Journal of American Science

Websites: http://www.jofamericanscience.org http://www.sciencepub.net

Emails: editor@sciencepub.net sciencepub@gmail.com



One-pot Multicomponent Synthesis Hexahydroquinoline Derivatives in Triton X-100 Aqueous micellar media

Mohammad Reza Poor Heravi*, Shadi Mehranfar

Payame Noor University, Department of Chemistry, 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran http://www.heravimr@yahoo.com; heravimr@gmail.com

Abstract: A facile and efficient synthesis of hexahydroquinoline derivatives (**5a-o**) was reported via fourcomponent condensation reaction of aldehydes, dimedone, methyl aceto acetate and ammonium acetate in the presence of Triton X-100 in water at room temperature. The use of just 20 mol % of Triton X-100 in water solvent is sufficient. The FT-IR, ¹⁹F-NMR, ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR spectra and elemental analysis confirm the structure of compounds.

[Mohammad Reza Poor Heravi, Shadi Mehranfar. **One-pot Multicomponent Synthesis Hexahydroquinoline Derivatives in Triton X-100 Aqueous micellar media.** *J Am Sci* 2023;19(8):50-54]. ISSN 1545-1003 (print); ISSN 2375-7264 (online). <u>http://www.jofamericanscience.org_07.</u> doi:<u>10.7537/marsjas190823.07</u>.

Keywords: Multicomponent reactions (MCRs); One-pot reaction; hexahydroquinolines; Triton X-100; Non ionic surfactant

1. Introduction

Multicomponent reactions (MCRs) have drawn great interest enjoying an outstanding status in modern organic synthesis and medicinal chemistry because they are one-pot processes bringing together three or more components and show high atom economy and high selectivity [1]. Such processes are of great interest in diversity-oriented synthesis, especially to generate compound libraries for screening purposes. The Hantzsch reaction [2], and their products 1,4-dihydropyridines (DHP) have attracted immense attention of synthetic chemists due to their pharmacological properties [3,4].

In addition, the dihydropyridine unit has been widely employed as a hydride source for reductive amination [5]. Despite the potential importance of 1,4-dihydropyridyl compounds from а pharmaceutical, industrial, and synthetic point of view, [6-8] For these reasons, polyhydroquinoline compounds not only attract the attention of chemists to synthesize but also represent an interesting research challenge. The classical methods involve the three-component condensation of an aldehvde with ethyl acetoacetate, and ammonia in acetic acid or in refluxing alcohol [9-11]. However, these methods suffer from drawbacks such as long reaction time, use of large quantities of organic solvents, lower product yields or harsh refluxing conditions. In recent years, several new efficient methods for the synthesis of polyhydroquinoline derivatives, which include the use of microwaves, [12] autoclave, [13] ionic liquids, [14] iodine, [15] metal triflate, [16] cerric ammonium nitrate, [17] L-proline, [18] PTSA-SDS, [19] BINOL-

phosphoric acid, [20] $Hf(NPf_2)_4/C_{10}F_{18}$, [21] and TFE. [22] In continuation of our studies in developing cheap and environmentally benign methodologies for organic synthesis, we turned our attention towards the synthesis of hexahydroquinoline derivatives. We report herein a practical synthesis of hexahydroquinoline derivatives in Triton X-100 aqueous micellar media at room temperature (Scheme 1).

2. Results and Discussion

We carried out the four component coupling reaction of dimedone, aldehyde, acetoacetic ester, and ammonium acetate using 20 mol % of Triton X-100 in water solvent (Scheme 1). In order to determine the scope of this reaction, we have synthesized differently substituted hexahydroquinoline by varying differently substituted aldehydes (1a-o) including both electrondonating and electron-withdrawing groups. It is observed that the reaction gave good yields of products with faster reaction rate when the aldehyde bearing electron-withdrawing group is used compared to the aldehydes with electron-donating groups. The corresponding results are tabulated in Table 1.



Scheme 1.

