} \right] \in P_k[A, B, \alpha],$$

or, equivalently

$$\frac{(1-\alpha-\mu_1)}{1-\alpha} + \frac{\mu_1}{1-\alpha} \left[p(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p'(z)}{p(z) + \mu_3} \right] \in P_k[A, B]. \quad (3.8)$$

Define

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{(1+\mu_3)} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\mu_2}} + \frac{\mu_3}{(1+\mu_3)} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\mu_2+1}},$$

and by using convolution techniques given by Noor [3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p'(z)}{p(z) + \mu_3} &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(p_1(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p_1'(z)}{p_1(z) + \mu_3} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(p_2(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p_2'(z)}{p_2(z) + \mu_3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.8), we see that

$$\frac{(1-\alpha-\mu_1)}{1-\alpha} + \frac{\mu_1}{1-\alpha} \left[p_i(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p_i'(z)}{p_i(z) + \mu_3} \right] \in P[A, B],$$

where $z \in E$, $i = 1, 2$.

Now, we want to show that $p_i \in P[A, B, \beta]$, where β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) is one of the root of (3.3).

Let

$$p_i(z) = (1-\beta)h_i(z) + \beta, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1-\alpha-\mu_1(1-\beta)}{1-\alpha} + \\ & \frac{\mu_1(1-\beta)}{1-\alpha} \left[h_i(z) + \frac{\frac{\mu_2}{(1-\beta)} z h_i'(z)}{h_i(z) + \frac{\mu_2+\beta}{(1-\beta)}} \right] \in P[A, B]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact illustrated in (1.2), we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (B-1) \left[\begin{array}{l} (\lambda + \mu h_i(z))(h_i(z) + \omega_2) \\ + \omega_1 \mu z h_i'(z) \end{array} \right] \\ - (A-1)(h_i(z) + \omega_2) \\ (B+1) \left[\begin{array}{l} (\lambda + \mu h_i(z))(h_i(z) + \omega_2) \\ + \omega_1 \mu z h_i'(z) \end{array} \right] \\ - (A+1)(h_i(z) + \omega_2) \end{array} \right\} \in P,$$

where $\omega_1 = \frac{\mu_2}{1-\beta}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{\mu_2+\beta}{1-\beta}$, $\lambda = \frac{1-\alpha-\mu_1(1-\beta)}{1-\alpha}$ and

$\mu = \frac{\mu_1(1-\beta)}{1-\alpha}$. We now form the functional $\psi(u, v)$

by choosing $u = h_i(z)$, $v = zh_i'(z)$ and note that the first two conditions of Lemma 2.2 are clearly satisfied. We check condition (iii) as follows.

$$\psi(u, v) = \frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (B-1) [(\lambda + \mu u)(u + \omega_2) + \omega_1 \mu v] \\ - (A-1)(u + \omega_2) \end{array} \right\}}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (B+1) [(\lambda + \mu u)(u + \omega_2) + \omega_1 \mu v] \\ - (A+1)(u + \omega_2) \end{array} \right\}}$$

$$= \frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 + \omega_1 \mu (B-1)v \\ + [(\lambda + \mu(u + \omega_2))(B-1) - (A-1)]u \end{array} \right\}}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_2 + \omega_1 \mu (B+1)v \\ + [(\lambda + \mu(u + \omega_2))(B+1) - (A+1)]u \end{array} \right\}},$$

where $\lambda_1 = \omega_2 [\lambda (B-1) - (A-1)]$ and

$\lambda_2 = \omega_2 [\lambda (B+1) - (A+1)]$. Now

$$\psi(iu_2, v_1) = \frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 + \mu (\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(B-1) \\ + [(\lambda + \mu \omega_2)(B-1) - (A-1)]iu_2 \end{array} \right\}}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_2 + \mu (\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(B+1) \\ + [(\lambda + \mu \omega_2)(B+1) - (A+1)]iu_2 \end{array} \right\}}.$$

Taking real part of $\psi(iu_2, v_1)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi(iu_2, v_1) = \frac{[-\lambda_1 + \mu (\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(1-B)][\lambda_2 + \mu (\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(B+1)] - [(\lambda + \mu \omega_2)(B-1) - (A-1)][(\lambda + \mu \omega_2)(B+1) - (A+1)]u_2^2}{-[\lambda_2 + \mu (\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(B+1)]^2 - [(\lambda + \mu \omega_2)(B+1) - (A+1)]^2 u_2^2}.$$

As $\omega_1 > 0$, $\mu > 0$, so applying $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$ and after a little simplification, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq \frac{A_1 + B_1 u_2^2 + C_1 u_2^4}{D_1}, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{4} [2\lambda_1 - \omega_1 \mu (B-1)][2\lambda_2 - \omega_1 \mu (B+1)],$$

