# Experimental study of the effect of polyethylene fibers with random distribution on the engineering behavior of the mixture of flimsy sand with clay soils

Akbar Pashazadeh<sup>1</sup> Mahmood Ghazavi<sup>2</sup>, and Morteza Chekaniazar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
<sup>2.</sup> Khajeh Nasir Toosi (KNT), University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
<sup>3.</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Maragheh Branche, Islamic Azad University, Maragheh, Iran geocivilpasha@yahoo.com

**Abstract:** In this research, the shear resistance parameters of mixture of reinforced sand-kaolinite were determined with random distribution of polyethylene fibers (PEF). All samples were compressed to a certain density and then the direct shear test was done. The dimensions of direct shear set were  $10 \times 10 \times 2$  cm. Different materials such as sand, Kaolinite and polyethylene were used in the experiments. In these experiments, moisture content, amount of polyethylene (PEF), fiber size and speed of shear stress were variable. Test results show that by increasing fiber ratio the shear resistance parameters of sand-kaolinite mixture increase. Also, in reinforced mixture of sand-kaolinite the shear resistance increases by increasing the speed of shear stress.

[Mahmood Ghazavi, Akbar Pashazadeh, Morteza Chekaniazar. Experimental study of the effect of polyethylene fibers with random distribution on the engineering behavior of the mixture of flimsy sand with clay soils. Journal of American Science 2011;7(6):1184-1188]. (ISSN: 1545-1003). <u>http://www.americanscience.org</u>.

Key words: Sand-Kaolinite, Reinforced soil, Fibers, Direct shear test, shear stress speed

## 1. Introduction

Natural soil in the project site is not always suitable to use and may cause significant settlements due to loading on poor soil. To prevent these settlements or other poor mechanical properties. specific techniques should be used to improve these properties. To increase the soil resistance, designers always use mechanical processes such as drainage by sand wells compression, and consolidation and chemical processes such as reform and stabilization by the use of reinforcing elements (Nataraja and McManis, 1997). So far, different elements such as fiber glass, zinc coating steels and polymers such as geo-textiles, the geo-grades and fibers cut from polyethylene, polyester, and polypropylene has been used to reinforce the soil (Almansa and Cánovas, 1999). Gary and Ohashi (1983) have provided a model for the soil and fibers behavior in the shear zone. They determined the amount of fiber needed for optimal conditions of shear resistance by testing a large number of sand samples reinforced with plastic and fiber plant and a copper wire in direct shear set and analyzing the results. Nataraja and McManis (1997) carried out experiments on compression, direct shear, single-axis and CBR to investigate the behavior of (steel-fibre) reinforced clay and sand by synthetic fibers, and reported an increase in shear resistance, single-axis compressive resistance and particularly an increase in the CBR. The increase in impact resistance for steelfibre reinforced concrete has been studied in several experiments, e.g. by Nataraja *et al.* (2005), Luo *et al.* (2000), Almansa and Cánovas (1999).

In the investigation done by Naeini and Sadjadi (2008), the waste polymer materials has been chosen as the reinforcement material and it was randomly included in to the clayey soils with different plasticity indexes at five different percentages of fiber content (0%, 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%) by weight of raw soil. CBR tests are conducted by Kalantari et al. (2010) and their experimental findings are analyzed with the point of view of use of waste plastic fibers in soil reinforcement. Effects of Random Fiber Inclusion on Consolidation, Hydraulic Conductivity, Swelling, Shrinkage Limit and Desiccation Cracking of Clays (Abdi et al., 2008) point to the strength and settlement characteristics of the reinforced soil and compared with un-reinforced condition.

Using polymer waste in the soil reinforcement reduces these waste materials and prevents environmental degradation, which is considered as one of today's industrial problems. Therefore, in this study the waste of mineral water bottles were used. Investigating Topic History has shown that till now studies were with constant shear stress speed, but the effects of earthquake on soil has always been of importance thus in this research, the effect of increasing shear stress speed has been analyzed.

## 2. Material and Methods

**Soil and fiber characteristics**: In this study, the polyethylene fiber taken from half-liter bottles of mineral water has been used to reinforce the soil. The used soil is a mixture of loose sand and kaolinite. Characteristics of sand and kaolinite are shown in Tables 1 and 2, and figure 1 demonstrates the aggregation curve of sand.

Table 1. Characteristics of tested sand

Special weight of sand in the loose state (g/cm)	1.39
Interior friction angle of sand in the loose state (degree)	30
Density (g/cm)	2.55

Table2.CharacteristicsoftestedKaoliniteclassification

Classification(USCS)	Plastic	Liquid	Plastic
	Index	Limit	Limit
	(%)	(%)	(%)
СН	37	58	23

#### 3. Results and Discussion

**Proctor standard density test and the results:** These experiments on different mixing ratio of sand with kaolinite performed and the results represented in Table 3 shows that engineering mixture of 25 percent kaolinite and 75 percent sand, has the optimal mode.