Table 1. Synthesis of hexahydroquinoline derivatives via Hantzsch reaction in Triton X-100 aqueous micellar media.

Entry	Ar	Product	Time	Yield
			(min)	(%) ^a
1	C_6H_5	5a	60	97
2	$4-BrC_6H_4$	5b	90	94
3	2-BrC ₆ H ₄	5c	100	93
4	$4-CH_3C_6H_4$	5d	120	92
5	$2-CH_3C_6H_4$	5e	130	91
6	$4-CH_3OC_6H_4$	5f	120	93
7	$2-CH_3OC_6H_4$	5g	110	92
8	$4-NO_2C_6H_4$	5h	30	98
9	$2-NO_2C_6H_4$	5i	35	96
10	$4-FC_6H_4$	5j	25	98
11	$2-FC_6H_4$	5k	30	98
12	$4-CF_3C_6H_4$	51	30	97
13	$4-C_6H_5C_6H_4$	5m	30	90
14	1-Naphthyl	5n	65	89
15	2-Furyl	50	85	87

^aIsolated yields.

3. Experimental

Aldehydes were distilled before use. Melting points were determined using a Linkman HF591 heating stage, used in conjunction with a TC92 controller, and re uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded using either a Brucker DRX500 machine at room temperature. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured using deuterochloroform as solvent and chemical shifts were measured relatives to residual solvent or CFCl₃ as an internal standard for ¹⁹FNMR and are expressed in parts per million (δ). Mass spectra were obtained using a MicroMass LCT machine in ES or EI mode. Infrared spectra were measured on a Perkin Elmer Paragon 100 FT-IR spectrometer.

Typical experimental procedure of the hexahydroquinoline derivatives (5ao) (Scheme 1).

A mixture of aromatic aldehyde (**1a-o**), dimedone (**2**) (0.01 mol), methyl acetoacetate (**3**) (0.01 mol) and ammonium acetate (**4**) (0.01 mol) were taken in a mixture of Triton X-100 (5 mol%) and water (2 mL) in a round bottomed flask. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred at room temperature until completion of the reaction as monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC). After completion, the reaction mixture was poured onto crushed ice (70 g) with vigorous stirring. The precipitate obtained was filtered, washed with water, dried, and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (60-120 mesh, ethyl acetate/hexane, 1:3) to afford pure products. Structures of the all the products were confirmed by analytical and spectral data.

Methyl 2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-4-phenyl-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (5a).



0.315g (97%); white crystal; mp 262-264 °C. IR (KBr) (υ_{max} /cm⁻¹): γ =3513, 3098, 2989, 2893, 1721, 1703, 1556, 1445, 798, 745. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz), δ , ppm, 0.92 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.07 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.28-2.31 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 2.39 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.60 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.06 (s, 1H, CH), 6.21 (s, 1H, NH), 7.10 (t, 1H, Ar-H), 7.20 (t, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29 (d, 2H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz), δ , ppm, δ 19.45, 26.30, 26.33, 26.60, 27.11, 29.33, 32.12, 36.25, 41.13, 50.44, 51.04, 106.06, 112.18, 1117.09, 127.78, 127.99, 143.58, 146.63, 167.79, 195.38. MS (EI), m/z (%) =326 (M⁺, 25), 327 (5), 248 (37); HRMS (EI) Found: M⁺, 325.1693. C₂₀H₂₃NO₃ requires M⁺, 325.1702. Anal Calcd for C₂₀H₂₃NO₃: C, 73.82; H, 7.12; N, 4.30. Found: C, 73.76; H, 7.28; N, 4.43.

Methyl 4-(2-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (5c).



