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#### Abstract

The study aims to identify the rates of growth in both population and agricultural land in Egypt during the period 1979-2008. In addition to the extent of the discrepancy between population and the average area cultivated and examine the impact of the improving area planted on the cultivated areas, total production of food crops and its relationship to population growth. Hence, the impact on imports and exports of wheat, cotton, rice, and also the impact on total production of livestock. Moreover the outcome of this effect in the study of the food gap between production and consumption. The study was achieved by some statistical analysis methods of general trend and some descriptive statistical methods. Finally, analysis of variance between the variables studied during the study periods (1979-1993) and (1994-2008). The study adopted in the compilation of data on all the bulletins from the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics and Ministry of Agriculture. The most important findings of the study compared with the general trend during the two study increased some of the variables during the initial period compared to the second period, including the acreage, the area of wheat, wheat production, productivity, imports of wheat, meat, red meat consumption, per capita red meat consumption, the gap of the dairy, poultry consumption, the per capita consumption of poultry, the gap of poultry. Also reflect an increasing number of variables during the second period, including a number of the population, the crop area, the area of rice exports of cotton, the average per capita production of wheat, the gap of red meat, dairy production, milk consumption, per capita milk consumption, per capita consumption of milk and, finally, poultry production compared to the first period. In addition, show some of the variables decreased during the first period compared to the second period, including the area of cotton, cotton production, the average per capita production of cotton. Finally, show declining average per capita cultivated area at a steady rate in both periods. As it was the most important findings of the study to compare the averages of some economic variables and the rates of growth and change them between the two study a rise in the rate of growth and rate of change between the averages of some variables such as population, cultivated area, the area of wheat, rice cultivation area, the productivity of wheat, rice productivity, exports of cotton, rice exports, the average per capita production of wheat, the average per capita rice production, production of red meat, red meat consumption, per capita consumption of red meat, milk production, milk consumption. Also show a reduction in both growth rate and the rate of change between the averages of some variables, including the crop area, the area of cotton, cotton production, imports of wheat, the average per capita cultivated area, the average per capita crop area, the average per capita share of cotton production, and the gap red meat. The study found the gap between population and cultivated area and land area in Egypt increased the gap resulting from the continued increase in population during the years of the study it was found that the number of people equivalent to about 7.11, 10.7 and 9.024 of total land area. And then was the most important recommendations of the study are: 1 - Work to reduce and decrease the number of population through family planning programs, or developing new laws to limit the number of children, two kids/ family and who wants a third child or more depending on the economic, the viability of expenses the full education, treatment, and care, and so on. 2 - follow the ways and means of modern technology that lead to greater land area cultivated (horizontal extension) through the cultivation and reclamation of land, as well as developing and enacting laws that contribute to the reduction of construction and the attack on the agricultural land for other purposes. 3 - The application of genetic engineering to increase the output unit of cultivated area to improve seeds and seedlings, devising new types of high-yield and short staying and resistance to adverse conditions and some others, and also use some of the methods of modern agriculture with the use of fertilizer extension courses, and others. [Journal of American Science 2010; 6(9):621-630]. (ISSN: 1545-1003).
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## Introduction:

According to the theory of Malthus, the world population is increasing in a geometric progression while, resources are increasing in an arithmetic progression. This are reflected on the increase in
hunger and poverty for many people, particularly in developing countries.

Most of developing countries, including Egypt, the population increase significantly, as the number of the population increase from 49.34 to
66.45 million for the period 1979 to 1993 and for the period 1994 to 2008 respectively, an increase of about $34.7 \%$ between the two periods .

Despite efforts by the state was starting in 1954 to increase the cultivated area (horizontal extension), the acreage between the two periods mentioned increased only about $31.1 \%$, and then the average per capita share of this area of about 0.123 fedden to 0.12 fedden, down about $2.4 \%$ between these two periods. As a result of that, the outcome appeared in the food gap of most food crops, especially grain crops, oil crops, which necessitated the increase in imports of these crops.

## Problem of the study

The main reasons for decreasing the agricultural land are the non-implementation of schemes prepared by the national land reclamation (horizontal expansion), and use some of this land diverted agricultural hand, and the continued population growth and urban encroachment on agricultural land on the other hand. Due to that, the per capita agricultural land through the study period declining, and does not suit the production of some strategic crops with the consumption of the population, which reflected the steady increase in imports.

It also highlights the problem of the lack of production for export, and be the export is not to identify the conditions of the importers of both quantity and quality and also the residual effect of pesticide or the use of bio-agriculture, which reflected the weakness of the quantities exported, and even re-many of them after export.

## Aim of the study

The study aims to identify the rates of growth in both population and agricultural land in Egypt during the period 1979-2008. In addition to the extent of the discrepancy between population and the average area cultivated and examine the impact of the improving area planted on the cultivated areas, total production of food crops and its relationship to population growth. Hence, the impact on imports and exports of wheat, cotton, rice, and also the impact on total production of livestock. Moreover the outcome of this effect in the study of the food gap between production and consumption.

