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Abstract: In this paper, we extend the Class of Cesáro sequence spaces $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$, introduced by Khan and Rahman to a generalized Cesáro type spaces $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ defined by weighted means $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)$ and of positive real number powers $\left(p_{n}\right)$ with $\inf _{n} p_{n}>0$. We define a modular functional on this generalized Cesáro sequence space and show that it is a complete paranomed space, and when equipped with the Luxemburg norm is a Banach space, possessing H-property, is not rotund and therefore not locally uniformly rotund. [Journal of American Science. 2010;6(10):7-12]. (ISSN: 1545-1003).
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## Introduction

Let $(\mathrm{X},\|\|$.$) be a real Banach space and let$ $B(X)$ (respe. $S(X)$ ) be the closed unit ball (resp. unit sphere) of X.

A point $x_{0} \in S(X)$ is called an H-point of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{X})$ if for any sequence $\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n} \in B(X)$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the weak convergence of $x_{n}$ to $x_{0}\left(\right.$ write $\left.x_{n} \xrightarrow{W} x_{0}\right)$ implies that $\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If every point of $S(X)$ is an $H$-point of $B(X)$; then X is said to have H -property (Kadec-Klee). A point $x \in S(X)$ is called an extreme point of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{X})$, if for any $y, z \in S(X)$, the equality $\mathrm{x}=\frac{y+z}{2}$ implies $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{z}$.

A Banach space $X$ is said to be Rotund (R) if for every point of $S(X)$ is an extreme point of $B(X)$. A point $x \in S(X)$ is called a locally uniformly rotund (LUR)-point, if for any sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{X})$ such that $\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \rightarrow 2$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$, there holds
that $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If every point of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X})$ is a LUR-point of $B(X)$, then the space $X$ is called locally uniformly rotund (LUR). It is known that if X is LUR, then it is rotund ( R ) and possesses property $(\mathrm{H})$. However the converse of this last statement is not true in general. By $\omega$, we denote the space of all real or complex sequences and by $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$.

A linear topological space $X$ over the real field $\mathbb{R}$ is said to be a paranormed space if there is a sub additive function $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
g(\theta)=0, \quad g(-x)=g(x) \text { and for any }
$$

sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in X such that
$g\left(x_{n}-x\right) \xrightarrow{n-\infty} 0$, and any sequence $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha\right| \xrightarrow{n-\infty} 0$, we get $g\left(\alpha_{n} x_{n}-\alpha x\right) \xrightarrow{n-\infty} 0$.

For these geometric notions and their role in mathematics we refer to the monographs [1], [2], [3], [4], and [5]. Some of these geometric properties were studied for orlicz spaces in [9], [10], [11], and [12].
In [5], Sanhan and Suantai investigated some geometrical properties
of $\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(p_{n}\right)\right)$ defined by
$\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(p_{n}\right)\right)=$
$\left\{x \in \omega: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)^{p_{n}}<\infty\right\}$, for any
bounded sequence $\left(p_{n}\right)$ of positive real numbers, with $\inf _{n} p_{n}>0$.

In [6] Khan and Rahman, generalized the space $\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(p_{n}\right)\right)$ by defining the space $\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)$, for positive sequences $\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)$ of real numbers, with $\inf _{n} p_{n}>0$ by $\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)=$
$\left\{x \in \omega: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{Q_{2^{n}}} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)^{p_{n}}<\infty\right\}$,
where $Q_{2^{n}}=q_{2^{n}}+q_{2^{n}+1}+\ldots .+q_{2^{n+1}-1}$.
Moreover they showed that $\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)$ is a paranomed space by the paranorm
$g(x)=\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{Q_{2^{n}}} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)^{p_{n}}<\infty\right]^{\frac{1}{M}}$, where $M=\max \{1, H\}$, and $H=\sup _{n} P_{n}<\infty$.

For a real vector space $X$, a function $\sigma: X \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is called modular, if it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\sigma(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0, \forall x \in X$
(ii) $\sigma(\lambda x)=\sigma(x)$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\lambda|=1$,
(iii) $\sigma(\lambda x+\beta y) \leq \sigma(x)+\sigma(y), \forall x, y \in X$, $\forall \lambda, \beta \geq 0 ; \lambda+\beta=1$.

Further, the modular $\sigma$ is called convex if (iv)
$\sigma(\lambda x+\beta y) \leq \lambda \sigma(x)+\beta \sigma(y), \forall x, y \in X$, $\forall \lambda, \beta \geq 0 ; \lambda+\beta=1$.

We now introduce a generalized modular sequence space defined by weighted means.