	Amount of Kaolinite (%)	Amount of Sand (%)	Optimum moisture percentage	Maximum special dry weight (kN/m3)
1	10	90	14.5	16.60
2	17	83	13	17.15
3	25	75	12.5	18.20
4	33	67	12.5	18.20

Table 3. Density Test Results

**Direct shear test:** This test used  $10 \times 10 \times 2$  cm mold on reinforced soil with three different weight percentage one, two and three and dimensions  $16 \times 4$  and  $12 \times 4$  mm

**Direct shear test results:** In this section results obtained from direct shear tests are offered.

Table 4. Direct shear test results of four different ratios of sand with kaolinite.

	Amount of	Amount	Shear resistance		
	Kaolinite	of sand	(kPa) with 28		
	(%)	(%)	(kPa)vertical stress		
1	10	90	21.72		
2	17	83	23.30		
3	25	75	31.78		
4	33	67	29.56		



Figure 1. Sand aggregation curve



Figure 2. Changes in shear stress on the vertical stress on mixture  $4 \times 16$ F-K25-S75 with different weight percentages than optimum moisture content (NF = No Fiber)



Figure 3- Changes in shear stress on the vertical stress on mixture  $4 \times 12$ F-K25-S75 with different weight percentages than optimum moisture content (NF = No Fiber)



Figure 5. Comparison chart of changes in horizontal displacement against shear stress on the fiber reinforced soil mixture  $12 \times 4$  and naked in different scenarios optimum moisture content, dry and saturated

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

1 - The Table 4 indicated that in state 1 than 3 highest resistances to shear has been made.

2 - In accordance with the second image fibers  $16\times 4$  are observed with increasing fiber, shear resistance value of the number kPa62 mode fiber free reaches the 73 kPa with 3 percent of the fibers and shows 17 percent increase.

3 - according to the image 3 with fibers  $12 \times 4$  it is observed affected by different stress, vertical Shear stress level 83 kPa reaches the number 74 kPa and it shows 15 percent increase compared to the case without the fiber with the same vertical stress.

4 - As seen in Picture 4 the highest shear resistance is in a state of optimum moisture content and the least resistance has been made in saturated state

Table 5. Results in terms of changes in shear stress on the horizontal displacement mixing  $4 \times 12$ F2-K25-S757 optimum moisture content in a state with a loading speed 1, 2 and 3 mm/min

	Shear stress speed (mm/min)					
	1 mm/min		2mm/min		3 mm/min	
Vertical stress (kPa)	Horizontal displacement (mm)	Shear stress (kPa)	Horizontal displacement (mm)	Shear stress (kPa)	Horizontal displacement (mm)	Shear stress (kPa)
28	10.2	35.8	10.2	37.3	11.7	38.6
56	13.2	55.13	13.2	55.13	12.9	56.2
83	14.1	71.2	13.8	72.2	14.1	72.76

5 - As seen in Picture 5 the highest shear strength with a maximum shift in the shear mode has been made in the optimum moisture content and the least resistance is in saturated state.

6 - In accordance with image 5 broken loose soils cannot be seen, but with increasing horizontal force (shear) the amount of shear stress increases to its optimal value to achieve rupture shear stress, and after reaching the maximum, whatever the shear force increased no horizontal shift is observed and the numbers remain constant maximum numbers.

7 - Results of Table 5 show the amount of shear resistance with increasing shear stress velocity shows a 10 percent increase.

8 - Generally, mixing soil and fibers with constant shear stress speed, increase friction angle and reduce adhesion.

9 - Shear resistance of soil reinforced depends on the dimensions, fibers weight percentage and vertical stress.

10- Dimensions and weight percentage of reinforces will vary in accordance with different profiles of soil.

11 - With increase in shear stress velocity, horizontal displacement in the soil sample will happen less, so samples will be broken with further stress, and shear resistance will increase.

12 - Generally, mixture saturation decreases the shear resistance.

#### **Acknowledgements:**

Authors are grateful to the Department of Civil Engineering, Central Tehran, Islamic Azad University of Tehran for financial support to carry out this work.