## Data sources and Methods

The period studied (1979-2008) was divided into two periods are, (1979-1993) and (1994-2008) and then use some methods of statistical analysis of the general trend of the variables studied. Moreover use some descriptive statistical methods to estimate
the averages of these variables, estimating rates of growth and change for each of the periods.

Finally, analysis of variance between the variables studied for each period of the two periods. The study data were collected from the Statistical Yearbook issued by the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics, Department of Statistics of Economic Affairs Sector, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation for the period studied.

## Results

## I. A comparison of the general trend during the study

Table (1) illustrated the Increasing of some variables during the first period compared to the second period, which include the cultivated area by about 21200 fedden (4200m2, 1.05 acre), an area of wheat by about 17200 fedden, wheat production at about 0.03 ardebs, the productivity of rice by 0.01 tones, wheat imports by 42.2 thousand ardebs, red meat production at about 27.9 thousand kilograms, red meat consumption by about 30700 kg per capita consumption of red meat by 1.1 kilograms, the gap of milk about 130800 tons, the consumption of poultry by 18.5 thousand tons, per capita consumption of poultry around 0.41 kilograms, the gap of poultry by 35.5 thousand tons.

Also reflect an increasing number of variables during the second period, including the number of population was estimated at 0.06 million people, the crop area by about 31700 fedden, the rice cultivation area by about 7700 fedden, cotton exports by about 43200 quintals, the average per capita wheat production by about 0.2 ardebs, the gap of meat red by about 6380 tons, the production of milk by about 222.4 thousand tons, milk consumption by about 96 600 tons, per capita consumption of milk by about 96 600 tons, per capita milk consumption by about 0.6 kilograms, and finally the poultry production by about 17100 tones compared to preliminary. As seen from the same table diminished some of the variables during the first period compared to the second period, including the cotton area by about 1300 fedden, productivity quintal of cotton by about 0.01 quintals, the average per capita share of cotton production by about 5 quintals and, finally, shows decreasing average per capita cultivated area at a steady rate during the periods 0.001 fedden, has proved to be significant relationships statistically estimated at level significance 0.05 as illustrated in table (1). While did not demonstrate significant relations estimated for some variables in the first period, including acreage, production of cotton, wheat imports, the average per capita acreage, the gap
of red meat, per capita consumption of dairy products. Moreover, it did not demonstrate significant relations estimated for some variables in the second period in each of the cotton production and cotton
exports and imports of wheat, the average per capita production of wheat, rice and red meat, per capita consumption of red meat, poultry, poultry consumption, and the gap of red meat and chicken.

Table (1): Time trend in the year during the two periods (1979-1993) (1994-2008)