$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \mu (\omega_1 + 2) \left[\begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 (B+1) - \omega_1 \mu (B^2 - 1) \\ + \lambda_2 (B-1) \end{array} \right] +$$

$$(\lambda + \mu \omega_2)^2 (B^2 - 1) - 2(\lambda + \mu \omega_2)(AB - 1) + (A^2 - 1),$$

$$C_1 = -\frac{1}{4} \mu^2 (1 - B^2)(\omega_1 + 2)^2,$$

and

$$D_1 = [\lambda_2 + \mu (\omega_1 v_1 + u_2)(B+1)]^2 + [(\lambda + \mu \omega_2)(B+1) - (A+1)]^2 u_2^2.$$

The right hand side of (3.9) is negative if $A_1 \leq 0$ and $B_1 \leq 0$. From $A_1 \leq 0$, we have β to be one of the roots of

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 b^2 (\delta + 2)^2 (1 - \alpha)^2 - b(\delta + 2)(1 - \alpha)[\lambda_1 (B+1) + \lambda_2 (B-1)] + (B^2 - 1) = 0$$

with $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and also for $0 \leq \beta < 1$, we have $B_1 \leq 0$.

Since all the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied, it follows that $h_i \in P$, $i = 1, 2$ and consequently $p \in P_k[1, -1, \beta]$. Hence from (3.6),

$$f \in \mathcal{V}_k^\delta[1, -1, \beta, b+1].$$

By choosing the parameters $A = 1$, $B = -1$, $b = 1$ and $\delta = 0$, we obtain the following known result, proved in [17].

Corollary 3.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}_k(\alpha)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{R}_k(\beta)$, where β is a root of

$$2\beta^2 - (2\alpha - 1)\beta - 1 = 0 \text{ with } 0 \leq \beta < 1,$$

which is

$$\beta = \frac{1}{4} \left[(2\alpha - 1) + \sqrt{4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 9} \right].$$

For $\alpha = 0$, $k = 2$ in Corollary 3.5, we have the following well known result [18].

$$V_2(0) = C \subseteq R_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = S^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \text{ for } z \in E.$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}_k^\delta[A, B, 0, b]$, $\delta > -1$, $b > 0$ (real), $k \geq 2$ and $0 < a = \frac{b(\delta+1)}{2} \leq 1$. Then $D^\delta f(z)$ maps $|z| < r_0$ onto a convex domain, where r_0 is the least positive root of the equation

$$a_1 r^4 + a_2 r^3 + a_3 r^2 + a_4 r + 4(2a - 1) = 0 \text{ with } 0 \leq r < 1, \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$a_1 = 4a^2 A^2 B^2 - 4(a - 1)^2 B^4,$$

$$a_2 = 2a(2a - 1)(B - A)B^2 k,$$

$$a_3 = 8a^2(a - 2) + 8a(1 - a)AB - a^2(A - B)^2 k^2,$$

and

$$a_4 = 2a(2a - 3)(A - B)k.$$

This result is sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{V}_k^\delta[A, B, 0, b]$, then

$$\frac{D^{\delta+1} f(z)}{D^\delta f(z)} = \frac{b(p(z) - 1) + 2}{2}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $p \in P_k[A, B, 0]$. Using the identity (1.10), we have from (3.11),

$$\frac{z(D^\delta f(z))'}{D^\delta f(z)} = \frac{b(p(z) - 1)(\delta + 1) + 2}{2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Logarithmic differentiation of (3.12) yields

$$\frac{\left(\frac{z(D^\delta f(z))'}{D^\delta f(z)} \right)'}{\left(\frac{z(D^\delta f(z))'}{D^\delta f(z)} \right)} = ap(z) - a + 1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) - 1 + \frac{1}{a}},$$

where $a = \frac{b(\delta+1)}{2}$. Then, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z(D^\delta f(z))''}{(D^\delta f(z))'} \right) \geq a \operatorname{Re} p(z) + (1 - a) - \frac{|zp'(z)|}{\left| p(z) - 1 + \frac{1}{a} \right|},$$

and hence, by using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z(D^\delta f(z))''}{(D^\delta f(z))'} \right) \\ & \geq \operatorname{Re} p(z) \left\{ a + \frac{2(1-a)(1-B^2 r^2)}{2+(A-B)kr-2ABr^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{2ar \left\{ (A-B)k - 4B(A-B)r + B^2(A-B)kr^2 \right\}}{(2+(A-B)kr-2ABr^2)\xi} \right\} \\ & = \operatorname{Re} p(z) \left\{ \frac{a_1 r^4 + a_2 r^3 + a_3 r^2 + a_4 r + 4(2a-1)}{(2+(A-B)kr-2ABr^2)\xi} \right\} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