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Akbar Pashazadeh Department of Civil Engineering Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University Tehran, Iran E-mail: geocivilpasha@yahoo.com

### References

- 1. Nataraja MS, McManis KL. Strength and Deformation Properties of Soils Reinforced With Fibrilated Fibers. Geosynthetics Intern., 1997; 4 (1): 65-79.
- 2. Almansa EM, Cánovas MF. Behaviour of normal concrete and steel fibre-reinforced concrete under impact of small projectiles. Cement Concrete Composites, 1999; 29: 1807-1814.

- 3. Gray DH, Ohashi H. Mechanism of Fiber Reinforcement in Sand. J Geotechnical Eng., 1983; 109 (3).
- 4. Nataraja MC, Nagaraj TS, Basavaraja SB. Reproportioning of steel fibre reinforced concrete mixes and their impact resistance. Cement Concrete Res., 2005; 35 (12): 2350-2359.
- 5. Luo X, Sun W, Chan SYN. Characteristics of high-performance steel fibre-reinforced concrete subjected to high velocity impact. Cement Concrete Res., 2000; 30: 907-914.
- Naeini SA, Sadjadi SM. Effect of Waste Polymer Materials on Shear Strength of Unsaturated Clays. EJGE Journal, 13 (Bund k): 2008; 1-12.
- Kalantari B, Bujang B, Huat K, Prasad A. Effect of Polypropylene Fibers on the California Bearing Ratio of Air Cured Stabilized Tropical Peat Soil. Am. J. Eng. Appl. Sci., 2010; 1-6.
- Abdi MR, Parsapajouh A, Arjomand MA. Effects of Random Fiber Inclusion on Consolidation, Hydraulic Conductivity, Swelling, Shrinkage Limit and Desiccation Cracking of Clays. Int. J. Civil. Eng., 2008; 6 (4): 284-292.
- 9. Stirilng G, Wilsey B. Emprical relationships between species richness, eveness and proporational diversity. Am Nat 2001; 158(3): 286-99.
- 10. Smith MD, Wilcox JC, Kelly T, Knapp AK. Dominance not richness determines invasibility of tallgrass prairie. Oikos 2004; 106(2):253–62.
- 11. Gaston K J. Global pattern in biodiversity. Nature 2000;405(1):220-7.
- 12. Tilman D. Causes, consequences and ethics of biodiversity. Nature 2000; 405(4):208-11.
- 13. Brown J. Mammals on mountainsides: elevational patterns of diversity. Global Ecology and Biogeography 2001; 10(1):101-9.
- Sanders NJ, Moss J, Wagner D. Pattern of ant species richness along elevational gradients in an arid ecosystem. Global Ecology and Biogeography 2003; 10(2):77-100.
- 15. Grytnes JA, Vetaas OR. Species richness and altitude: A comparison between null models and interpolated plant species richness along the Himalayan altitudinal gradient, Nepal. The Am Nat 2002; 159(3):294-304.
- 16. Singh JS, Singh SP. Forest vegetation of the Himalaya. Bot Rev 1987; 52(2):80-192.
- 17. Rawat YS, Singh JS. Forest floor, litter falls, nutrient return in central Himalayan forests. Vegetatio, 1989; 82(2):113-29.

- 18. Singh JS, Singh SP. Forest of Himalaya: Structure, Functioning and Impact of man. Gyanodaya Prakashan, Nainital, India, 1992.
- 19. Valida KS. Geology of Kumaun lesser Himalaya, Wadia Institute of Himalaya Geology, Dehradun, India, 1980; 291.
- 20. Shannon CE, Wienner W. The mathematical theory of communication. Univ. Illinois Press, Urbana, 1963.
- 21. Simpson EH. Measurement of Diversity. Nature 1949; 163(2):688-91.
- 22. Whittaker RH. Community and Ecosystems. IInd ed. McMillan, New York, 1975.
- 23. Whittaker RH. Evolution and measurement of species diversity. Taxon 1972; 21:213-51.
- 24. Saxena AK, Pandey P, Singh JS. Biological Spectrum and other structural functional

3/5/2011

attributes of the vegetation of Kumaun Himalaya, Vegetatio 1982; 49(1):111-9.

- 25. Mehrotra P. Adaptive significance of leaf in relation to other parts in oak forest herbs of Kumaun Himalaya, Ph. D. Thesis, Kumaun University, Nainital, India, 1988.
- 26. Moustafa AA. Environmental Gradient and Species Distribution on Sinai Mountains. Ph. D. Thesis, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University, Egypt, 1990; 115.
- 27. Tewari JC. Vegetational analysis along altitudinal gradients around Nainital, Ph. D. Thesis, Kumaun University, Nainital, 1982; 570.
- 28. Pielou EC. Ecological Diversity. Wiley, New York, 1975; 165.
- 29. Magurran AE. Ecological Diversity and Its Measurement. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1988; 179.