| Period <br> Statement | First period (1979-1993) |  |  |  |  | Second period (1994-2008) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | T | $\mathbf{R}^{-2}$ | F | A | B | T | R- ${ }^{2}$ | F |
| Population $\backslash$ million people | 40.13 | 1.15 | 35.9 | 0.99 | 1285.9 | 56.8 | 1.21 | 21.5 | 0.97 | 461.9 |
| Agricultural area/1000 fedden | 5220 | 103.3 | 1.9 | 0.15 | 3.57 | 7287.7 | 82.1 | 7 | 0.77 | 48.8 |
| Crop area/1000 fedden | 1063.4 | 111.6 | 5.1 | 0.61 | 26.5 | 13124 | 143.3 | 12 | 0.9 | 145 |
| Cotton area/1000 fedden | 1236.1 | 25.7- | 6.9- | 0.77 | 47.2 | 855.5 | 24.4- | 3.5- | 0.45 | 12.6 |
| Wheat area/1000 fedden | 1023.1 | 63.5 | 4 | 0.51 | 15.8 | 2188.1 | 46.3 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 21.9 |
| Rice area/1000 fedden | 918.7 | 13 | 2.3 | 0.23 | 0.4 | 1336 | 20.7 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 10.7 |
| Cotton productivity / quintal | 7.1 | 0.06- | 1.3- | 0.05 | 1.7 | 6.5 | 0.05- | 0.1- | 0.004- | 0.93 |
| The productivity of wheat /Ardebs | 1.2 | 0.07 | 13.8 | 0.93 | 191.8 | 2.3 | 0.04 | 5.2 | 0.64 | 26.8 |
| Rice /ton | 2.1 | 0.07 | 5.9 | 0.74 | 34.3 | 3.4 | 0.06 | 8.3 | 0.83 | 69.4 |
| Cotton Exports / quintal | 228.8 | 14.2- | 7.6 | 0.8 | 57.6 | 29.5 | 29- | 1.9 | 0.16 | 3.7 |
| Wheat imports/1000 ardebs | 5793.4 | 32.6 | 0.8 | 0.03- | 0.6 | 5683.1 | 9.7- | 0.14- | 0.07- | 0.02 |
| Cotton Exports /1000 ardebs | 36.6 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 0.16 | 3.6 | 107 | 44.5 | 2.7 | 0.32 | 7.5 |
| Rice exports/1000 ardebs | 0.13 | 0.001- | 0.9- | 0.02- | 0.75 | 0.13 | 0.001- | 4.8- | 0.61 | 23.1 |
| The average per capita cultivated area/fedden | 0.3 | 0.003- | 7.8- | 0.81 | 60.8 | 0.23 | 0.002- | 10.4- | 0.88 | 108 |
| The average per capita crop area/fedden | 209.6 | 8.5- | 8- | . 820 | 63.5 | 91.1 | -3.5 | 5.2- | 0.51 | 15.4 |
| Average per capita production of cotton/quintal | 5.8 | 0.03- | 1- | 0.002- | 1 | 7.3 | 0.17 | 2.2 | 0.22 | 4.9 |
| Average per capita production of wheat/ardebs | 5.9 | 0.17- | 3.4- | 0.44 | 11.8 | 5.7 | 0.09 | 2.1 | 0.21 | 4.6 |
| Average per capita production of rice/ardebs | 156.4 | 43.7 | 8.8 | 0.8 | 78.2 | 729.1 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 1.2 |
| Red meat production/1000kg. | 281.7 | 44.5 | 9.7 | 0.9 | 93.2 | 804.6 | 13.8 | 2.3 | 0.23 | 5.2 |
| Per capita consumption of red meat/kg | 7.9 | 0.6 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 53.2 | 14.2 | 0.05- | 0.61- | 0.05- | 0.4 |
| The gap of red meat/1000tons | 125.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.07- | 0.06 | 75.5 | 6.98 | 1.9 | 0.17 | 3.8 |
| Dairy/tons | 1761.1 | 40.5 | 6.2 | 0.7 | 38.3 | 2137.7 | 267.9 | 17.3 | 0.95 | 289.4 |
| Consumption of milk/ 1000 tons | 1190.3 | 114.8 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 10.7 | 3692.2 | 211.4 | 10.7 | 0.89 | 114.7 |
| Per capita consumption of milk/kg | 33 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 66.6 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 0.69 | 31.8 |
| Gap Dairy/1000tons | 570.8 | 74.3 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 1554.5 | 56.6- | 5.6- | 0.69 | 31.6 |
| Poultry production/1000tons | 149.8 | 11.5 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 17.3 | 514.1 | 28.6 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 15.2 |
| Poultry consumption/1000tons | 104.7 | 30 | 8.7 | 0.8 | 76.7 | 497.1 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 0.01 | 1.1 |
| Per capita consumption of poultry/kg | 3.2 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 39.4 | 9 | 0.01- | 1.0- | 0.07- | 0.01 |
| Gap poultry/tons | 45.1- | 18.4 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 25.6 | 16.9- | 17.1- | 1.2- | 0.03 | 1.5 |

Source: Compiled and calculated from Table (1) with the extension.
II. The averages of some economically variables in addition to rates of economic growth and change them between the two study periods; the rates of growth and change for the averages of the variables
studied between the two periods in accordance with the following equations:

## Growth rate $=$ [(average variable second period/average variable to the first period) ${ }^{(11 \text { length })}$ 1]*100 <br> Rate of change $=\left(\frac{\text { average vapakle of tha szoond pariod- avepagevapable of thefiwst pernod }}{\text { Avenage vartableof thafirst perliod }}\right) * \mathbf{1 0 0}$

Table (2) illustrates, the rising of the growth rate and rate of change between the averages of some variables, which reflects the following:-

1. Population: increasing the birth rate significantly and lower mortality rate as a result of the progress of health.
2. The cultivated area: increasing the area cultivated, as a result of the efforts in land reclamation.
3. Wheat area: - Yield increasing in cultivated areas, as a result of higher prices supplied on one hand, and high-yielding varieties on the other.
4. Rice cultivation area: increased crop cultivated areas, as a result of high prices on the domestic market, export, and the development of high productivity and short staying.
5. Wheat production: increasing crop productivity, as a result of the development of new varieties with a high average productivity.
6. Rice productivity: increasing crop productivity, as a result of the development of new varieties with a high average productivity.
7. Cotton exports: the development of non-cotton exports mainly intended to shift from long-staple plant varieties to short-staple varieties, and lower average production per feddan in the second period from the first.
8. Rice exports: exports as a result of increased production for consumption and high export prices.
9. Average per capita wheat production: increase the average per capita production, a result of increased cultivated areas of the crop next to a higher average production per feddan, though Egypt imports about $50 \%$ of the total consumption of the population.
10. The average per capita rice production: increase the average per capita production, due to increased area under cultivation, increasing the average yield per fedden of new strains. And then start the trend of export crop in recent years.
11. Red meat production: increased production by increasing the numbers of livestock and production of the unit.
12. Red meat consumption: increased consumption as a result of the large population increase, and then cover the deficit import from abroad.
13. Per capita consumption of red meat: increase this share as a result of the abundance of red meat, whether locally produced or imported.
14. Milk production: increasing numbers of dairy cattle, increasing the average production unit.
15. Consumption of milk: growing each of the rates, as a result of the multiplicity of uses of milk and the presence of import.
16. Per capita consumption of milk: reflecting the increased consumption of milk in several diverse products.
17. Milk gaps': increasing population at a greater rate than the increasing production of milk, which met the needs from import.
18. Poultry production: the large increase in the number of poultry and increase the average unit weight produced.
19. Consumption of poultry: a high population increase and the emergence of several methods for the preparation and packaging of poultry contribute to increased consumption.
20. Per capita consumption of poultry: the large population increase and the availability of poultry from local production and import.
21. Gap poultry: It reflects the high population increase at a greater rate than the rate of increase in production, causing import.