0.379g (94%); yellow solid; mp 252-254°C; IR (KBr) (ν_{max} /cm⁻¹): γ = 3539, 3109, 2978, 2798, 1731, 1709, 1545, 1465, 787, 732. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz), δ , ppm, 0.95 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.11 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.32-2.36 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 2.78 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.10 (s, 1H, CH), 6.33 (s, 1H, NH), 7.34 (t, 1H, Ar-H), 7.65 (t, 1H, Ar-H), 7.73 (t, 1H, Ar-H),

7.89 (d, 1H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz), δ , ppm, 20.21, 27.31, 27.78, 28.66, 29.01, 30.13, 33.14, 38.25, 44.63, 52.44, 55.04, 103.26, 110.88, 118.69, 129.08, 130.93, 141.78, 145.62, 165.99, 193.68. MS (EI), m/z (%) =407 (M⁺, 2), 405 (20), 248 (35). HRMS (EI) Found: M⁺, 403.0812. C₂₀H₂₂BrNO₃ requires M⁺, 403.0801. Anal Calcd for C₂₀H₂₂BrNO₃ C, 59.42; H, 5.48; N, 3.46. Found: C, 59.76; H, 5.28; N, 3.65.

Methyl 2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-4-p-tolyl-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (5d).



0.311g (92%); pale yellow solid; mp 257-259 °C. IR (KBr) (ν_{max} /cm⁻¹): γ =3496, 3069, 2893, 2798, 1718, 1709, 1525, 1429, 767, 737. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz), δ , ppm, 1.07 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.21 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.18-2.30 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 2.36 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.46 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.21 (s, 1H, CH), 6.11 (s, 1H, NH), 7.33 (t, 2H, Ar-H), 7.57 (d, 2H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz), δ , ppm, 21.15, 27.33, 26.33, 28.60, 28.91, 31.03, 33.42, 34.75, 40.83, 45.48, 50.54, 108.66, 114.98, 131.29, 134.58, 138.79, 143.68, 144.63, 166.89, 192.48. MS (EI), m/z (%) =339 (M⁺, 15), 324 (5), 248 (57); HRMS (EI) Found: M⁺, 340.1008. C₂₁H₂₅NO₃ requires M⁺, 339.1809. Anal Calcd for C₂₀H₂₃NO₃: C, 74.31; H, 7.42; N, 4.13. Found: C, 74.47; H, 7.38; N, 4.09.





0.336g (98%); white solid; mp 243-245 °C. IR (KBr) (ν_{max} /cm⁻¹): γ =3534, 3075, 2968, 2859, 1730, 1711, 1543, 1438, 778, 735. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz), δ , ppm, 0.92 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.07 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.28-2.31 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 2.39 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.60 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.06 (s, 1H, CH), 6.21 (s, 1H, NH), 7.20 (t, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29 (d, 2H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃,

125 MHz), δ , ppm, 21.46, 23.78, 25.34, 27.80, 28.81, 30.47, 31.14, 38.55, 43.14, 48.94, 50.54, 115.66, 120.68, 125.49, 129.10 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CF}$ =250.3 Hz), 132.39, 140.53, 144.67, 163.59, 192.35.¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 470 MHz), δ , ppm, -60.1. MS (EI), m/z (%) =343 (M⁺, 5), 324 (5), 248 (25); HRMS (EI) Found: M⁺, 343.1653. C₂₀H₂₂FNO₃: requires M⁺, 343.1602. Anal Calcd for C₂₀H₂₂FNO₃: C, 69.95; H, 5.53; N, 4.08. Found: C, 70.16; H, 5.68; N, 4.03.

Methyl 2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8horsebudge guine 2 comb organize (51)

hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (5l).