Definition: let $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)$ and $\left(p_{n}\right)$ be sequences of positive real numbers with $\inf _{n} p_{n}>0$, we
generalize the space $\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)$ by defining
$\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)=\{x \in \omega: \sigma(\lambda x)<\infty$, for some $\lambda>0\}$
, where $\sigma(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)^{p_{n}}$. In the case
when the sequence $\left(p_{n}\right)$ is bounded we can simply write
$\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)=$
$\left\{x \in \omega: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)^{p_{n}}<\infty\right\}$.
The Luxemburg norm on the sequence space
$\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)$ is defined for any
$x \in \operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)$ by:
$\|x\|=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \sigma\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1\right\}$.

## Remarks:

(1) Taking
$a_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1} ; q_{n}=1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
then $\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ces}\left(p_{n}\right)$.
(2)Taking $a_{n}=\frac{1}{Q_{2^{n}}}$,
where $Q_{2^{n}}=q_{2^{n}}+q_{2^{n}+1}+\ldots .+q_{2^{n+1}-1}$, then
$\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)$ studied by Khan and Rahman [13].
(3)Taking $a_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}, q_{n}=1, p_{n}=p, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\operatorname{Ces}\left(\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ces} p \quad$ studied by Lim [8].

Throughout this paper, the sequence $\left(p_{n}\right)$ is considered to be bounded with $\inf _{n} p_{n}>0$, and let $M=\max \{1, H\}, H=\sup p_{n}$.

For any bounded sequence of positive numbers $\left(p_{k}\right)$, we have
$\left|a_{k}+b_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \leq 2^{\max \left(p_{k}, 1\right)-1}\left(\left|a_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}+\left|b_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right) \leq 2^{M-1}\left(\left|a_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}+\left|b_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)$ , where $a_{k}, b_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Lemma (1):

The functional $\sigma$ is convex modular
on $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$.
Proof: Let $x, y \in \operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$. It is obvious that;
(i) $\sigma(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0$,
(ii) $\sigma(\lambda x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|\lambda x_{\mathrm{k}}\right|\right)^{P_{n}}=$
$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\lambda|^{P_{n}}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{\mathrm{k}}\right|\right)^{P_{n}}=\sigma(x)$,
$\forall \lambda:|\lambda|=1$
(iii) Using the convexity of the function $t \longrightarrow|t|^{P_{k}}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, we get
$\sigma(\lambda x+\beta y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|\lambda x_{\mathrm{k}}+\beta y_{k}\right|\right)^{P_{n}} \leq$
$\left.\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\lambda\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{\mathrm{k}}\right|\right)+\beta\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|y_{k}\right|\right)\right)\right]^{P_{n}}$
$=\lambda \sigma(x)+\beta \sigma(y)$,
for $\lambda, \beta \geq 0$ with $\lambda+\beta=1$.
Lemma (2): For any $x \in \operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$, the functional $\sigma$ on $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ satisfies the following properties:
(i) If $0<\mathrm{r}<1$, then $r^{H} \sigma\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \leq \sigma(x)$
and $\sigma(r x) \leq r \sigma(x)$,
(ii) if $\mathrm{r}>1$, then $\sigma(x) \leq r^{H} \sigma\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)$,
(iii) if $\mathrm{r} \geq 1$, then $\sigma(x) \leq r \sigma(x) \leq \sigma(r x)$.

Proof: (i) For $0<r<1$, we get
$\sigma(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)^{P_{n}}$
$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{P_{n}}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|\frac{x_{k}}{r}\right|\right)^{P_{n}} \geq r^{H} \sigma\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)$.
(ii) For $\mathrm{r}>1$, we get
$\sigma(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)^{P_{n}}=$
$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} r \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|\frac{x_{k}}{r}\right|\right)^{P_{n}}$
$\leq r^{H} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|\frac{x_{k}}{r}\right|\right)^{P_{n}} \leq r^{H} \sigma\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)$.
(iii) It is clear that (iii) is satisfied by the convexity of $\sigma$.

Lemma (3): For any $x \in \operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$, the following assertions are satisfied:
(i) If $\|x\|<1$, then $\sigma(x) \leq\|x\|$,
(ii) if $\|x\|>1$, then $\sigma(x) \geq\|x\|$,
(iii) $\|x\|=1$ if and only if $\sigma(x)=1$,
(iv) if $0<r<1$ and $\|x\|>r$, then $\sigma(x)>r^{H}$,
(v) if $r \geq 1$ and $\|x\|<r$, then $\sigma(x)<r^{H}$.

Proof : It can be proved with standard techniques in a similar way as in $[5,13]$.