As shown in Table (2) a reduction in both growth and change rate between averages of some variables, which reflects the following: -

1. Crop area: increase the cultivated area of fruit, and low the area under cultivation of Nile season.
2. Cotton area: the deflection of cotton cultivation to the lower prices, and the lack of support after the economic liberalization and losses to farmers.
3. Cotton production on the dramatic decline in average productivity per feddan, the failure of the processes of fertilization and the resistance is true to the high cost of fertilizers, pesticides and labor.
4. Imports of wheat: a decline in imports due to increased wheat plantings, and increase the average production per feddan.
5- Average per capita cultivated area: the large population increase, which is not matched by the increase in arable land in the same population increase as a result of urban encroachment on the old land and poor rates of reclamation in addition to a few grown ones.
6- Average per capita crop area: the large population increase, which eat up the new land added, in addition to increasing the area of fruit in the new lands, and non-agriculture Nile season by most farmers.
7- Average per capita production of cotton: large population increase, with no increase production at the same rate of population increase.
8- The gap of red meat: the large population increase, which is not accompanied by increased production of red meat.

Table (2) averages of some variables and rates of economic growth and change them between the two studied periods.

| Series | Statement | Average |  | growth <br> Rates | Change rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1979- \\ 1993 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1994- \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1 | Population $\backslash$ million people | 49.34 | 66.45 | 1.83 | 31.39 |
| 2 | Agricultural area/1000 fedden | 6046.8 | 7944.8 | 1.43 | 23.80 |
| 3 | Crop area/1000 fedden | 11527.1 | 14270.8 | 3.48 | 67.15 |
| 4 | Cotton area/1000 fedden | 1030.7 | 660.5 | 2.92- | 35.92 - |
| 5 | Wheat area/1000 fedden | 1530.8 | 2558.7 | 2.59 | 46.71 |
| 6 | Rice area/1000 fedden | 1023 | 1500.9 | 2.48 | 44.44 |
| 7 | Cotton productivity / quintal | 6.6 | 6.1 | 0.52- | 7.58 - |
| 8 | The productivity of wheat /Ardebs | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.56 | 46.15 |
| 9 | Rice /ton | 2.6 | 3.8 | 1.84 | 31.39 |
| 10 | Cotton Exports / quintal | 115 | 203 | 3.86 | 76.5 |
| 11 | Wheat imports/1000 ardebs | 6054 | 5606 | 0.51- | 7.4- |
| 12 | Cotton Exports /1000 ardebs | 77.3 | 462.7 | 12.67 | 498.6 |
| 13 | Rice exports/1000 ardebs | 0.123 | 0.120 | 0.16- | 2.44 - |
| 14 | The average per capita cultivated area/fedden | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.6- | 8.7 - |
| 15 | The average per capita crop area/fedden | 141.7 | 62.6 | 5.3- | 55.8- |
| 16 | Average per capita production of cotton/quintal | 5.6 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 53.6 |
| 17 | Average per capita production of wheat/ardebs | 4.5 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 40 |
| 18 | Average per capita production of rice/ardebs | 506.3 | 783.7 | 2.95 | 54.79 |
| 19 | Red meat production/1000ton. | 638.1 | 914.9 | 2.43 | 43.38 |
| 20 | Per capita consumption of red meat/kg | 12.7 | 13.8 | 0.55 | 8.66 |
| 21 | The gap of red meat/1000tons | 131.7 | 131.3 | 0.02- | 0.30 - |
| 22 | Dairy/tons | 2084.8 | 4280.8 | 4.91 | 105.33 |
| 23 | Consumption of milk/1000 tons | 2108.9 | 5383.5 | 6.45 | 155.27 |
| 24 | Per capita consumption of milk/kg | 42.2 | 80.4 | 4.39 | 90.52 |
| 25 | Gap Dairy/1000tons | 24.1 | 1102.7 | 29.0 | 4475.52 |
| 26 | Poultry production/1000tons | 242 | 743 | 7.76 | 207.02 |
| 27 | Poultry consumption/1000tons | 344.5 | 589.4 | 3.64 | 71.09 |
| 28 | Per capita consumption of poultry/kg | 6.8 | 8.9 | 1.81 | 30.88 |
| 29 | Gap poultry/1000tons | 102.5 | 153.5 | 202.73 | 249.76 |

Source: Compiled and calculated from data Table (1) with annex.

III: The value of " $F$ " calculated from the analysis of variance:

Analysis of variance was conducted to determine significant differences between the values of the variables studied between the two study periods, by calculating the value of F and compared with F from tables with degrees of freedom (1.28).