0.381g (97%); yellow solid; mp 248-250 °C; IR (KBr) (v_{max}/cm^{-1}) : $\gamma = 3485, 3089, 2968, 2865, 1723,$ 1711, 1556, 1476, 779, 738; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz), δ, ppm, 1.19 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.36 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.47-2.65 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 2.85 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.06 (s, 1H, CH), 6.30 (s, 1H, NH), 7.54 (t, 2H, Ar-H), 7.79 (d, 2H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ, ppm, 22.54, 25.36, 26.26, 27.12, 28.16, 31.32, 32.56, 35.23, 40.18, 45.44, 53.54, 107.66, 111.28, 121.32, 131.09, 132.56, 134.89, 134.33 (q, ¹J_{CF}=252.3 Hz, CF₃), 143.64, 164.73, 194.33.¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 470 MHz), δ, ppm, -110.5. MS (EI), m/z (%) =393 (M⁺, 7), 324 (5), 248 (54).HRMS (EI) Found: M⁺, 393.1601. C₂₁H₂₂F₃NO₃ requires M⁺, 393.1612. Anal Calcd for C₂₁H₂₂F₃NO₃: C, 64.11; H, 5.64; N, 3.56. Found: C, 73.76; H, 7.28; N, 4.43.

Methyl 4-(biphenyl-4-yl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (5m).



0.360g (90%); pale yellow solid; mp 267-269 °C; IR (KBr) (ν_{max} /cm⁻¹): γ = 3531, 3079, 2976, 2881, 1731, 1710, 1536, 1443, 776, 734; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500

MHz), δ, ppm, 1.07 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.12 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.34-2.56 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 2.67 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.23 (s, 1H, CH), 6.35 (s, 1H, NH), 7.14 (t, 1H, Ar-H), 7.17 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.24 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.14 (t, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54 (d, 1H, Ar-H), 8.02 (d, 1H, Ar-H),. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz), δ, ppm, 19.45, 26.30, 26.33, 26.60, 27.11, 29.33, 32.12, 36.25, 41.13, 50.44, 51.04, 106.06, 112.18, 114.23, 116.65, 116.98, 118.76, 119.98, 121.65, 124.65, 129.09, 127.78, 127.99, 143.58, 146.63, 167.79, 195.38. MS (EI), m/z (%) =401 (M⁺, 12), 274 (5), 215 (32). HRMS (EI) Found: M⁺, 401.2102. C₂₆H₂₇NO₃: C, 77.78; H, 6.78; N, 3.49. Found: C, 77.85; H, 6.84; N, 3.56.

Methyl 2,7,7-trimethyl-4-(naphthalen-1-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (5n).



0.337g (89%); pale yellow solid; mp 263-265 °C. IR (KBr) (v_{max}/cm^{-1}) : $\gamma = 3523$, 3080, 2979, 2879, 1736, 1712, 1539, 1438, 789, 747. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz), δ, ppm, 0.93 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.12 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.31-2.45 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 2.54 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.72 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.12 (s, 1H, CH), 6.17 (s, 1H, NH), 7.38-7.67 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 7.29 (d, 2H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz), δ, ppm, 19.06, 23.32, 25.34, 25.66, 27.67, 28.93, 33.14, 38.28, 47.13, 55.44, 58.98, 108.76, 119.98, 120.76, 121.67, 123.65, 126.89, 128.09, 129.78, 129.99, 139.57, 143.33, 162.72, 192.28. MS (EI), m/z (%) =326 (M⁺, 25), 327 (5), 248 (37). HRMS (EI) Found: M⁺, 375.1903. C₂₄H₂₅NO₃ requires M⁺, 375.1812. Anal Calcd for C24H25NO3: C, 76.77; H, 6.71; N, 3.73. Found: C, 7704; H, 6.68; N, 3.87.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we have described herein an efficient methodology for Hantzsch reaction using various electronically and structurally divergent aldehydes to give the product in excellent isolated yields. In contrast to the existing methods using potentially hazardous catalysts/additives, this new method offers the following competitive advantages: (i) avoiding the use of any base, metal or Lewis acid catalyst (ii) short reaction time, (iii) ease of product isolation/purification by non-aqueous work-up, (iv) high chemo selectivity, (v) no side reaction, and (vi) low costs and simplicity in process and handling.