Lemma(4):Let $\quad\left(x_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$,
(i) if $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=1$, then $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)=1$,
(ii) if $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)=0$, then $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=0$.

Proof:(i) Suppose that $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=1$. Then for any $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ there exists $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}$ such that
$1-\varepsilon<\left\|x_{n}\right\|<1+\varepsilon \forall \quad n \geq n_{0}$. By lemma (3), $(1-\varepsilon)^{H}<\sigma\left(x_{n}\right)<(1+\varepsilon)^{H}$ implies that
$\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)=1$.
(ii) If $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \neq 0$, then there is an $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and a subsequence $\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)$ such that $\left\|x_{n_{k}}\right\|>\varepsilon^{H} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(x_{n_{k}}\right) \neq 0$ and
Hence $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(x_{n}\right) \neq 0$.
$\operatorname{Lemma}(\mathbf{5}): \operatorname{Let} x, x_{n} \in \operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$,
$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.If $\sigma\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \sigma(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_{n}(i) \rightarrow x(i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof:
Since, $\sigma(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}|x(k)|\right)^{P_{r}}<\infty$, then for $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $r_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such tha

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=r_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}|x(k)|\right)^{P_{r}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{3\left(2^{M+1}\right)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since
$\sigma\left(x_{n}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{r_{0}}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r^{r}}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k} \mid x_{n}(k)\right)^{P_{r}} \rightarrow \sigma(x)-\sum_{r=0}^{r_{0}}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k} \mid x(k)\right)^{P_{r}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_{n}(k) \rightarrow x(k)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $r_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall r \geq r_{0}$
$\left|\sum_{r=r_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left(a \sum_{k=r^{r^{2}}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{n}(k)\right|\right)^{P_{r}}-\sum_{r=r_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left(a a_{r} \sum_{k=r^{2}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}|x(k)|\right)^{P_{r}}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3\left(2^{M}\right)}$ . (2)
Since $\quad x_{n}(k) \rightarrow x(k) \quad$ as $\quad n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\quad$ for every $n \geq n_{0}$ we get $\left|x_{n}(k)-x(k)\right|<\varepsilon$
for some $\mathrm{n}_{0}$. As a result we get
$\sum_{r=0}^{r_{0}}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{n}(k)-x(k)\right|\right)^{P_{r}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$
$\forall n \geq n_{0}$.
From (1), (2) and (3) it follows that for $n \geq n_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(x_{n}-x\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{n}(k)-x(k)\right|\right)^{P_{r}}= \\
& \sum_{r=0}^{r_{0}}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r^{\prime}}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k} \mid x_{n}(k)-x(k)\right)^{P_{r}}+\sum_{r=r_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{n}(k)-x(k)\right|\right)^{P_{r}} \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+2^{M}\left[\sum_{r=r_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{n}(k)\right|\right)^{P_{r}}+\sum_{r=r_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r^{2}}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}|x(k)|\right)^{P_{r}}\right] \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+2^{M}\left[2 \sum_{r=r_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}|x(k)|\right)^{P_{r}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3\left(2^{M}\right)}\right] \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(x_{n}-x\right)=0$ and by lemma 4 (ii), we get $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|=0$.

## Main results

Theorem (1): $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ is a Banach space with respect to the Luxemburg norm defined by $\|x\|=\inf \left\{\rho>0: \sigma\left(\frac{x}{\rho}\right) \leq 1\right\}$.