This was demonstrated moral statistical value of the "F" are computed on the significance level (0.05) for all studied variables except for cotton production, exports of cotton, wheat imports, the average per capita cultivated area, the average per capita consumption of red meat, the food gap of red meat.

Table (3): The analysis of variance for the economic variables between the two study periods.

| Statement | Between periods |  |  |  | Within the periods |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sum square of variance | df | Mean square of variance | $\underset{F}{\text { Calculated }}$ | Sum square of variance | df | Mean square of variance | $\underset{\text { F }}{\text { Calculated }}$ |
| Population\million people | 2196.5 | 1 | 2196.5 | 77.5 | 793.9 | 28 | 28.3 | - |
| Agricultural area/ 1000 fedden | 27018030 | 1 | 27018030 | 46.5 | 16267037 | 28 | 580965.6 | - |


| Crop area/1000 fedden | 56457801 | 1 | 56457801 | 137.9 | 11466276 | 28 | 409509.9 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cotton area/1000 fedden | 1027490 | 1 | 1027490 | 50.2 | 572667.1 | 28 | 20452.4 |  |
| Wheat area/1000 fedden | 7923824 | 1 | 7923824 | 73.6 | 3012854 | 28 | 107601.9 | - |
| Rice area/1000 fedden | 1712674 | 1 | 1712674 | 111.5 | 430099.7 | 28 | 15360.7 | - |
| Cotton productivity/quintal | 1.81 | 1 | 1.81 | 2.4 | 20.7 | 28 | 0.74 | - |
| The productivity of wheat /Ardebs | 4.9 | 1 | 4.9 | 62 | 2.2 | 28 | 0.08 | - |
| Rice /ton | 10.9 | 1 | 10.9 | 103.1 | 2.9 | 28 | 0.1 | - |
| Cotton Exports / quintal | 57640.8 | 1 | 57640.8 | 1.42 | 1137025 | 28 | 40608 | - |
| Wheat imports/ 1000 ardebs | 1509763 | 1 | 1509763 | 1.84 | 22958769 | 28 | 819956.1 | - |
| Cotton Exports / 1000 ardebs | 1113613 | 1 | 1113613 | 20.2 | 1542429 | 28 | . 55086 | - |
| Rice exports/ 1000 ardebs | 0.0001 | 1 | 0.0001 | 0.04 | 0.005 | 28 | 0.0002 | - |
| The average per capita cultivated area/fedden | 0.003 | 1 | 0.003 | 17.3 | 0.005 | 28 | 0.0002 | - |
| The average per capita crop area/fedden | 0.001 | 1 | 0.001 | 59.6 | 0.0004 | 28 | 0.00002 | - |
| Average per capita production of cotton/quintal | 0.0004 | 1 | 0.0004 | 26.7 | 0.0004 | 28 | 0.00002 | - |
| Average per capita production of wheat/ardebs | 0.00002 | 1 | 0.00002 | 7 | 0.0001 | 28 | 0.000003 | - |
| Average per capita production of rice/ardebs | 576825.6 | 1 | 576825.6 | 20.8 | 775277.8 | 28 | 27688.5 | - |
| Red meat production/ 1000 kg . | 5749996.7 | 1 | 576825.6 | 19.6 | 820025.4 | 28 | 29286.6 | - |
| Per capita consumption of red meat/kg | 9.3 | 1 | 9.3 | 1.7 | 154 | 28 | 5.5 | - |
| The gap of red meat/1000tons | 1.4 | 1 | 1.4 | 0.0004 | 100796 | 28 | 3599.8 | - |
| Dairy/tons | 36168120 | 1 | 36168120 | 46.9 | 21582943 | 28 | 770819.4 | - |
| Consumption of milk/1000 tons | 36168120 | 1 | 36168120 | 46.9 | 22095337 | 28 | 789119.2 | - |
| Per capita consumption of milk/kg | 10970.7 | 1 | 10970.7 | 100.2 | 3063.9 | 28 | 109.4 | - |
| Gap Dairy/1000tons | 8726413 | 1 | 8726413 | 37.9 | 6450746 | 28 | 230384.8 | - |
| Poultry production/1000tons | 1881781 | 1 | 1881781 | 107.4 | 490393.2 | 28 | 17514 | - |
| Poultry consumption/ 1000tons | 449811.9 | 1 | 449811.9 | 16.6 | 756920.4 | 28 | 27032.9 | - |
| Per capita consumption of poultry/kg | 32 | 1 | 32 | 5.7 | 157.9 | 28 | 5.6 | - |
| Gap poultry/tons | 491541.3 | 1 | 491541.3 | 14.8 | . 931491 | 28 | 33267.5 | - |

Source: Compiled and calculated from data Table (1) Annex

## IV: the gap between population and cultivated area in Egypt:

The results obtained show that the gap between population and all of the cultivated area have
been increasing as shown in Figure (1) and Table (4), Where the total population of 42 million people in 1979, while the crop area of about 11. 24 Million feddens, which means that the population equivalent of 3.73 of the total crop area. While in 2008 the
population reached 77.5 million people and15.6 million square crop acres, which is equivalent to five times the size. By studying the relationship between population and land area it is clear that the population continues to increase during the years of study as was about 42, 58.977 .5 million people (years 1979,1994 and 2008), while the land areas of approximately 5.9 , 7 and 8,4 million acres respectively, thus the number of people equivalent to about $7.11,10.7$ and 9.024 of land area.