provided

$$T(r) = a_1 r^4 + a_2 r^3 + a_3 r^2 + a_4 r + 4(2a - 1) > 0,$$

where

$$a_1 = 4a^2 A^2 B^2 - 4(a - 1)^2 B^4,$$

$$a_2 = 2a(2a - 1)(B - A)B^2 k,$$

$$a_3 = 8a^2(a - 2) + 8a(1 - a)AB - a^2(A - B)^2 k^2,$$

$$a_4 = 2a(2a - 3)(A - B)k,$$

and

$$\xi = 2(2a - 1) - a(A - B)kr + 2(B^2 - a(A + B)B)r^2.$$

We have $T(0) > 0$ and $T(1) < 0$. Therefore,

$D^\delta f(z)$ maps $|z| < r_0$ onto a convex domain, where r_0 is the least positive root of the equation $T(r) = 0$, lying in $(0, 1)$.

For $D^\delta f_1(z)$ such that

$$\frac{D^{\delta+1} f_1(z)}{D^\delta f_1(z)} = \frac{b(p_k(z) - 1) + 2}{2},$$

where $p_k(z) = \frac{2+(A-B)kz-2ABz^2}{2(1-B^2 z^2)}$, we have

$$\frac{\left(z \left(D^\delta f_1(z)\right)'\right)'}{\left(D^\delta f_1(z)\right)'} = \frac{a_1 r^4 + a_2 r^3 + a_3 r^2 + a_4 r + 4(2a-1)}{\left(2 + (A-B)kr - 2ABr^2\right)\xi}$$

$$= 0,$$

for $z = r_0$. Hence this radius r_0 is sharp.

By choosing the parameters $A=1$, $B=-1$, $k=2$, $b=2$ and $\delta=0$, we obtain the following known result, see [18].

Corollary 3.7. Let $f \in S^*$. Then f maps $|z| < r_0$ onto a convex domain, where r_0 is the least positive root of the equation

$$r^4 - 2r^3 - 6r^2 - 2r + 1 = 0 \text{ with } 0 \leq r < 1,$$

which is $r_0 = 2 - \sqrt{3}$. This is also sharp.

References

- [1] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, Ann. Polon. Math., 28 (1973) 297--326.
- [2] Y. Polatoğlu, M. Bolcal, A. Şen and E. Yavuz, A study on the generalization of Janowski function in the unit disc, Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis, 22 (2006) 27--31.
- [3] K. I. Noor, Applications of certain operators to the classes related with generalized Janowski functions, Integral Transform Spec. Funct., 21(8)(2010) 557-567.
- [4] B. Pinchuk Function with bounded boundary rotation, Israel J. Math. 10 (1971) 7-16.
- [5] S. Ruscheweyh, A new criteria for univalent Function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49(1)(1975) 109-115.
- [6] A. A. Lupaş, On special differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative, Comput Math. Appl., 61(4)(2011) 1048-1058.
- [7] K. I. Noor, S. N. Malik, On a subclass of quasi-convex univalent functions, World Appl. Sci. J., 12(12)(2011) 2202-2209.
- [8] K. I. Noor, M. Arif, On some application of Ruscheweyh derivative, Comp. Math Appl., 62(2011) 4726-4732.
- [9] S. Latha, S. Nanjunda Rao, Convex combinations of n analytic functions in generalized Ruscheweyh class, Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technology, 25(6) (1994) 791-795.
- [10] K. I. Noor, On some integral operators for certain families of analytic function, Tamkang J. Math., 22(1991) 113-117.
- [11] K. I. Noor, M. Arif, Mapping properties of an integral operator, Applied Math. Lett., 25(2012), 1826-1829.
- [12] M. Arif, K. I. Noor, M. Raza, W. Haq, Some properties of a generalized class of analytic functions related with Janowski functions, Abst. Appl. Anal., vol (2012) article ID 279843, pp.11.
- [13] M. K. Aouf, On a class of p -valent starlike functions of order α , Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., 10 (1987) 733--744.
- [14] S. S. Miller, Differential inequalities and Caratheodory functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 81 (1975) 79-81.
- [15] R. Parvatham, T. N. Shanmugan, On analytic functions with reference to an integral operator, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 28 (1983) 207--215.
- [16] K. I. Noor, Higher order close-to-convex functions, Math. Japonica, 37(1)(1992) 1-8.
- [17] K. I. Noor, W. Haq, M. Arif and S. Mustafa, On bounded boundary and bounded radius rotations, J. Inequ. Appl., vol. (2009) art. ID 813687, pp 12.
- [18] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions, Vol. I, II, Mariner Publishing Company, Tempa Florida, U. S. A, 1983.

11/08/2012