Proof: Let $x_{n}=\left(x_{n}(k)\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ according to the Luxemburg norm. Thus $\forall \varepsilon \in(0,1)$ $\exists \mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\|<\varepsilon^{M} \forall m, n \geq n_{0}$. By Lemma 3(i) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)<\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\|<\varepsilon^{M} \quad \forall m, n \geq n_{0} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{n}(k)-x_{m}(k)\right|\right)^{P_{r}}<\varepsilon^{M}$ $\forall m, n \geq n_{0}$. For any k we get $\left|x_{n}(k)-x_{m}(k)\right|<\varepsilon \forall m, n \geq n_{0}, \quad$ and $\quad$ the sequence $\left(x_{n}(k)\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence of real
numbers. Let $x(k)=\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}(k)$, then from inequality (4), we can write
$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{n}(k)-x(k)\right|\right)^{P_{r}}<\varepsilon^{M}$,
$\forall n \geq n_{0}$. That is, $\sigma\left(x_{n}-x\right)<\varepsilon^{M} \Rightarrow x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By the following calculations,
$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}|x(k)|\right)^{P_{r}}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{r}\left(\sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x(k)-x_{n}(k)\right|+\sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{n}(k)\right|\right)\right)^{P_{r}}$
$\leq 2\left[\left(\sum_{r=0}^{M-1}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x(k)-x_{n}(k)\right|\right)^{P_{r}}\right)+\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{r} \sum_{k=2^{r}}^{2^{r+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{n}(k)\right|\right)^{P_{r}}\right]$
$<\varepsilon$,
we see that the sequence $x_{n}$ converges to
$x=(x(k)) \in \operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$. This
completes the proof.
Theorem(2):The space $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ has the property Kadec-Klee (H-property).
Proof. Let $\quad x \in S\left(\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]\right)$ $\operatorname{and} x \in B\left(\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]\right) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 1$ and $x_{n} \xrightarrow{W} x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From Lemma 3(iii), and Lemma 4(i) we get $\sigma(x)=1$ and that $\sigma\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \sigma(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since
$x_{n} \xrightarrow{W} x$ and the ${ }^{\text {th }} i$-coordinate mapping $\Pi_{i}$ : $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\Pi_{i}(x)=x_{i}$ is a continuous linear functional, it follows that $x_{n}(i) \rightarrow x(i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we obtain by Lemma 5 that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem (3) The space $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ is not rotund, and so is not LUR.

Proof: It is sufficient to give a counter example. Choosing
$x=\left(\frac{1}{a_{0} q_{1} \sqrt[p_{0}]{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{a_{1} q_{3} \sqrt[p_{1}]{2}}, 0,0,0, \ldots ..\right)$ and
$y=\left(\frac{1}{a_{0} q_{1} \sqrt[p_{0}]{2}}, \frac{1}{a_{1} q_{2} \sqrt[p_{1}]{2}}, 0,0,0, \ldots ..\right)$, we see that
$x, y \in S\left(\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]\right)$, and their
midpoint $(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}) / 2 \in S\left(\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]\right)$. This shows that $(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}) / 2$ while belonging to
$S\left(\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]\right)$, is not an extreme point for $B\left(\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]\right)$.

## Corollary

(1) $\operatorname{Ces}(p)$ is not rotund, see [5].
(2) $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ is not rotund, see [13].

Finally, we get the following:
Theorem (4): The space $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ is a complete linear metric space with respect to the paranorm defined by

$$
g(x)=\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)^{p_{n}}\right]^{\frac{1}{M}} .
$$

Proof: The proof of linearity of
$\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ with respect to the coordinate wise addition and multiplication follows from the following inequalities which are satisfied for all $x, y \in \operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$
$\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \sum_{k=2^{n}} q_{k}\left|x_{k}+y_{k}\right|\right)^{p_{n}}\right]^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n^{n}}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)^{p_{n}}\right]^{\frac{1}{M}}+\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|y_{k}\right|\right)^{p_{n}}\right]^{\frac{1}{M}}$
(5), and $|\alpha|^{p_{n}} \leq \max \left\{1,|\alpha|^{M}\right\} \quad$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

We now verify that $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$ is a paranorm over the space $\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$. In fact,
(i) $g(\theta)=0 \quad$ (obvious)
(ii) $g(-x)=g(x), \forall x \in \operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$
(iii) $g(x+y) \leq g(x)+g(y)$,
$\forall x, y \in \operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$, follows from the inequality (5).
(iv) Let $\left(x_{m}\right)$ be any sequence in
$\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ such
that $g\left(x_{m}-x\right) \xrightarrow{m-\infty} 0$; let $\left(\alpha_{m}\right)$ be any
sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\left|\alpha_{m}-\alpha\right| \xrightarrow{m-\infty} 0$, since $x_{m}=x+\left(x_{m}-x\right)$ then we get

$$
g\left(x_{m}\right) \leq g(x)+g\left(x_{m}-x\right) . \text { Hence }\left\{g\left(x_{m}\right)\right\} \text { is }
$$ bounded and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\alpha_{m} x_{m}-\alpha x\right)=\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k}\left|\alpha_{m} x_{m}(k)-\alpha x(k)\right|\right)^{p_{n}}\right]^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
& =\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \sum_{k=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} q_{k} \mid\left(\alpha_{m}-\alpha\right)\left(x_{m}(k)\right)+\alpha\left(x_{m}(k)-x(k)\right)\right)^{p_{n}}\right]^{\frac{1}{M}},
\end{aligned}
$$

this tends to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
The completeness of the space
$\operatorname{Ces}\left[\left(a_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right),\left(q_{n}\right)\right]$ is a routine verification by
using standard techniques as theorem (1).
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