Table (4): Population in million inhabitants and a total floor area and the Egyptian crop area in thousand acres

| year | Population <br> /million | Total land <br> area/1000fedden | Total crop <br> area/1000fedden | year | Population | Total land <br> area/1000fedden | Total crop <br> area/1000fedden |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 42.0 | 5855 | 11235 | 1994 | 58.9 | 7173 | 13003 |
| 1980 | 42.8 | 5862 | 11130 | 1995 | 60.2 | 7813 | 13815 |
| 1981 | 43.3 | 5878 | 11260 | 1996 | 60.8 | 7563 | 13710 |
| 1982 | 44.6 | 5832 | 10970 | 1997 | 61.5 | 7726 | 13829 |
| 1983 | 45.9 | 5850 | 11140 | 1998 | 62.4 | 7761 | 13859 |
| 1984 | 47.5 | 5828 | 11027 | 1999 | 63.3 | 7280 | 13939 |
| 1985 | 47.8 | 5921 | 11175 | 2000 | 64.5 | 7719 | 13922 |
| 1986 | 48.2 | 5979 | 11137 | 2001 | 65.9 | 7946 | 14028 |
| 1987 | 49.8 | 6004 | 11127 | 2002 | 67.3 | 8148 | 14350 |
| 1988 | 51.3 | 6183 | 11325 | 2003 | 68.6 | 8113 | 14474 |
| 1989 | 52.8 | 6270 | 11525 | 2004 | 69.9 | 8279 | 14551 |
| 1990 | 54.4 | 6918 | 12181 | 2005 | 70.5 | 8385 | 14905 |
| 1991 | 55.8 | 7023 | 12406 | 2006 | 71.9 | 8411 | 14921 |
| 1992 | 56.4 | 7120 | 12489 | 2007 | 73.6 | 8423 | 15176 |
| 1993 | 57.5 | 7179 | 12780 | 2008 | 77.5 | 8432 | 15580 |

1- Central Bank - Statistical Bulletin - the number of different
2- Ministry of Agriculture - Agricultural Economics Research Institute - Statistical Bulletin - Preparing sporadic.


Figure (1) population and land area and crop

## Annexes

Table (1): population and gross floor area and cropping the Egyptian

| year | Population (million) | Area (1000 fedden) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total land area | Total crop area | Cotton area | Wheat area | Rice area |
| 1979 | 42.0 | 5855 | 11235 | 1286 | 1391 | 1036 |
| 1980 | 42.8 | 5862 | 11130 | 1245 | 1326 | 970 |
| 1981 | 43.3 | 5878 | 11260 | 1178 | 1400 | 954 |
| 1982 | 44.6 | 5832 | 10970 | 1066 | 1374 | 1024 |
| 1983 | 45.9 | 5850 | 11140 | 998 | 1320 | 1011 |
| 1984 | 47.5 | 5828 | 11027 | 984 | 1178 | 984 |
| 1985 | 47.8 | 5921 | 11175 | 1081 | 1186 | 924 |
| 1986 | 48.2 | 5979 | 11137 | 1055 | 1026 | 1008 |
| 1987 | 49.8 | 6004 | 11127 | 980 | 1373 | 981 |
| 1988 | 51.3 | 6183 | 11325 | 1014 | 1422 | 837 |
| 1989 | 52.8 | 6270 | 11525 | 1005 | 1533 | 983 |
| 1990 | 54.4 | 6918 | 12181 | 993 | 1955 | 1036 |
| 1991 | 55.8 | 7023 | 12406 | 851 | 2215 | 1100 |
| 1992 | 56.4 | 7120 | 12489 | 840 | 2092 | 1215 |
| 1993 | 57.5 | 7179 | 12780 | 884 | 2171 | 1282 |
| 1994 | 58.9 | 7173 | 13003 | 721 | 2111 | 1378 |
| 1995 | 60.2 | 7813 | 13815 | 710 | 2512 | 1400 |


| 1996 | 60.8 | 7563 | 13710 | 921 | 2421 | 1405 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 61.5 | 7726 | 13829 | 859 | 2486 | 1550 |
| 1998 | 62.4 | 7761 | 13859 | 789 | 2384 | 1225 |
| 1999 | 63.3 | 7280 | 13939 | 646 | 2482 | 1559 |
| 2000 | 64.5 | 7719 | 13922 | 518 | 2288 | 1569 |
| 2001 | 65.9 | 7946 | 14028 | 731 | 2450 | 1340 |
| 2002 | 67.3 | 8148 | 14350 | 706 | 2450 | 1547 |
| 2003 | 68.6 | 8113 | 14474 | 535 | 2506 | 1508 |
| 2004 | 69.9 | 8279 | 14551 | 714 | 2605 | 1537 |
| 2005 | 70.5 | 8385 | 14905 | 657 | 2985 | 1459 |
| 2006 | 71.9 | 8411 | 14921 | 538 | 3064 | 1593 |
| 2007 | 73.6 | 8423 | 15176 | 575 | 2716 | 1673 |
| 2008 | 77.5 | 8432 | 15580 | 288 | 2920 | 1770 |