Acknowledgements:

Foundation item: The National Project of Iran. Authors are grateful to the Department of Chemistry, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran, for financial support to carry out this work.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Mohammad Reza Poor Heravi Department of Chemistry Payame Noor University, 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran E-mail: heravimr@yahoo.com; heravimr@gmail.com

References

- [1]. (a) Ugi I. Pure Appl. Chem, 2001; 73: 187-193;
 (b) Cariou, CCA; Clarkson, GJ; Shipman M, J Org Chem, 2008; 73: 9762.
- [2]. Hantzsch, JL, Ann Chem, 1882; 1: 215.
- [3]. Kappe, CO, Eur J Med Chem, 2000; 35: 1043-1052.
- [4]. Atwal. KS, Swanson, BN, Unger, SE, Floyd, DM, Moreland S, Hedberg A, O'Reilly BC, J Med Chem, 1991; 34; 806-811.
- [5]. Sainani JB, Shah AC, Arya VP, Indian J Chem Sect B, 1994; 33: 526-531; (b) Ahluwalia VK, Goyal B, Das U, J Chem Res Synop, 1997; 266; (c) Margarita S, Estael O, Yamila V, Beatriz P, Lourdes M, Nazario M, Margarita Q, Carlos M, Jose IS, Hector N, Norbert B, Oswald MP, Tetrahedron, 1999; 55: 875-844.
- [6]. Aluwalia VK, Goyal B, Indian J. Chem. Sect. B 1996; 35: 1021-1025.
- [7]. Margarita S, Yamila V, Estael M, Nazario M, Robertto M, Margarita Q, Carlos S, Jose SN, Hector IN, Norbert, B, Oswald M, Camiel DJ, Heterocyl Chem, 2000; 37: 735-742.
- [8]. Itoh T, Nagata K, Miyazaki M, Ishikawa H, Kurihara A, Ohsawa A, Tetrahedron 2004; 60: 6649-6655.
- [9]. Ruppert A, Jeandon C, Sgambati A, Callot H, Chem Commun 1999; 2123-2124.
- [10]. Garden SJ, Guimaraes CRW, Correa MB, Oleveira CAFD, Pinto ADC, Alencastro RBD, J Org Chem 2003; 68: 8815-8822.
- [11]. Quellet SG, Tuttle JB, McMillan DWC, J Am Chem Soc 2005; 127: 32-33.
- [12]. (a) Ohberg L, Westman J, Synlett 2001;
 1296-1298; (b) Agarwal A, Chauhan PMS, Tetrahedron Lett, 2005; 46: 1345-1348.
- [13]. Watanabe Y, Shota K, Hoshiko T, Ozaki S, Synthesis, 1983; 761.
- [14]. (a) Sridhar R, Permal PT, Tetrahedron Lett, 2005; 46: 1345-1348; (b) Du B–X, Li Y–L,

Wang X-S, Zhang MM, Shi D-Q, Tu S-J, Chin. J Org Chem, 2006; 26; 698-701.

- [15]. Ko S, Sastry MMV, Lin C, Yao C-F, Tetrahedron, 2005; 46; 5771-5774.
- [16]. (a) Wang L-M, Sheng J, Zhang L, Han J-W, Fan Z, Tian H, Qian C-T, Tetrahedron, 2005; 61: 1539-1543; (b) Donelson JL, Gibbs RA, De SK, J Mol Catal Chem 2006; 256: 309-311.
- [17]. Ko S, Yao C-F, Tetrahedron, 2006; 62: 7293-7299.
- [18]. Kumar A, Maurya RA, Tetrahedron, 2007;63: 1946-1952.
- [19]. Kumar A, Maurya RA, Synlett, 2008; 883-885.
- [20]. Evans CG, Gestwicki JE, Org Lett 2009; 46: 796-799.
- [21]. Hong M, Cai C, Yi W-B, J Flluorine Chem, 2010; 131: 111-114.
- [22]. Heydari A, Khaksar S Tajbakhsh M, Bijanzadeh H R, J Fluorine Chem, 2009; 130: 609-614.

9/2/2023