## Source:

1- Central Bank - Statistical Bulletin - the number of sporadic
2- Ministry of Agriculture - Agricultural Economics Research Institute - Statistical Bulletin - the number of different

Table (2): productivity, exports and imports of cotton, rice and wheat

| Year | Cotton production <br> (quintal) | Wheat <br> production <br> (ton) | Rice <br> production <br> (ton) | Quantity (1000 tones) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cotton export | Rice <br> export | Wheat import |  |  |
| 1979 | 0.207 | 1.33 | 2.42 | 158 | 95 | 5127 |
| 1980 | 7.180 | 1.35 | 2.46 | 164 | 89 | 5302 |
| 1981 | 7.140 | 1.38 | 2.34 | 176 | 87 | 5643 |
| 1982 | 7.210 | 1.47 | 2.38 | 200 | 20 | 5308 |
| 1983 | 6.800 | 1.51 | 2.42 | 209 | 19 | 6305 |
| 1984 | 6.770 | 1.54 | 2.27 | 174 | 71 | 6728 |
| 1985 | 6.790 | 1.58 | 2.50 | 144 | 16 | 6695 |
| 1986 | 6.540 | 1.88 | 2.43 | 146 | 40 | 6089 |
| 1987 | 6.160 | 1.98 | 2.45 | 130 | 92 | 6846 |
| 1988 | 5.880 | 2.00 | 2.55 | 80 | 71 | 7008 |
| 1989 | 5.020 | 2.08 | 2.72 | 58 | 31 | 6748 |
| 1990 | 5.210 | 2.18 | 3.06 | 39 | 70 | 6325 |
| 1991 | 5.910 | 2.02 | 3.13 | 13 | 142 | 6122 |
| 1992 | 7.180 | 2.21 | 3.22 | 16 | 181 | 5640 |
| 1993 | 7.870 | 2.23 | 3.25 | 18 | 136 | 4926 |
| 1994 | 6.00 | 2.10 | 3.33 | 113 | 248 | 7064 |
| 1995 | 5.720 | 2.28 | 3.42 | 67 | 157 | 5474 |
| 1996 | 6.260 | 2.36 | 3.48 | 23 | 329 | 6078 |
| 1997 | 6.260 | 2.35 | 3.55 | 42 | 203 | 6981 |
| 1998 | 6.800 | 2.67 | 3.63 | 66 | 429 | 5602 |
| 1999 | 5.07 | 2.66 | 3.73 | 112 | 377 | 4332 |
| 2000 | 6.70 | 2.70 | 3.83 | 63 | 362 | 4935 |
| 2001 | 6.78 | 2.67 | 3.90 | 87 | 508 | 4444 |
| 2002 | 7.23 | 2.7 | 3.95 | 161 | 480 | 5590 |
| 2003 | 6.85 | 2.73 | 4.20 | 197 | 461 | 4063 |
| 2004 | 7.04 | 2.76 | 4.20 | 184 | 833 | 4364 |
| 2005 | 4.67 | 2.73 | 4.2 | 968 | 111 | 5688 |
| 2006 | 5.33 | 2.70 | 4.11 | 761 | 165 | 5817 |
| 2007 | 5.14 | 2.72 | 4.00 | 68 | 1123 | 5900 |
| 2008 | 5.00 | 4.09 | 128 | 1154 | 7750 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Source:

1 - Ministry of Agriculture - Agricultural Economics Research Institute - Statistical Bulletin - the number of different
2 - Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics - Statistical Bulletin - the number of different

Table (3): Number of population per acre of land area and cropping

| Year | Area (million feddens) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population/land area | Land <br> area/population | Population/crop <br> area | Crop <br> area/population |
| 1979 | 7.12 | 0.1405 | 3.74 | 0.268 |
| 1980 | 7.25 | 0.1379 | 3.85 | 0.260 |
| 1981 | 7.34 | 0.1363 | 3.84 | 0.260 |
| 1982 | 7.69 | 0.1300 | 4.07 | 0.246 |
| 1983 | 7.78 | 0.1285 | 4.12 | 0.243 |
| 1984 | 8.19 | 0.1221 | 4.31 | 0.232 |
| 1985 | 8.10 | 0.1234 | 4.28 | 0.234 |
| 1986 | 8.03 | 0.1245 | 4.33 | 0.231 |
| 1987 | 8.30 | 0.1205 | 4.48 | 0.223 |
| 1988 | 8.27 | 0.1209 | 4.53 | 0.221 |
| 1989 | 8.38 | 0.1193 | 4.58 | 0.218 |
| 1990 | 7.88 | 0.1268 | 4.24 | 0.224 |
| 1991 | 7.97 | 0.1254 | 4.50 | 0.222 |
| 1992 | 7.94 | 0.1259 | 4.52 | 0.221 |
| 1993 | 7.99 | 0.1252 | 4.50 | 0.222 |
| 1994 | 8.18 | 0.1222 | 4.53 | 0.221 |
| 1995 | 7.72 | 0.1296 | 4.36 | 0.229 |
| 1996 | 8.00 | 0.1250 | 4.43 | 0.225 |
| 1997 | 7.99 | 0.1252 | 4.45 | 0.225 |
| 1998 | 8.00 | 0.1250 | 5.50 | 0.222 |
| 1999 | 8.67 | 0.1153 | 4.54 | 0.220 |
| 2000 | 8.16 | 0.1194 | 4.63 | 0.216 |
| 2001 | 8.34 | 0.1199 | 4.70 | 0.213 |
| 2002 | 8.31 | 0.1204 | 4.69 | 0.213 |
| 2003 | 8.47 | 0.1181 | 4.74 | 0.211 |
| 2004 | 8.42 | 0.1187 | 4.80 | 0.208 |
| 2005 | 8.39 | 0.1191 | 4.73 | 0.211 |
| 2006 | 8.56 | 0.1168 | 4.82 | 0.208 |
| 2007 | 8.76 | 0.1141 | 4.85 | 0.206 |
| 2008 | 9.23 | 0.1084 | 4.97 | 0.201 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |

Source: - calculated and collected in Table (1)
Table (4): the number of population and per capita per acre yield of cotton, wheat, and rice

| Years | Population/cotton <br> area | cotton <br> area/ <br> Population | Population/wheat <br> area | wheat area <br> /Population | Population/rice <br> area | rice area <br> /Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 40.54 | 0.025 | 30.19 | 0.033 | 32.66 | 0.031 |
| 1980 | 44.12 | 0.023 | 32.28 | 0.031 | 34.38 | 0.029 |
| 1981 | 45.39 | 0.022 | 30.93 | 0.032 | 36.76 | 0.027 |
| 1982 | 43.56 | 0.023 | 32.46 | 0.031 | 41.84 | 0.024 |
| 1983 | 45.40 | 0.022 | 43.77 | 0.028 | 45.99 | 0.022 |
| 1984 | 48.27 | 0.021 | 40.32 | 0.025 | 48.27 | 0.021 |
| 1985 | 51.73 | 0.019 | 40.30 | 0.025 | 44.22 | 0.023 |
| 1986 | 47.82 | 0.021 | 46.98 | 0.021 | 45.69 | 0.022 |
| 1987 | 50.77 | 0.020 | 36.27 | 0.028 | 50.82 | 0.020 |
| 1988 | 61.29 | 0.016 | 36.08 | 0.028 | 50.59 | 0.020 |
| 1989 | 53.71 | 0.019 | 34.44 | 0.029 | 52.54 | 0.019 |
| 1990 | 52.51 | 0.019 | 27.83 | 0.036 | 54.78 | 0.018 |
| 1991 | 50.73 | 0.020 | 25.19 | 0.040 | 65.57 | 0.015 |
| 1992 | 46.42 | 0.022 | 26.96 | 0.037 | 67.14 | 0.015 |
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| 1993 | 44.85 | 0.022 | 26.49 | 0.038 | 65.05 | 0.015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 42.74 | 0.023 | 27.90 | 0.036 | 81.69 | 0.012 |
| 1995 | 43.00 | 0.023 | 23.97 | 0.042 | 84.79 | 0.012 |
| 1996 | 43.27 | 0.023 | 25.11 | 0.040 | 66.02 | 0.015 |
| 1997 | 39.68 | 0.025 | 24.74 | 0.040 | 71.60 | 0.014 |
| 1998 | 50.94 | 0.020 | 26.17 | 0.038 | 79.09 | 0.013 |
| 1999 | 40.60 | 0.025 | 25.50 | 0.039 | 97.99 | 0.010 |
| 2000 | 41.11 | 0.024 | 28.19 | 0.035 | 124.52 | 0.008 |
| 2001 | 49.18 | 0.020 | 26.90 | 0.037 | 90.15 | 0.011 |
| 2002 | 43.50 | 0.023 | 27.45 | 0.036 | 95.33 | 0.010 |
| 2003 | 45.49 | 0.022 | 27.37 | 0.037 | 128.22 | 0.008 |
| 2004 | 45.48 | 0.022 | 26.83 | 0.037 | 97.90 | 0.010 |
| 2005 | 48.32 | 0.021 | 23.62 | 0.042 | 107.31 | 0.009 |
| 2006 | 45.14 | 0.022 | 23.47 | 0.043 | 133.89 | 0.007 |
| 2007 | 43.99 | 0.023 | 27.10 | 0.037 | 128.00 | 0.008 |
| 2008 | 43.79 | 0.023 | 26.54 | 0.038 | 269.10 | 0.004 |

Where area /million feddens
Source: - calculated and collected in Table (1)
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